Appendix A – Relief sought

Provision (PDP Decisions Version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
Chapter 6		
6.2 Values	Support in part	Amend 6.2 Values
 While acknowledging these rural areas have established rural living and development, and a substantial amount of further subdivision and development has already been approved in these areas, the landscape values of these areas are vulnerable to degradation from further subdivision and development. Areas where rural living development is at or is approaching the finite capacity of the landscape need to be identified if the District's distinctive rural landscape values are to be sustained. Areas where the landscape can accommodate sensitive and sympathetic rural living developments similarly need to be identified.	It is recognised that landscape character and amenity values in the WBRAZ and WBLP are derived from historic and existing rural living development as well as agricultural use. The WBLP has been established because it is recognised that further rural living development is appropriate in certain areas within the Wakatipu Basin, where landscape values can be maintained. The amendments sought to 6.2 better	 While a<u>A</u>cknowledging these rural areas have established rural living and
	reflect this recognition.	
Policy 6.3.3.A Provide a separate regulatory regime for the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zones, within which the Outstanding Natural Feature, Outstanding Natural Landscape and Rural Character Landscape categories and the policies of this chapter related to those categories do not apply.	Support in part Clarification that the landscape categories and associated policies do not apply to the WBRAZ is supported. However, this rule (and other bespoke rules such as 6.3.3.B regarding the Open Space and Recreation Zone) could be deleted if an Interpretation section was included in Chapter 6 to clarify the relationship between the landscape categories and the various rural zones and other zones.	Retain Policy 6.3.3A, or in the alternative, work the content of the policy into a new Interpretation section for Chapter 6,.
Policy 6.3.27	Oppose	Delete Policy 6.3.27
In the Wakatipu Basin, avoid planting and screening, particularly along roads and boundaries that would degrade openness where such openness is an important part of	This policy sits under the heading 'Managing Activities in Rural Character Landscapes'. Policy 6.3.3.A clarifies	In the Wakatipu Basin, avoid planting and screening, particularly along roads and boundaries that would degrade openness where such openness is an important part of its landscape quality or character. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.2,

its landscape quality or character. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.20-21, 3.3.24-25, 3.3.32).	that the Rural Character Landscape category does not apply to the WBRAZ and therefore this policy should be either deleted or relocated. Regardless, it is considered that there is sufficient policy direction in Chapter	3.3.20-21, 3.3.24-25, 3.3.32).
	24 regarding planting, and therefore	
Chapter 24 – Wakatipu Basin	this policy is not necessary.	
24.1 Zone Purpose	Oppose	Re-insert and rework the following paragraph from the Zone Purpose as notified (as a new paragraph 3 in the decision version Zone Purpose):
New paragraph 3	The Zone Purpose does not address the range of activities that are contemplated in the Zone other than rural living and rural activities. It is important to include reference to the range of non-residential and non- farming activities such as commercial and tourism activities that can be provided for in the Wakatipu Basin in the introductory parts of the Chapter.	<u>A wide range of activities that rely on and seek to locate within the Wakatipu</u> <u>Basin are contemplated in the Rural Amenity Zone, including rural living at a</u> <u>variety of densities, recreation, commercial and tourism activities, as well as</u> <u>enabling farming and farming activities. There are also established industrial</u> <u>type activities that are based on rural resources or support rural type activities.</u>
24.1 Zone Purpose	Oppose	Amend paragraph 3 of the Zone Purpose:
Paragraph 3 (decision version)	The level of detail in the deleted text is not necessary or appropriate to include in the Zone Purpose. Instead it is sufficient to reference the importance of protecting ONFs and ONLs.	While the Rural Amenity Zone does not contain Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes, it is a distinctive and high amenity value landscape <u>which is often</u> located adjacent to, or nearby to, Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes. <u>Development within the Rural Amenity Zone adjacent to or nearby</u> <u>Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes is to be managed to ensure</u> <u>Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes are protected from inappropriate</u> <u>subdivision, use and development.</u> There are no specific setback rules for development adjacent to Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes. However, all buildings except small farm buildings and subdivision require resource consent to ensure that inappropriate buildings and/or subdivision does not occur adjacent to those features and landscapes. Buildings and development in the Zone and the Precinct are required to be set back from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff Features shown on the planning maps, to maintain the distinctive and high amenity landscapes of the Wakatipu Basin.
Objective 24.2.1	Support in part	Amend Objective 24.2.1
Landscape character and visual amenity	Reference to Wakatipu Basin Rural	Landscape character and visual amenity values in the Wakatipu Basin Rural

values in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone are maintained or enhanced.	Amenity Zone' is not necessary in this objective and creates confusion as to whether this objective and the suite of policies apply to the Precinct, despite the explanatory statement under	Amenity Zone are maintained or enhanced.
Policy 24.2.1.1	heading 24.2. Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.1.1
Require an 80 hectare minimum net site area be maintained within the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone outside of the Precinct.	The policies in respect of maintaining and enhancing landscape character and visual amenity values should take an effects based approach as opposed to a blanket approach based on minimum lot sizes.	Require an 80 hectare minimum not site are be maintained within the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amonity Zone outside of the Precinct. Insert new Policy 24.2.1.1 Assess all applications for subdivision and development against the relevant Landscape Classification Units described in Schedule 24.8.
New Policy 24.2.1.x	It is important to recognise that amenity in the Basin is derived not only from pastoral lands use, but also a varied form and pattern of rural living development which has evolved over time.	Insert new Policy 24.2.1.x <u>Recognise that the amenity and landscape characteristics of the Zone are</u> <u>derived from historical rural and rural living subdivision and development.</u>
Policy 24.2.1.4	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.1.5:
Maintain or enhance the landscape character and visual amenity values associated with the Rural Amenity Zone including the Precinct and surrounding landscape context by:	Explicit reference to the Precinct is not necessary as it is clear from the explanatory note that Objective 24.2.1 and the associated policies apply to the Precinct. Explicit reference to the Precinct in only this policy creates	associated with the Rural Amenity Zone including the Precinct and surrounding landscape context by: a. controlling the colour, scale, form, coverage, location (including setbacks from boundaries) and heights of buildings and associated infrastructure, vegetation
 a. controlling the colour, scale, form, coverage, location (including setbacks from boundaries) and heights of buildings and associated infrastructure, vegetation and landscape elements; b. setting development back from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff Escatures about on the Planning mana. 	confusion. Explicit reference to setbacks is not required in this policy as control over setbacks comes under the Council's general control over location in sub- point a.	and landscape elements <u>;.</u> b. setting development back from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff Features shown on the Planning maps
Features shown on the Planning maps. Policy 24.2.1.6	Support in part	1. Amend Policy 24.2.1.6 as follows:
		Provide for a range of non-residential activities, including farming, commercial,

recreation and tourism related activities that rely on the rural land resource, subject to maintaining or enhancing landscape character and visual amenity values.	which are provided for in the Basin should not be exhaustive – all appropriate non-residential activity which maintains or enhances landscape character and visual amenity (and complies with the standards) should be provided for. Schedule 24.8 defines the landscape character and visual amenity values of each LCU of the Basin. It should be clear throughout Chapter 24 that the landscape character and visual amenity values referred to are those	resource, subject to maintaining or enhancing landscape character and visual amenity values identified in the relevant Landscape Character Unit.
	set out in Schedule 24.8 as being relevant to each LCU.	
Policy 24.2.1.10	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.1.10
Enable residential activity within building platforms created prior to 21 March 2019 subject to achieving appropriate standards.	Where residential activity complies with the relevant standards it should be enabled. There is no justification for limiting development beyond the date of the decision in circumstances where standards can be met.	Amend the policy so that it is not date limited, but instead enables all approved development. Also expand the policy to recognise and provide for any established building rights under the ODP. Relocate Policy 24.2.1.10 to sit under the new objective 24.2.2 proposed (see below).
Policy 24.2.1.11	Oppose	Amend Policy 24.2.1.11:
Provide for activities, whose built form is subservient to natural landscape elements and that, in areas Schedule 24.8 identifies as having a sense of openness and spaciousness, maintain those qualities.	It is not reasonable to require built form be subservient to natural landscape. This will often be difficult to achieve and is not possible to accurately assess. Compatibility is a more reasonable assessment that will ensure landscape character values are maintained.	Provide for activities, whose built form <i>is subservient to complements</i> natural landscape elements and that, in areas Schedule 24.8 identifies as having a sense of openness and spaciousness, maintain those qualities.
Policy 24.2.1.12 Manage lighting so that it does not cause adverse glare to other properties, roads, public places or degrade views of the night sky.	Oppose All lighting is inherently adverse in terms of causing glare to neighbouring properties, roads and public places,	Amend Policy 24.2.1.12 Manage lighting so that it does not cause adverse inappropriate glare to other properties, roads, public places or degrade views of the night sky.

	and affects views of the night sky,	
	however is necessary for safety and	
	amenity. It is therefore more	
	appropriate to limit glare to what is	
	considered an appropriate level.	
New Objective 24.2 x and policy evite		Incent you abjective and policies on follows:
New Objective 24.2.x and policy suite	The intention of this new objective and	Insert new objective and policies as follows:
recognising existing development rights	policy suite is to specifically recognise	
	the benefits associated with rural living	24.2.x Objective - Existing development rights and additional rural living
	subdivision and development within	opportunities are recognised and provided for
	the Basin. Post King Salmon,	
	applications for consent are	
	assessment primarily against the	land-use activities and to erect and use buildings.
	provisions of the Plan, and short of any	
	issues with validity in the Plan, are not	Amend, relocate and renumber policy 24.2.1.10 as 24.2.2.2. Enable residential
	assessed directly against Part 2.	activity within <u>approved</u> building platforms created prior to 21 March 2019
	Therefore, if a Plan does not provide	subject to achieving appropriate standards.
	for the positive and enabling elements	
	of Part 2, these will not be considered	
	in the assessment of a resource	derived from rural living subdivision and development, including:
	consent application. In such	 The enjoyment of rural living amenities by residents and visitors;
	circumstances the Plan may be	• The opportunity for rural living opportunities within close proximity to
	considered to be incomplete.	employment and social opportunities in town centres;
		The diversification of land use where farming is no longer viable or
	In respect of new policy 24.2.2.1,	economically productive;
	recognition of existing rights within the	 The onsite and offsite employment opportunities generated by
	Basin is critical for many landowners	subdivision, construction, landscaping, property maintenance and
	who have invested and developed their	related activities;
	land on the basis of controlled activity	
	rights. It is an efficient use of planning	<u>The efficient and effective use of a finite rural land resource.</u>
	resources to reduce uncertainty and	
	consenting requirements.	
	In respect of new policy 24.2.2.2 each	
	In respect of new policy 24.2.2.3, each	
	of the benefits listed are tangible and	
	have positive impacts on the Wakatipu	
	Basin and wider District. It is equally	
	legitimate to recognise and provide for	
	these benefits as part of the enabling	
	aspects of section 5 RMA as it is to	
	provide for landscape protection.	
Policy 24.2.2.2	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.2.2

Restrict the type and intensity of non- residential activities to those which are compatible in relation to generated effects (e.g. traffic, noise, and hours of operation) with surrounding uses and natural environment.	There is no justification for compatibility or comparability of non- residential activities. This would often not be achieved in most instances of non-residential activities, given the different scale and nature of effects generated from non-residential use, e.g. in the instance of a commercial cellar door operation, traffic, bulk and location effects are unlikely to be comparable to those of existing uses in the Basin. This is also a repetition of the reverse sensitivity policies under objective 24.2.3.	Restrict the type and intensity of non-residential activities to those which are compatible in relation to generated effects (e.g. traffic, noise, and hours of operation) with surrounding uses and natural environment
New policy 24.2.2.x	If the MFSZ rezoning is approved, policy recognition should be included in the policies of Chapter 24.	Insert new Policy 24.2.2.x <u>Encourage the appropriate development of the Morven Ferry Road Visitor</u> <u>Precinct for visitor accommodation, commercial and tourism related activities.</u>
Policy 24.2.3.4	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.3.4
Ensure non-farming activities with potential for nuisance effects from dust, visual, noise or odour effects are located a sufficient distance from formed roads, neighbouring properties, waterbodies and any residential activity.	This policy is essentially a duplication of policy 24.2.2.3 above.	Ensure non-farming activities with potential for nuisance effects from dust, visual, noise or odour effects are located a sufficient distance from formed roads, neighbouring properties, waterbodies and any residential activity.
Policy 24.2.4.2	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.4.2:
Restrict the scale, intensity and location of subdivision, development and use of land in the Lake Hayes catchment, unless it can occur consistently with improvement to water quality in the catchment.	It is not reasonable to include a blanket restriction on land use and development within the Lake Hayes catchment.	Restrict the scale, intensity and location of subdivision, development and use of land in the Lake Hayes catchment, unless it can occur consistently with improvement to water quality in the catchment.
	It is not reasonable to require land use and development to improve water quality or occur concurrently with	Amend Policy 24.2.4.2:
	improvements in water quality. This	Restrict Manage the scale, intensity and location of subdivision, development

	<u> </u>	
	policy should be enabling and provide for use and development to occur concurrently with consistent maintenance of water quality.	and use of land in the Lake Hayes catchment, unless it can to ensure it occurs consistently with improvement to maintenance of water quality in the catchment.
	Further, water quality considerations	
	for the Lake Hayes catchment will be	
	captured in policy 24.2.4.1, therefore	
Policy 24.2.4.4	this policy is not necessary. Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.4.4
Foncy 24.2.4.4	Oppose	
Provide adequate firefighting water and	This policy is essentially a duplication	Provide adequate firefighting water and emergency vehicle access to ensure an
emergency vehicle access to ensure an	of a Chapter 27 policy. The subdivision	
efficient and effective emergency response.	chapter is the appropriate chapter for	
	considerations of water supply and	
Deliev 24 2 4 5	emergency vehicle access.	Arrowd Deliau 04.0.4.5
Policy 24.2.4.5	Oppose	Amend Policy 24.2.4.5
Ensure development has regard to servicing	The provisions of Chapter 27 are	Ensure development has regard to servicing and infrastructure and servicing is
and infrastructure costs that are not met by	comprehensive for subdivision	
the developer.	development infrastructure.	are not met by the developer.
	Consolidating this assessment here	
	will simplify the planning regime.	
Policy 24.2.4.8	Support in part	Policy 24.2.4.8
Encourage the removal of wilding exotic	It is not always appropriate or practical	Encourage the removal of wilding exotic trees at the time of development, where
trees at the time of development.	to remove wilding pines at the time of	there is a risk of wilding spread.
,	development. The policy should	
	provide flexibility where appropriate	
	and its focus should be on preventing	
	wilding spread.	
	The amendment proposed is	
	consistent with the wording of Policy	
	24.2.5.6.	
Policy 24.2.4.9	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.4.9
	This policy should be seened to all	Frequence the election retention and enhancement of indiana statistics
Encourage the planting, retention and enhancement of indigenous vegetation that is	This policy should be general to all areas suitable for replanting.	Encourage the planting, retention and enhancement of indigenous vegetation that is <u>ecologically</u> appropriate to the area and planted at a scale, density,
appropriate to the area and planted at a	areas suitable for replanting.	pattern and composition that enhances indigenous biodiversity values,
scale, density, pattern and composition that		contributes to native habitat restoration, particularly in locations such as gullies
could, achievy, pattorn and composition that	<u> </u>	

contributes to native habitat restoration,		and riparian areas , or to provide stability.
particularly in locations such as gullies and		
riparian areas, or to provide stability.		
Policy 24.2.5.4	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.5.4
Implement minimum and average lot size	The intention of an average lot size is	Implement minimum and average lot size standards in conjunction with
standards in conjunction with standards	not to reduce cumulative effects but to	standards controlling building size, location and external appearance, to
controlling building size, location and	encourage variation in subdivision and	enable development and variation in subdivision design and layout which
external appearance, so that the	design.	reflects so that the landscape character and visual amenity values of the
landscape character and visual amenity		applicable Landscape Character Unit Precinct, as identified in Schedule 24.8
values of the Precinct, as identified in		- Landscape Character Units, are not compromised by cumulative adverse
Schedule 24.8		effects of development.
– Landscape Character Units, are not		
compromised by cumulative adverse effects		
of development		
Policy 24.2.5.5	Oppose	Delete Policy 24.2.5.5
Maintain a defensible edge between areas of	This policy is unnecessary, unclear,	Maintain a defensible edge between areas of rural living in the Precinct and the
rural living in the Precinct and the balance of	would be impractical to apply, and	balance of the Zone
the Zone	would not result in favourable	
	landscape outcomes.	
Policy 24.2.5.6	Support in part	Amend Policy 24.2.5.6
Retain vegetation that contributes to	The amendments proposed are	Retain Encourage the retention of vegetation that contributes to
landscape character and visual amenity	compatible with the wording of policies	landscape character and visual amenity values of the Precinct,
values of the Precinct, provided it does	24.2.4.8 and 24.2.4.9	provided it does not present a high risk of wilding spread.
not present a high risk of wilding spread.		
Advice note 24.3.3.1	Oppose	Delete Advice note 24.3.3.1
Clarifications of the meaning of root		Clarifications of the meaning of root protection zone, minor trimming of a
protection zone, minor trimming of a	This advice note should be deleted	hedgerow, minor trimming and significant trimming are provided in Chapter
hedgerow, minor trimming and significant	consequentially, as Rule 24.4.29 is	2 — Definitions.
trimming are provided in Chapter 2 –	ultra vires in accordance with s76 RMA	
Definitions.	and should also be deleted.	
Rule 24.4.6	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.4.6
The construction of buildings for residential	There is no justification for requiring	The construction of buildings for residential activity that are located within a
activity that are located within a building	that a land owner obtain further	building platform approved by a resource consent. and registered on the
platform approved by a resource consent and	resource consent when building on an	applicable Computer Freehold register before 21 March 2019.
registered on the applicable Computer	approved and established building	

Freehold register before 21 March 2019. Control is reserved over: a. Landscape character; b. Visual amenity values c. Access; d. Infrastructure; e. Landform modification, landscaping and planting (existing and proposed). [Activity status: Controlled] Rule 24.4.7 The construction of buildings for residential activity that are not provided for in Rule 24.4.5 or 24.4.6 and are not contrary to Rule 24.4.8. Discretion is restricted to: a. Landscape character; b. Visual amenity values	platform which has already been subject to a thorough landscape assessment in which residential development was deemed appropriate. Further, where residential activity complies with the relevant standards it should be enabled. There is no justification for restricting future development (beyond the date of the decision) in circumstances where standards can be met and landscape character can be maintained. Support in part To ensure unreasonable development cannot occur in the MFRVP, which is intended to enable appropriate visitor activities, residential buildings within the MFRVP are proposed to be excluded from this rule. A separate rule for the management of residential buildings within the MFRVP is	 a. Landscape character; b. Visual amenity values c. Access; d. Infrastructure; e. Landform modification, landscaping and planting (existing and proposed). [Activity status: Controlled Permitted] Amend Rule 24.4.7 The construction of buildings for residential activity that are not provided for in Rule 24.4.5 or 24.4.6 and are not contrary to Rule 24.4.8 Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct.
 c. Access; d. Infrastructure; e. Landform modification, landscaping and planting (existing and proposed). f. Natural hazards. [Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]	proposed, to limit residential activities within the MFRVP to those which support management and staffing of visitor activities.	
New rule 24.4.x	As above, a separate rule is proposed	Insert new Rule 24.4.x
In Table 24.1 Activities in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone	for residential activities within the MFRVP, to ensure the purpose of the precinct to enable visitor activities is maintained and inappropriate	Road Visitor Precinct, with the exception of one on-site managers residence and
Residential activities and buildings	residential development does not occur.	<u>accommodation or commercial activities undertake within the Morven Ferry</u> <u>Road Visitor Precinct.</u>
Rule 24.4.8	Opposo	[Activity status: Discretionary] Amend Rule 24.4.8
NUIC 24.4.0	Oppose	

The construction of buildings for residential activity outside a building platform approved by a resource consent and registered on the	It is unclear why Standard 24.5.2 provides for the alteration of residential buildings not within a building platform	The construction of buildings for residential activity outside a building platform approved by a resource consent and registered on the applicable Computer Freehold Register on a site where there is such a building platform.
applicable Computer Freehold Register on a site where there is such a building platform.	by up to 30% increase in ground floor area as a restricted discretionary activity, but new construction outside	[Activity status: Non-complying-Discretionary]
[Activity status: Non-complying]	of building platforms is non-complying.	
	It is considered that discretionary activity status is more appropriate,	
	given any residential building constructed outside of a building	
	platform will be subject to the Standards in Table 24.3.	
New rule 24.4.x	Rule 24.4.18 is a blanket rule making all construction and alteration of	Insert new Rule 24.4.x:
In Table 24.1 Activities in the Wakatipu	buildings for non-residential activities a	The alteration of any lawfully established building used for non-residential
Basin Rural Amenity Zone	Restricted Discretionary activity.	<u>activity.</u>
Non-residential activities and buildings	It is submitted that the alteration of	[Activity status: Permitted]
	lawfully established buildings for non-	
	residential activities should be	
	permitted, as it is for the alteration of	
	buildings for residential activities in	
	Rule 24.4.4	
	All other circumstances of construction	
	and alteration of buildings for non-	
	residential activities will come under Rule 24.4.18.	
New rule 24.4.21A	Visitor accommodation within the	Insert new Rule 24.4.21A
	WBRAZ generally is a discretionary	
In Table 24.1 Activities in the Wakatipu	activity. A separate rule is proposed to	Visitor accommodation within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct
Basin Rural Amenity Zone	provide for visitor accommodation	
	within the MFRVP as a controlled	[Activity status: Controlled]
Non-residential activities and buildings	activity, subject to the Morven Ferry	
	Subzone specific standards sought to	
	be included in Chapter 24.	
New rule 24.4.x	The inclusion of this rule is in	New rule 24.4.x
	accordance with building rights under	
In Table 24.2 Activities in the Wakatipu	the Operative District Plan, where	The creation of a new residential building platform which complies with the

Basin Lifestyle Precinct	controlled activity rights are the default	residential density standards in Rule 24.5.1.
	for activities that comply with the relevant subdivision and density rules.	[Activity status: Controlled]
	There is no justification to restrict	
	further development within the Precinct, where all standards in Table	
	24.3 can be complied with.	
Rule 24.4.25	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.4.25
Residential flat not exceeding 150m ² gross	It is reasonable that a residential flat	Residential flat not exceeding 150m ² gross floor area that is separated from the
floor area that is separated from the principal	that does not exceed 150m ² gross	principal residential unit by no more than 6 metres, that is not provided for in
residential unit by no more than 6 metres,	floor area and is within 6 metres of a	Rule 24.4.6, and is not contrary to Rule 24.4.8.
that is not provided for in Rule 24.4.6, and is	residential unit, which is not outside of	
not contrary to Rule 24.4.8.	an approved building platform, should be enabled as a permitted activity in	Note: Residential flats attached to the principal residential unit are covered by Rule 24.4.5.
Note: Residential flats attached to the	the Precinct. In the circumstances	Rule 24.4.5.
principal residential unit are covered by Rule	landscape character and amenity	[Activity status: Discretionary Permitted]
24.4.5.	values would be able to be maintained.	
[Activity status: Discretionary]	At a minimum it is illogical for the	
	activity status of a residential flat falling	
	within this rule to be more restrictive	
	than restricted discretionary activity	
	status, given that if this rule was not	
	included in the Chapter the activity would fall generally within the ambit of	
	Rule 24.4.7 which has a restricted	
	discretionary activity status.	
Rule 24.4.26	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.4.26
	It is illogical for the activity status of a	
Residential flat not exceeding 150m ² gross floor area that is separated from the principal	residential flat falling within this rule to be more restrictive than restricted	Residential flat not exceeding 150m ² gross floor area that is separated from the principal residential unit by more than 6 metres, that is not provided for in Rule
residential unit by more than 6 metres, that is	discretionary activity status, given that	
not provided for in Rule 24.4.6, and is not	if this rule was not included in the	[Activity status: Non-complying Restricted Discretionary]
contrary to Rule 24.4.8.	Chapter the activity would fall generally	
	within the ambit of Rule 24.4.7 which	
[Activity status: Non-complying]	has a restricted discretionary activity status.	
Rule 24.4.29	Oppose	Delete Rule 24.4.29
Clearance, works within the root protection	This rule should be deleted as it is ultra	Clearance, works within the root protection zone or significant trimming of

zone or significant trimming of exotic	vires in accordance with s76 RMA.	exotic vegetation that is of a height greater than 4 metres.
vegetation that is of a height greater than 4 metres.		Discretion is restricted to:
Discretion is restricted to:		a) The extent of clearance;
a. The extent of clearance;		b) Trimming and works within the root protection zone;
b. Trimming and works within the root protection zone;		c) Replacement planting.
c. Replacement planting.		
Standard 24.5.1.4 Any site in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity	Oppose The effect of the requirement for sites to be 'located wholly outside of the	Amend Standard 24.5.1.4 Any site in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone located wholly outside the
Zone located wholly outside the Precinct in respect of which the Computer Freehold Register for the site was issued before 21	Precinct' and Rule 24.5.1.3 which requires any unit on a site that is part WBLP and part WBRAZ to be located	
March 2019 and with an area less than 80 hectares, a maximum of one residential unit per site.	within the WBLP, may unreasonably limit the use of WBRAZ land in these circumstances of mixed zoning of a	[Non-complying status: Non-complying]
[Non-complying status: Non-complying]	site. Reference to sites below 80ha, and the below Standard 24.5.1.5, are deleted in accordance with the relief sought to have no minimum lot density in the	
	WBRAZ.	Delete Oten deed 04.5.4.5
Standard 24.5.1.5	Oppose	Delete Standard 24.5.1.5
For that part of all other sites in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone wholly located outside of the Precinct, a maximum of one residential unit per 80 hectares net site area.	As above.	For that part of all other sites in the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone wholly located outside of the Precinct, a maximum of one residential unit per 80 hectares net site area.
		[Non-complying status: Non-complying]
[Non-complying status: Non-complying]		
Rule 24.5.2 – Alterations to buildings for residential activities not located within a building platform	Oppose Separate building coverage rules are	Amend Rule 24.5.2 – Alterations to buildings for residential activities not located within a building platform
Alterations to a building not located within a building platform must not increase the	proposed for buildings within the MFRVP. It is considered that within the MFRVP, relying on these maximum	the ground floor area by more than 30% in any ten year period.
ground floor area by more than 30% in any	building coverage rules is more	[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]

ten year period.	appropriate and will be sufficient to	
ton your ponou.	manage potential landscape character	Discretion is restricted to:
[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]	effects and ensure appropriate	a. Landscape character;
	development is maximised.	b. Visual amenity;
Discretion is restricted to:		c. External appearance;
a. Landscape character;		d. Infrastructure.
b. Visual amenity;		
c. External appearance;		Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor
d. Infrastructure.		Precinct.
Rule 24.5.4 - Building Size	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.4 - Building Size
0	1000m ² ground floor area is a more	5
Where a residential building is constructed	suitable maximum size for buildings in	Where a residential building is constructed within a building platform under Rule
within a building platform under Rule 24.4.6,	the Basin, and is more consistent with	24.4.6, the ground floor area of all buildings must not exceed $51000m^2$.
the ground floor area of all buildings must not	the existing residential development of	
exceed 500m ² .	the Basin.	[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]
[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]		
Rule 24.5.5 - Building coverage	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.5 Building coverage
The ground floor area of all buildings not	As above	The ground floor area of all buildings not subject to Rule 24.5.4 must not exceed
subject to Rule 24.5.4 must not exceed 15%		15% of net site area, or $51000m^2$. ground floor area, whichever is lesser.
of net site area, or 500m ² ground floor area,		
whichever is lesser.		[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]
[Activity status: Restricted Discretionary]		
		<u>Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor</u>
		Precinct.
New Rule 24.5.5A – Building coverage –	It is considered that non-residential	Insert new Rule 24.5.5A – Building coverage – Morven Ferry Road Visitor
Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct	buildings with a larger maximum	Precinct
	building coverage can be located	
	within the MFRVP whilst maintaining	The maximum building coverage in the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct shall
	landscape character and amenity	not exceed:
	values. Different maximum building	<u>In Area A: 1500m² ground floor area</u>
	coverages are proposed for Visitor A	 <u>In Area B: 3000m² ground floor area</u>
	and B areas, to reflect differences in	
	the ability to absorb additional	[Non-compliance status: Non-complying]
	development.	
	A larger maximum building coverage is	
	required for visitor and commercial	
	required for visitor and commercial	

	activities to ensure those activities are	
	economical and visitor demand can be	
	met.	
Rule 24.5.6 – Setback from internal boundaries	Support in part.	Amend Rule 24.5.6 – Setback from internal boundaries
	The rule is generally supported. A	The minimum setback of any building from internal boundaries shall be 10m.
The minimum setback of any building from	subzone specific rule is sought for the	
internal boundaries shall be 10m.	Morven Ferry Subzone to better utilise	The minimum setback of any building from internal boundaries in the Morven
	development opportunities and	Ferry Subzone shall be 6m, and 15m from Lot 1 DP 411193;
	manage potential development effects	
	in that area.	
Rule 24.5.7 – Height of buildings	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.7 – Height of buildings
	A maximum building height of 8m as a	
Rule 24.5.7.1 The maximum height of	restricted discretionary activity is	24.5.7
buildings shall be 6m.	appropriate in the Basin.	
buildings shall be om.	appropriate in the basin.	Rule 24.5.7.1 The maximum height of buildings shall be 68 m.
[non-compliance: RD]		The maximum height of any agricultural and viticulture buildings in the Morven
		Ferry Road Visitor Precinct shall be 10m.
Bula 24572 The maximum height of		<u>reny Road visitor Fredrict Shall be Tom.</u>
Rule 24.5.7.2 The maximum height of		
buildings shall be 8m.		[non-compliance: RD]
[non-compliance: NC]		Rule 24.5.7.2 The maximum height of buildings shall be 8m.
		[non-compliance: NC]
Rule 24.5.8 - Setback from roads	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.8 – Setback from roads
	A 75m setback from roads in the	
The minimum setback of any building from	Precinct is unworkable for the majority	The minimum setback of any building from road boundaries shall be 7510 m in
road boundaries shall be 75m in the Precinct	of lots in existence and thus creates an	the Precinct and 20m elsewhere in the Rural Amenity Zone.
and 20m elsewhere in the Rural Amenity	arbitrary standard that cannot be	
Zone.	complied with.	[non-compliance: RD]
[non-compliance: RD]		
New Rule 24.5.8A - Setback from Roads	A separate setback of 35m is proposed	Insert new Rule 24.5.8A – Setback from roads within the Morven Ferry Road
within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor	along Morven Ferry Road to ensure	Visitor Precinct
Precinct	amenity values along that route are	
FIECHICL		The minimum estheck of any building from Moreon Form, Dead shall be 25m in
	maintained.	The minimum setback of any building from Morven Ferry Road shall be 35m in
		the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct.
		[non-compliance: NC]
Rule 24.5.9 - Setback from Queenstown	Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.9 Setback from Queenstown Trail

Trail Any building shall be located a minimum of 75m from the boundary of any identified Queenstown Trail Setback as shown on the planning maps. [non-compliance: RD]	There is no justification for a greater setback from the Queenstown Trail than from roads. As above, a setback of 75m will be unworkable for property owners neighbouring the trail and will result in ineffective use of the rural land source. Further, much of the Queenstown Trail	Any building shall be located a minimum of 75<u>10</u>m from the boundary of <u>the</u> any identified Queenstown Trail Sotback as shown on the planning maps. [non-compliance: RD] <u>Note: This rule does not apply to buildings within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor</u> <u>Precinct.</u>
	is a Trail as defined and not a 'public place' for the purposes of assessing landscape character and visual amenity effects.	
Rule 24.5.10 - Setback from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff Features	Oppose A rule regarding setback from these natural features is not necessary,	Delete Rule 24.5.10 - Setback from Escarpment, Ridgeline and River Cliff Features
Any building or accessway shall be located a minimum of 50m from the boundary of any Escarpment, Ridgeline or River Cliff	clear, or easily implemented. The features identified are not the Outstanding Natural Features and	Any building or accessway shall be located a minimum of 50m from the boundary of any Escarpment, Ridgeline or River Cliff Feature shown on the planning maps.
Feature shown on the planning maps. [non-compliance: RD]	Outstanding Natural Landscapes protected in Chapter 6. There is no landscape justification for requiring such a setback. If the concern is related to natural hazards it should be addressed in Chapter 28 (Natural Hazards) not Chapter 24.	[non-compliance: RD]
Rule 24.5.13 Farm Buildings	Support in part	Amend Rule 24.5.13 Farm Buildings
Farm buildings	A maximum gross floor area for farm	Farm buildings
 a. The maximum gross floor area of any farm building shall be 50m². b. All exterior surfaces shall be coloured in the range of black, browns, greens or greys (except soffits). 	buildings is not necessary in the Morven Ferry Subzone.	 a. The maximum gross floor area of any farm building shall be 50m². b. All exterior surfaces shall be coloured in the range of black, browns, greens or greys (except soffits). c. Pre-painted steel and all roofs shall have a reflectance value not greater than 20%. d. All other surface finishes shall have a reflectance value of not greater than 30%.
c. Pre-painted steel and all roofs shall have a reflectance value not greater than 20%.		[non-compliance: RD]

Oppose	Amend Rule 24.5.16 – Retail Sales
Restricting the area of retail buildings to 25m ² is not workable within the MFRVP and will not result in economic and effective use of the MFRVP for	The maximum gross floor area of buildings shall be 25m ² for retail sales of farm and garden produce and wine grown, reared or produced on-site or handicrafts produced on the site.
visitor and commercial activities. It is	[Non-compliance: RD]
MFRVP are excluded from this rule and a separate rule limiting maximum building footprint specific to the	Note: This rule does not apply to the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct.
As buildings within the MFRVP are proposed to be excluded from rule 24.5.16, new rule 24.5.x is proposed to ensure appropriate restrictions on building footprint.	Insert New Rule 24.5.x – Maximum building footprint within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct With the exception of one viticultural building with a maximum building footprint of 500m², the maximum building footprint shall be 300m² per building.
	Discretion is restricted to: <u>Building location, character, scale and dominance</u>
-	[non-compliance: NC]
	Amend Rule 24.6 Non-notification of Applications
setbacks from identified landscape features is not necessary and should be deleted.	Any application for resource consent for controlled or restricted discretionary activities shall not require the written consent of other persons and shall not be notified or limited – notified, with the exception of the following a. Rule 24.5.4 Building Size.
	b. Rule 24.5.5 Building coverage. c. Rule 24.5.6 Setback from internal boundaries.
	d. Rule 24.5.7 Height of buildings. e. Rule 24.5.8 Setback from roads.
	f. Rule 24.5.10 Setback from identified landscape features Escarpment, Ridgeline or River Cliff Feature. g. Rule 24.4.16 Retail sales of farm and garden produce and wine, where the
	Restricting the area of retail buildings to 25m ² is not workable within the MFRVP and will not result in economic and effective use of the MFRVP for visitor and commercial activities. It is proposed that buildings within the MFRVP are excluded from this rule and a separate rule limiting maximum building footprint specific to the MFRVP is included in the chapter. As buildings within the MFRVP are proposed to be excluded from rule 24.5.16, new rule 24.5.x is proposed to ensure appropriate restrictions on building footprint.

f. Rule 24.5.10 Setback from identified		access is onto a State Highway.
landscape features Escarpment, Ridgeline		access is onto a state riignway.
or River Cliff Feature.		
g. Rule 24.4.16 Retail sales of farm and		
garden produce and wine, where the		
access is onto a State Highway.		
Assessment Matter 24.7.3	Support in part	Amend Assessment Matter 24.7.3
	Assessment matter sub-point g should	
Landscape character and visual amenity	be limited to an assessment of adverse	Landscape character and visual amenity
	effects on the <i>outstanding</i> elements of	
a. The extent to which the	ONLs and ONFs, as these elements	g. The extent to which the development avoids, remedies or mitigates
development avoids, remedies or	are what makes ONLF 'outstanding' in	adverse effects on the outstanding features, elements and patterns
mitigates adverse effects on the	the first instance. Adverse effects may	that contribute to the value of adjacent or nearby ONLs and ONFs.
features, elements and patterns	occur on other elements of ONLF	This includes consideration of the an appropriate setback from such
that contribute to the value of	which will not affect their overall	features as well as the maintenance of views from public roads and
adjacent or nearby ONLs and	outstanding-ness.	other public places to the surrounding ONL and ONF context.
ONFs. This includes consideration		
of the appropriate setback from	Sub-point j should be deleted as	
such features as well as the	openness is not a key element of	i. Whether the proposed development provides an opportunity to maintain
maintenance of views from public	landscape character and visual	landscape character and visual amenity through the registration of
roads and other public places to	amenity in all circumstances within the	covenants requiring open space to be maintained in perpetuity.
the surrounding ONL and ONF	Basin.	
context.		
j. Whether the proposed development		
provides an opportunity to maintain		
landscape character and visual amenity		
through the registration of covenants		
requiring open space to be maintained		
in perpetuity.		
Assessment Matter 24.7.4	Oppose	Delete Assessment Matter 24.7.4
	These assessment criteria are	
Infrastructure and access	duplicated under Assessment Matter	Infrastructure and access
a. The extent to which the proposal	24.7.6 and should be deleted as the	a. The extent to which the proposal provides for adequate on-site
provides for adequate on-site	duplication is unnecessary.	wastewater disposal and water supply. The provision of shared
wastewater disposal and water		infrastructure servicing to more than one property is preferred in order to
supply. The provision of shared		minimise environmental effects.
infrastructure servicing to more than		b. The extent to which the proposed access utilises an existing access or
one property is preferred in order to		provides for a common access in order to reduce visual and
minimise environmental effects.		environmental effects, including traffic safety, minimising earthworks and

 b. The extent to which the proposed access utilises an existing access or provides for a common access in order to reduce visual and environmental effects, including traffic safety, minimising earthworks and vegetation removal. 		<u>vegetation removal.</u>
Assessment Matter 24.7.5	Oppose	Amendments as above for 24.7.3
ASSESSMENT Matter 24.7.5	As above for 24.7.3	
See above $24.7.2$	AS above for 24.7.5	
See above 24.7.3	Ourse and in mant	A
Assessment Matter 24.7.7	Support in part	Amend Assessment Matter 24.7.7
Non-residential activities	A further assessment matter sub-point is proposed to ensure non-residential	Non-residential activities
Whether the proposal achieves:	development within the MFRVP is appropriately integrated with the	Whether the proposal achieves:
 a. An appropriate scale and intensity of the activity in the context of the amenity and character of the surrounding area including reference to the identified elements set out in Schedule 24.8 - Landscape Character Units for the relevant landscape character unit. b. Adequate visual amenity for neighbouring properties and from public places. c. Minimisation of any noise, odour and dust. d. Access that maintains the safety and efficiency of the roading and trail network. 	surrounding rural environment, and achieves the purpose of positively engaging with residents and visitor using the Queenstown Trail.	 a. An appropriate scale and intensity of the activity in the context of the amenity and character of the surrounding area including reference to the identified elements set out in Schedule 24.8 - Landscape Character Units for the relevant landscape character unit. b. Adequate visual amenity for neighbouring properties and from public places. c. Minimisation of any noise, odour and dust. d. Access that maintains the safety and efficiency of the roading and trail network. e. Within the Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct, the appropriate integration of development and activities within the rural environment, and the degree of interaction with the Queenstown Trail.
Assessment Matter 24.7.8	Oppose	Amend Assessment Matter 24.7.8
Setback from boundaries, Queenstown Trail, roads and Escarpments, Ridgeline and River Cliff Features Whether the proposal achieves: a. The maintenance of landscape character and visual amenity including reference to the identified elements set out in Schedule 24.8 - Landscape Character Units for the relevant landscape unit. b. The maintenance of views to the	Specific rules and an assessment matter regarding setbacks from escarpments, ridgelines and river cliff features is not necessary.	Setback from boundaries, Queenstown Trail, <u>and</u> roads and Escarpments, <i>Ridgeline and River Cliff Features</i> Whether the proposal achieves: a. The maintenance of landscape character and visual amenity including reference to the identified elements set out in Schedule 24.8 - Landscape Character Units for the relevant landscape unit. b. The maintenance of views to the surrounding mountain context. c. Adequate privacy, outlook and amenity for adjoining properties.

surrounding mountain context. c. Adequate privacy, outlook and amenity for adjoining properties.		
 Assessment Matter 24.7.14 Clearance, works within the root protection zone or significant trimming of exotic vegetation over 4m in height a. The degree to which the vegetation contributes to the landscape character and visual amenity values, and the extent to which the clearance or significant trimming would reduce those values. b. The potential for buildings and development to become more visually prominent. c. The merits of any proposed mitigation or replacement plantings. d. The effects on the health and structural stability of the vegetation. 	Oppose Rule 24.4.29 is ultra vires and should be deleted, and as a related assessment matter, Assessment Matter 24.7.14 should also be deleted.	 Delete Assessment Matter 24.7.14 Clearance, works within the root protection zone or significant trimming of exotic vegetation over 4m in height a. The degree to which the vegetation contributes to the landscape character and visual amenity values, and the extent to which the clearance or significant trimming would reduce those values. b. The potential for buildings and development to become more visually prominent. c. The merits of any proposed mitigation or replacement plantings. d. The effects on the health and structural stability of the vegetation. a. e. The merit of the removal of identified wilding exotic trees.
e. The merit of the removal of identified wilding exotic trees.		
Schedule 24.8 Landscape Classification Units 18: Morven Ferry 'Foothills'	Oppose The description of LCU 18 Morven Ferry 'Foothills' in Schedule 24.8 does not accurately and completely describe the landscape and amenity qualities of the LCU. Amendments are proposed to provide addition detail of the landscape qualities. The potential of the LCU to absorb further development is considered to be Moderate-Low rather than low, and is amended.	Amend Schedule 24.8 Landscape Classification Units – 18: Morven Ferry 'Foothills' as set out in Appendix B attached to this Notice of Appeal.
Chapter 27		
Rule 27.5.9	Oppose Controlled default activity status for	Amend Rule 27.5.9
All subdivision activities, unless otherwise	subdivision in the Wakatipu Basin is	All subdivision activities, unless otherwise provided for, in the Wakatipu Basin

provided for	in the Wakatin	, Rasin Rural	sought.	Rural Amonity	Zone or the Wakatinu Bas	sin Lifestyle Precinct
	provided for, in the Wakatipu Basin Rural sought. Amenity Zone or the Wakatipu Basin		Rural Amenity Zone or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct.			
Lifestyle Precinct.		Kalipu Buolii	It is considered unnecessary and	and		
			unreasonable to require restricted	 [Activity status: RD Controlled]		
			discretionary consent for subdivision,			
			where such subdivision is subject to	[/ totivity status.		
[Activity status	. BDI		and compliant with minimum and			
			average lot densities, and when			
			development is subject to various			
			standards to ensure landscape			
			character and visual amenity is			
			maintained or enhanced. Where			
			subdivision and development comply			
			with these standards and			
			minimum/average densities, enforcing			
			a restricted discretionary subdivision			
			regime unreasonably restricts the			
			development rights of landowners and			
			creates unnecessary uncertainty.			
	Standards for I	Minimum Lot	Oppose	1. Delete Rule 27.6.1 (as it relates to the Wakatipu Basin) and replace with		
Areas				discretionary subdivision regime.		
	to be created b		The minimum lot area approach is			
	nce lots, shall ha		opposed in the first instance and a			
	specified, an av		discretionary subdivision regime with			
area less than	area less than the minimum specified.		no minimum lot sizes is preferred.	2. Amend 27.6 rules – Standards for Minimum Lot Areas	imum Lot Areas	
7.000			In the alternative, it is considered	27.6.1 No lots	to be created by subdivis	ion, including balance lots, shall have a
Zone		Minimum	appropriate to have no minimum lot	net site area or	where specified, an aver	age net site area less than the minimum
	Lot Area		size in the WBRAZ, in accordance with			
Rural	Wakatipu	80ha	the approach taken for the Rural Zone			
	Basin Rural		and Gibbston Character Zone. In the	Zone		Minimum Lot Area
	Amenity		WBLP a stepped <u>average</u> density			
	Zone		approach is proposed, that allows for	Rural	Wakatipu Basin Rural	<u>No minimum</u> 80ha
	Wakatipu	6000m ²	identification of areas of the WBLP	<u>Wakatipu</u>	Amenity Zone	
	Bacin With grea	with greater and lesser capacity to	<u>Basin</u>			
Lifestyle		absorb development, and achieves		Wakatipu Basin	6000m ²	
	Precinct	minimum	variation in subdivision design and layout.		Lifestyle Precinct	
		average				1.0ha minimum average
						Precinct Zone A – 4000m ² average
						Precinct Zone B – 1 ha average

		Morven Ferry Subzone – 4000m ² average	
 27.9.3 Restricted Discretionary Activity Subdivision Activities 27.9.3.3 Assessment Matters in relation to Rule 27.5.9 (Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity zone and Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct Subdivision Activities) 	Support in part The amendments proposed to the assessment matters are intended to ensure provisions are enabling and provide flexibility.	Amend 27.9.3 Controlled and Restricted Discretionary Activity Subdivision Activities 27.9.3.3 Assessment Matters in relation to Rule 27.5.9 (Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity zone and Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct Subdivision Activities) General b. The extent to which the subdivision provides for low impact variation in design that avoids or mitigates adverse effects on the environment maintains or enhances landscape character and visual amenity values of the Wakatipu Basin.	
		Subdivision Design c. The extent to which the location of future buildings, ancillary elements and the landscape treatment complements the existing landscape character, visual amenity values and wider amenity values of the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone or Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct, including consideration of: i. <u>the retention of compatibility with</u> existing vegetation and landform	
		<i>i.</i> the retention of <u>compatibility with</u> existing vegetation and landform patterns; <i>f.</i> Whether clustering of future buildings or varied allotment sizes as part of subdivision design would offer a better solution for maintaining a sense of openness and spaciousness, or the integration of development with existing landform, vegetation or settlement patterns.	
		k. Whether the proposed subdivision provides an opportunity to maintain landscape character and visual amenity through the registration of covenants or consent notices requiring open space to be maintained in perpetuity.	
Planning Maps	-		
Planning Maps 13d and 30	Oppose The zoning of the eastern piece of the Land as WBLP is supported and it is sought that this zoning be retained,	, Rezone the Land to a combination of Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct with a $4000m^2$ minimum average density, and 'Morven Ferry Road Visitor Precinct A and B (all as a Morven Ferry Subzone within the Wakatipu Basin), as set out in the Proposed Zone Plan attached as Appendix C to the notice of appeal (and in	

subject to the amendments sought above.	Appendix 3 of the Barnhill Stage 2 submission, attached in Appendix D to this appeal).
Zoning of the remainder of the Land as Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone is opposed, as this zoning does not recognise that there are other areas between Morven Ferry Road and Kawarau River that have capacity to absorb further sensitive and appropriate development, and that landscape character and visual amenity values can be maintained or enhanced through site specific	Retain the reminder of the Land as WBRAZ, subject to the amendments sought in this appeal. Amend Landscape Character Units 18 to reflect the ability of the Land to absorb effects of further rural living subdivision and development, as set out in Appendix B to this appeal.
The land between Morven Ferry Road and Kawarau River is well placed along the Queenstown Trail and down a dead end road so as to provide a unique opportunity for visitor development and compatible rural living development.	
Rezoning the Land as requested will recognise the building rights of the landholder and the social, cultural and economic benefits created by rural living and rural visitor development.	