

MEMORANDUM

QLDC District Plan Review Stage 2

Re: Vanderwood Trustees et al - Submission 2523.1

May it please the chair,

Purpose

1. This memorandum has been prepared on behalf of Vanderwood Trustees (Submitter 2523) in response to the *Memorandum of Counsel on behalf of the Queenstown Lakes District Council* dated 12 April 2018, which invited the submitter to provide reasons why Submission 2523.1 should not be struck out under section 41D of the Act.

Submission

2. The submission sought two decisions:
 - a. Reinstatement of the Rural Residential Zoning and associated provisions under the operative or proposed (Stage 1) district plan, except that the extent of this zoning should be adjusted so that it is more logical and reflective of the existing environment, including the local subdivision pattern as well as the landscape characteristics.
 - b. The relief referred to above or such further, more refined, additional, other or alternative relief that might give effect to this submission and/or better serve the overall objectives of the district plan and the purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Comment

3. The submitter respectfully suggests that the abovementioned parts of the submission are on Stage 2 of the Proposed District Plan and should not be struck out.
4. The submitter accepts that the piece of land coloured green (in the Figure provided in the submission) is not land included in Stage 2 of the Proposed District Plan. However, the submission merely identifies this piece of land as a suggested realignment of the zone boundary. This piece of land is not the focus of the submission nor does the submission rely on it. The submitter accepts that the figure, and the following sentence, could be struck out: ~~A suggested adjustment is shown as the green area in the Fig to the left.~~

Ben Farrell
24.4.2018