

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
AT CHRISTCHURCH**

**I MUA I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA
I ŌTAUTAHI ROHE**

ENV-2019-CHC-000081

Under the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**)

And

In the matter of an appeal under clause 14(1) of the Schedule 1 of the RMA
in relation to the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan

Between **Glendhu Bay Trustees Limited**

Appellant

And **Queenstown Lakes District Council**

Respondent

**Notice of wish of Bachcare Limited to be a party to proceedings under
section 274 of the RMA**

Date: 5 June 2019



205 Queen Street, Auckland 1010
PO Box 160, Auckland 1140
DX CP24027 Auckland
Tel +64 9 303 2019
Fax +64 9 303 2311

Solicitor on the record
Contact Solicitor

Diana Hartley
Anne Buchanan

diana.hartley@dlapiper.com
anne.buchanan@dlapiper.com

Tel +64 9 300 3826
Tel +64 9 300 3807

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

And to: The Appellant

And to: The Respondent

1 **Bachcare Limited (Bachcare)** wishes to be a party to the appeal by Glendhu Bay Trustees Limited (**Appellant**) against a decision of the Queenstown Lakes District Council (**Respondent**) on its Proposed District Plan (**PDP**).

Nature of interest

- 2 Bachcare made a submission (S2620) on Stage 2 of the PDP in relation to the Visitor Accommodation Variation.
- 3 Bachcare is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.

Extent of interest

- 4 Bachcare is interested in all of the appeal.
- 5 Bachcare is interested in the following particular issue:
- 5.1 The amendments the Appellant seeks to the definition of 'Visitor Accommodation' to exclude Residential Visitor Accommodation (**RVA**) and Homestays only where such activities comply with the standards within the relevant zones applying to those activities.

5.2 The amendments the Appellant seeks to Rule 21.9 in Chapter 21 Rural to delete standards 21.9.5 and 21.9.6 for RVA and Homestay activities.

Position on the relief sought

6 Bachcare opposes the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of the definition of 'Visitor Accommodation' because:

6.1 Bachcare agrees with the Respondent's decision to include separate definitions for Visitor Accommodation and RVA and Homestay in the PDP.

6.2 Visitor Accommodation, RVA and Homestay are all distinct activities.

6.3 Granting the relief sought could result in RVA and Homestays that do not comply with the applicable standards for those activities being treated as Visitor Accommodation, which could result in more onerous rules being applied to them.

6.4 Granting the relief sought would not best meet the applicable statutory tests.

7 Bachcare supports the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of amendments to Rule 21.9 of the PDP to the extent it is consistent with Bachcare's submission on the PDP because:

7.1 The provision of RVA assists in ensuring that there is a choice of visitor accommodation, contributes to the local economy and provides an income stream for owners.

7.2 The potential for adverse effects on character and amenity to be generated by visitor accommodation is linked to the nature, scale and intensity of the particular visitor accommodation activity.

Mediation

8 Bachcare agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Date: 5 June 2019



.....
Diana Hartley / Anne Buchanan
Counsel for Bachcare Limited

Address for service of person wishing to become a party:

This document is filed by Diana Hartley of DLA Piper New Zealand, solicitor for Bachcare Limited.

The address for service Bachcare Limited is at:

DLA Piper New Zealand
22nd Floor, DLA Piper Tower
205 Queen Street
Auckland 1010

Documents for service on Bachcare Limited may be:

- left at the above address for service, or
- posted to the solicitor at PO Box 160, Auckland 1140, or
- transmitted to the solicitor by fax on +64 9 303 2311.

Please direct enquiries to:

Diana Hartley
Tel +64 9 300 3826
Fax +64 9 303 2311
Email diana.hartley@dlapiper.com