## Before the Panel of Hearing Commissioners

For the Queenstown Lakes Proposed District Plan

In the Matter of
And
In the Matter of
the Resource Management Act 1991
the Queenstown Lakes Proposed District Plan (Stage 2 - Hearing Stream 14)

# Memorandum of Counsel for Trojan Helmet Limited (Submitter 2387) Providing Further Information Requested by the Hearings Panel <br> Dated: 3 August 2018 

## May it Please the Panel

1. The Panel has requested to be provided photomontages for Proposed Housesites 4 and 5 of the Hills Resort Zone (HRZ), including hardcopies, and also to be provided with hardcopies of the photomontage included at Figure 7 of Mr Tyler's masterplanning report that accompanied Trojan Helmet Limited's (THL) submission dated 23 February 2018.

## Photomontages for Housesites 4 and 5

2. In accordance with the Panel's request, Mr Tyler has consulted with the Council's landscape witness, Ms Gilbert, and has agreed on the viewpoints from which the photomontages for Housesites 4 and 5 are to be depicted.
3. Ms Gilbert has requested that the development that would be enabled under a Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct zoning of the adjacent Hogans Gully land also be depicted in the montages, which My Tyler has done (refer Figure 14 of the montages, noting this includes the proposed 75 metre road setback).
4. For each of the two homesites, the montages show a $300 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ dwelling located centrally within the building platform identified on the HRZ Structure Plan, built to maximum height, utilising cedar and schist as external materials. If vegetation is shown, it is depicted in winter, at 5 years growth.
5. Through the course of preparing the montages, it has become apparent that a dwelling on within Housesite 5, as per the location of that housesite shown on the Structure Plan may, if built to maximum height, break the skyline. It is noted that the location of housesite 5 was shifted between THL's 12015 submission and its Stage 22018 submission, so as to be located closer to Hogans Gully Road and further from the newly established 9 hole short course. In light of the montage work, it is now proposed to shift Housesite 5 back to the 2015 location, to ensure the skyline will not be broken by a dwelling on that site when viewed from Hogans Gully Road. It is also proposed to impose a height limit of 6.5 metres above 371.0 masl (so a maximum height of 377.5 masl), with 8 m rolling height limit, whichever is lower. The photo montages depict the revised location and height limit now proposed for Housesite 5.

## Structure Plan

6. The revised location for Housesite 5 is shown on the annotated Structure plan included with the photomontages (Figure 10).
7. This Structure Plan also shows an additional accessway into Activity Area 9 so as to ensure that access to visitor accommodation can be provided on an alignment that does not impinge on the privacy of the Lodge (Housesite 1). This alterative accessway was inadvertently omitted from the Annotated Structure Plan attached to Mr Tyler's evidence dated 19 June 2018 (Figure 4). For the avoidance of doubt, the new access is an internal accessway only, and no new access points are proposed from the Arrowtown-Lake Hayes Road.
8. The changes to the Structure Plan described in this memorandum (regarding the location of Housesite 5 and the additional internal access for Activity Area 9) will be shown on the electronic version of the Structure Plan that is to be lodged by 14 August 2018.

## Building Heights - Otago Datum

9. At the hearing the Panel requested that the building height limits that are proposed to apply in the HRZ be expressed as Otago Datum. At the time of filing the revised HRZ Provisions (26 July 2018) counsel was unable to confirm the height limits with Mr Tyler. However, since then Mr Tyler has spoken with THL's surveyor who has confirmed that the masl limits stated in the HRZ Provisions are derived from Dunedin Datum (1958).

## Hard Copies of Photo Montages

10. As requested by the Panel, 5 hardcopies of the photomontages will be delivered to the Council's offices today.


Rebecca Wolt
Counsel for Trojan Helmet Limited





## Photomontage Notes:

Camera:
Date Taken:
Focril Length:
Horizontal $F O V$ V:
Panorama Stitc hing:
Reading Distance
28 mm
68 degrees;
Planar motion
30 cm from A3 page


个McDonnell Road Retirement Village SHA (In front of Pine trees)
$\uparrow$ Hills Resort Zone
Arowsouth Residential Area to night of view $\longrightarrow$

## Photomontage Notes:

Camera:
Date Taken:
Focal Length:
Horizontal FOV
Panorama Stitching:
Reading Distance

Phone 7;
29.12 .17

28 mm
68 degrees;
Planar motion
30 cm from A3 page

Methodology:
Medium yield option modelled (tota 191 units);
Build ing heights = Maximum height for Activity Areas, ( 8 m high two story buildings);
Building size $=170 \& 220 \mathrm{~m} 2$ ground footprints;
Mounding within $L 4, L 5 \& L 7$ shown at $1-3 \mathrm{~m}$ high
Tree planting shown at $3-6 \mathrm{~m}$ high (Representative of 5 years growth from large grade tree planting).


## Photomontage Notes:

Camera:
Date Taken
Date Taken:
Focal Length:
Horizontal FOV:
Panorama Stitc hing:
Reading Distance:

Iphone 7;
8.05.18 28 mm
64 degrees;
64 degre
31cm from A3 page
 75 m setback in foreground
$\hat{p}_{102}$

Photomontage Notes:
Camera:
Date Taken:
Focal Length:
Horizontal FOV
Panorama Stitching:
Reading Distance:
phone 7;
18.05.18

64 degrees;
None
31cm from A3 page

Methodology:
HS4, HS5 \& WBLP modelled
Buiding heights = Maximum heint for Activity Aras (HS4: 8m high two story building, HS5: 6.5 m high two story build ing, WBLP: 6 m high single story build dings);
Build ing sizes $=$ HS4 \& HS5: 300m2 ground footp rints, WBLP: $225-440 \mathrm{~m} 2$ Building sizes $=$ HS

Tree planting shown at 5 m high (Representative of 5 years growth from large grade tree planting).



## Photomontage Notes:

Camera:
Date Taken:
Focril Length:
Horizontal $F O V$ V:
Panorama Stitc hing:
Reading Distance
64 degrees;
None
31cm from A3 page

$\uparrow$ HSS

## Photomontage Notes:

Camera:
Date Taken:
Focal Length:
Horizontal FOV:
Panorama Stitc hing:
Reading Distance

64 degrees;
None
31cm from A3 page

Methodology:
HS4 \& HS5 modelled
Building heights = Maximum height for Activity Areas, (HS4: 8 m high two Story build ing, HS5: 6.5m high two story build ing);
Build ing sizes $=$ HS4 \& HS5: 300 m 2 ground footprints.

Tree planting shown at 5 m high (Representative of 5 years growth from large grade tree planting)


## Photomontage Notes:

Camera:
Date Taken:
Focal Length:
Horizontal FOV:
Panorama Stitc hing:
Reading Distance:
one.
30 cm from A3 page



## Photomontage Notes:

Camera:
Date Taken: Focal Length:
Horizontal FOV: Panorama Stitc hing:
Reading Distance:

30 cm from A3 pag

Methodology:
HS4 \& HS5 modelled
Building heights = Maximum height for Activity Areas, (HS4: 8 m high two story build ing, HS5: 6.5 m high two story build ing
Build ing sizes $=$ HS4 \& HS5: 300 m 2 ground foott

Tree planting shown at 5 m high (Representative of 5 years growth from large grade tree planting).

