

**In the Environment Court  
at Christchurch**

**ENV-2019-CHC-073**

**Under** the Resource Management Act 1991

**And**

**In the Matter** of an appeal under Clause 14(1),  
Schedule 1 of the Act

**Between**

**GO MEDIA LIMITED**

Appellant

**And**

**QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT  
COUNCIL**

Respondent

**Notice of Queenstown Airport  
Corporation Limited's wish to be party  
to proceedings**

Dated: 5 June 2019

---

---

**Lane Neave**  
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street  
PO Box 701  
Queenstown  
Solicitor Acting: Rebecca Wolt  
Email: rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz  
Phone: 03 450 1365

**lane neave.**

**To:** The Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

1. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**) wishes to be a party to the following appeal against parts of the Respondent's decisions on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stage 2 (**Proposed Plan**):
  - (a) *Go Media Limited v Queenstown Lakes District Council* (ENV-2019-CHC-073) (**Appeal**).
2. QAC made a submission about the subject matter of the Appeal.
3. QAC also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has because QAC manages and operates the regionally significant Wanaka Airport under a long term lease. The relief sought in the Appeal would assist QAC in efficiently and effectively operating the Airport.
4. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
5. QAC is interested in all of the Appeal.
6. QAC is interested in the following particular issue:
  - (a) the proposed amendments to the provisions in Chapter 31 – Signs to reduce complexity and remove duplication and uncertainty as to the interpretation and application of the provisions, and to establish a more enabling planning framework for signs.
7. QAC **supports** the relief sought in the Appeal for the reasons set out in its original and further submissions and because QAC considers that the relief sought:
  - (a) is consistent with the intent of the Respondent's decisions on Stage 1 of the Proposed Plan, which put in place bespoke controls for signage in the Queenstown Airport context (in Chapter 17) that should apply equally to Wanaka Airport;
  - (b) will assist in ensuring that the unique operational requirements of the Queenstown and Wanaka Airports, which are Regionally Significant Infrastructure, are recognised and provided for;

- (c) will ensure that undue regulation and duplication of controls in the Proposed Plan is avoided;
  - (d) will remove ambiguity in the Proposed Plan;
  - (e) achieves the functions of the Respondent under section 31 of the Act in respect of the integrated management of the effects of the use and development of land and physical resources; and
  - (f) is the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Proposed Plan and, in turn, the purpose of the Act.
8. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 5th day of June 2019



---

Rebecca Wolt/Annabel Linterman  
Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

**Address for Service of Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited:**

Phone: 03 450 1365/03 377 6873

Email: [rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz](mailto:rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz)/[annabel.linterman@laneneave.co.nz](mailto:annabel.linterman@laneneave.co.nz)

Contact person: Rebecca Wolt/Annabel Linterman