



FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION

IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO,
SUBMISSION ON A NOTIFIED PLAN CHANGE,
VARIATION OR PROPOSED POLICY STATEMENT



Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



TO // Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter [full name] Grant & Sharyn Stalker



FURTHER SUBMISSION // In support of (or opposition to) a submission on the following:

VARIATION TO THE QUEENSTOWN LAKES PROPOSED DISTICT PLAN – Te Ptahi Ladies Mile Zone

I AM [state whether you are]

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or

[in this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category]

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has; or

[in this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category]

Submission 107 has direct and adverse effects upon 70 Lower Shotover Road.

The local authority for the relevant area.



I SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE) // The submission of:

[Include: name and address of original submitter and submission number of original submission if available]

Anna Hutchinson, Tim Hutchinson, and John Tavendale trustees et al.
C/o Werner Murray, Level 3 / Five Mile Centre, 36 Grant Road, Frankton, Queenstown 9371.
Submission 107.



THE PARTICULAR PARTS // Of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

[clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal]

The Stalkers strongly object to submission 107 where it seeks to:

- Rezone part of their rural living property at 70 Lower Shotover Road from Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone to the Ladies Mile zone.
- Relocate the notified position of the intersection on Lower Shotover Road.
- Relocate the notified position of Structure Plan Roding onto their rural living property at 70 Lower Shotover Road.

**THE REASONS** // For my support (or opposition) are:

[give reasons]

The Stalker's have not authorised any person to re-zone their property.
 The re-zoning proposed by submission 107 results in unacceptable adverse effects on the rural living amenity enjoyed on 70 Lower Shotover Road and does not maintain or enhance the landscape or amenity values of the LCU 7 nor LCU11 nor the wider Wakatipu Basin Rual Amenity Zone.
 The Structure Plan Roding proposed by submission 107 to serve the Ladies Mile Zone will never be authorised for construction over 70 Lower Shotover Road compromising access to the wider Ladies Mile Zone.

**I SEEK** // That the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):

[give precise details]

That zoning plan and structure plan proposed by submission 107 is revised to:
 - Remove any Ladies Mile zoning and all Structure Plan Roding from the Stalker's property at 70 Lower Shotover Road.
 - Reinstate the notified position of the intersection on Lower Shotover Road.

I wish / do not wish*

to be heard in support of my further submission.

I will / will not*

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

* Select one.

**SIGNATURE**

**Signature

[or person authorised to sign on behalf of the submitter]

Date

08-08-23-

** A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

**YOUR DETAILS** // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by **email**

Electronic address for service of submitter [email]ngeddes@cfma.co.nz

Telephone [work]4416071

[home]

[mobile]0210527311

Postal Address PO Box 553, Queenstown

[or alternative method of service
under section 352 of the Act]

Post code

9348

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable]Nick Geddes

**NOTE** // To person making further submission**A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority.**

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- > it contains offensive language:
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

