THE SANCTUARY PROJECT RESOURCE CONSENT

494 Wanaka-Mount Aspiring Rd Wanaka FOR Nature Preservation Trustee Limited

Layout ID	Revision	Layout Name	Issued	Comment
		TITLE SHEET		
RC.A1-101	01	SITE PLAN EXISTING-GROUND LEVEL		Issued for Resource Consent
RC.A1-102	01	SITE PLAN PROPOSED-GROUND LEVEL		Structural columns added
RC.A1-103	01	SITE PLAN PROPOSED-BASEMENT LEVEL		Structural columns added
RC.A1-104	02	BASEMENT LEVEL FLOOR PLAN		Structural columns added
RC.A1-105	02	GROUND LEVEL FLOOR PLAN		Structural columns added
RC.A1-106	02	UPPER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN		Structural columns added
RC.A1-201	02	SECTIONS		Structural columns added
RC.A1-301	02	EAST ELEVATIONS	Ø	Structural columns added
RC.A1-302	02	ELEVATIONS		Structural columns added
RC.A1-303	02	ELEVATIONS		Structural columns added
RC.A1-304	01	GREEN WALL-HANGING GARDEN		Issued for Resource Consent

THE SANCTUARY PROJECT

Published Date: Friday, 17 September 2021







JIIE FLAN PROPUS Document Set ID: 7036107 Version: 1, Version Date: 18/10/2021













Document Set ID: 7036107 Version: 1, Version Date: 18/10/2021



Published Date: Friday, 17 September 2021 RC.A1-201

0

n







Y

2

Document Set ID: 7036107 Version: 1, Version Date: 18/10/2021







Scale 1:200 @ A1





SCHIST CLAD NATURALLY LANDSCAPED OPENINGS



EXTERIOR JOINERY



www.sortedarchitecture.co.nz | info@sortedarchitecture.co.nz PO Box 339 Wanaka 9192 NZ | P:03 443 1661 M:0274474147 ex 2020 Sorts 2021 Sorts 20

ARCH

0

0

Ń



Document Set ID: 7036107 Version: 1, Version Date: 18/10/2021

www.sortedarchitecture.co.nz PO Box 339 Wanaka 9192 NZ | P:03 443 1661 M:0274474147 @2015.8215.82015.821 Ratue Presentation Trust Lett Regists Reserved.

Ľ



PLANT SPECIES



Document Set ID: 7036107 Version: 1, Version Date: 18/10/2021



ARCH

2

0



SORTED ARCHITECTURE

The Sanctuary Project "At one with the environment."

By New Zealand Citizens who are long term, local Wanaka residents.

Background: To replace an existing house

Overall Height Reduction



Copyright 2021 Nature Preservation Trustee Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Replacement House

Additional Improvements over the Previous Application

Overall reduction of the maximum height by \approx .784 metres.

- 1. Removal of the roof top fence.
- 2. Removal of the roof top services equipment.
- 3. Removal of the exterior stairs going to the roof.
- 4. Removal of the 2.5 meter high chimney that was extending from the roof top.
- 5. Roof-line tapered slightly more for increased shadowing and to give it an even lighter and more delicate visual appearance.
- 6. in addition, there has been a large reduction in earthworks over the previous application.

By: Nature Preservation Trust & Sorted Architecture

Date: 20, May, 2021

Copyright 2021 Nature Preservation Trustee Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Copyright 2021 Nature Preservation Trustee Ltd. All Rights Reserved.





The Sanctuary Project

"At one with the environment."

By New Zealand Citizens who are long term Wanaka resident locals. For private residence- not a lodge business

Overall improvement of amenity values 🗸

Overall reduction of the visible impact of the current house \checkmark

Background: To replace an existing house

Additional Highlights and Improvements

Upper level, lake facing reflective surface areas:

Before: Multi-angled, reflective surface areas with a large metal roof.

After: $\approx 25\%$ reduction of the reflective surface area.

- Estimated > 90% reduction in available times of day for possible reflections due to removal of the large metal roof with highly reflective material and highly reflective low angles to the sun.
- One less metal roof in Wanaka's ONL.

Before: Standard glass windows facing lake with an estimated normal LRV of 7%.

After: Specialized low reflective 2% LVR glass ≈ 71% reduction in the light reflectance value.

Driveway:

Before: Driveway is at a higher level and is closer to the lake.

After: Driveway is at a lower level and further from lake making it less visible.

Upper level, lake facing, exterior lighting:

Before: External, lake facing lights on upper level.

After: None.





Balcony 1 (To be removed)

Balcony 2 (To be removed)



Additional highlights of the replacement house:

Ecological - Green Roof



Green Roof Benefits:

Purifies the air; minimizes heat loss; regulates the indoor temperature; increased energy efficiency means a reduced carbon footprint; reduction in ambient noise outside and inside; doubles or triples the lifespan of the roof; sustainable drainage system with reduction in storm water runoff; increased amenity values.

Green Walls





Integrated into the natural environment

Renewable Heating/Cooling Solution

The main heating/cooling system will be a ground or water sourced heat pump which has a low environmental impact and reduces one's carbon footprint.

Hanging plants

On the south side upper level from irrigated planter boxes above the thin windows on top of the corten steel coloured shrouds. They will be trained to grow as designed with vertical wires.



Ecologically sourced native plantings from locally sourced seeds



Grown locally by the specialist native nursery, Matukituki Natives.

Thousands of eco-native plants is far beyond those needed for screening and is intended to improve the area's amenity values and attract more birds and wildlife to the lake. (Please also see landscaping plan and native planting progress report.

The site of the current & re-placement house is the farthest removed site from neighbors in the area





SORTED ARCHITECTURE

The Sanctuary Project

"At one with the environment."

By New Zealand Citizens who are long time Wanaka resident locals. For private residence- not a lodge business

Background: To replace an existing house.

Overall improvement of amenity values \checkmark

Overall reduction of the visible impact of the current house \checkmark

2021 Application - To Further Reduce the Visibility of the proposed replacement dwelling

> Authors: Nature Preservation Trust Sorted Architecture

> > Date: 27, May 2021

Summary of the Positive Visual Improvements of the replacement house.

Before and After key points regarding the Current house and the Replacement

<u>53% Reduction</u>: Above Ground, Lake Facing, Visible House Structure Length:





Number of Lake Facing Rooms:

Before: 10 above ground lake facing rooms. **After:** 2 above ground lake facing rooms = **80% reduction.**

Upper Level Lake Facing Rooms:

Before: 5 lake facing rooms.

After: 1 lake facing room = 80% reduction.

Before: 2 lake facing, external, protruding upper level balconies. **After:** No upper level lake facing balconies = **100% reduction.**

Driveway:

The current driveway is to be relocated to a lower level and further away from the lake to reduce its viability and improve amenity values.

Entire House Profile In Relation to Environment:

Before: All above ground. After: \approx 68% below current ground level.

Before: The ground, lake facing floor is not recessed and it extends out in places. After: The ground, lake facing floor is recessed in shadows underneath the upper level <u>making it less visible</u>.

Before: Above ground portion of house is on average closer to the lake. **After:** <u>Above ground portion of house is on average further from the</u> <u>lake.</u>

Before: Above ground portion of the house is bigger. After: Above ground portion of the house is smaller.



Version: 1, Version Date: 23/06/2021



Version: 1, Version Date: 23/06/2021



Version: 1, Version Date: 23/06/2021



NOTES:



CROSS SECTION - B-B'

SCALE HORIZ=1:500 VERT=1:500





Document Set ID: 6915110 Version: 1, Version Date: 23/06/2021













Geotechnical Report for Resource Consent

492 Wanaka-Mount Aspiring Road, Wanaka **Report prepared for:** Batchelar McDougall Consulting Ltd

Report prepared by: GeoSolve Limited

Distribution: Batchelar McDougall Consulting Ltd GeoSolve Limited (File)

May 2021 GeoSolve Ref: 170051.01

Revision	Issue Date	Purpose	Author	Reviewed
0	04/05/2017	Client Issue	GSH	FAW
1	03/03/2021	Client issue	MDP	FAW
2	28/05/2021	Client issue	MDP	FAW









PAVEMENTS



Table of Contents

1	Intr	Introduction1						
	1.1	General	1					
	1.2	Development	2					
2	Site	Site Description2						
	2.1	General	2					
	2.2	Topography and Surface Drainage	2					
3	Geo	technical Investigations	4					
4	Sub	Subsurface Conditions						
	4.1	Geological Setting	4					
	4.2	Stratigraphy	4					
	4.3	Groundwater	5					
5	Eng	ineering Considerations	6					
	5.1	General	6					
	5.2	Geotechnical Parameters	6					
	5.3	Site Preparation	6					
	5.4	Excavations	7					
	5.4.	1 Cut and Fill Slopes in Soils	7					
	5.4.	2 Excavations in Schist Bedrock	7					
	5.5	Engineered Fill Slopes						
	5.6	Ground Retention	9					
	5.7	Groundwater Issues	9					
	5.8	Slope Stability at House Site						
	5.9	Settlement and Foundations						
	5.9.	1 Shallow Foundations						
	5.9.	2 Foundations on Schist Bedrock						
	5.9.3	3 Settlement						
	5.10	Site Subsoil Category						
	5.11	I opographic Amplification Factor						
	5.12	Other Earthworks						
	5.13	Pool Foundations						
	5.14	Wastewater Disposal Area						
6	Nei	highbouring Structures/Hazards						
7	Cor	onclusions and Recommendations15						
8	8 Applicability16							
G W	eotech 'anaka	nical Report, 492 Wanaka-Mt Aspiring Road	GeoSolve ref: 170051.01 May 2021					



1 Introduction

1.1 General

This report presents the results of a geotechnical investigation carried out by GeoSolve Ltd at 492 Wanaka-Mt Aspiring Rd, Wanaka.

It provides geotechnical inputs for a dwelling, garage, and swimming pool to be built following demolition of the existing house (Photo 1). Earthworks plans have been provided by C Hughes and Associates.

Geotechnical issues associated with other proposed earthworks on the property, and an infiltration site for wastewater and stormwater/pool water dispersal are also addressed.

The scope of work comprises:

- Site investigation for the house and garage to determine soil types and bedrock profile;
- Foundation bearing capacity design parameters;
- Retaining wall parameters including backfill parameters between the structure and bedrock;
- Temporary batter slopes in cut areas;
- Identification of possible groundwater issues;
- Identification of geotechnical issues associated with formation of the pool excavation (potential for "floatation", suitability of foundation soils);
- Issues associated with an expanded wastewater treatment system and discharge of stormwater and pool water;
- Investigation of various cuts and fills proposed around the property;
- Preliminary slope stability review.

The investigations were carried out for Batchelar McDougall Consulting Ltd in accordance with GeoSolve Ltd's proposal dated 2 February 2017.



Photo 1. Site photo showing existing house which is to be demolished and a new building constructed in front.



1.2 Development

The proposed development is a three-storey dwelling (replacing the existing house), a garage and swimming pool (see Figure 1, Appendix A).

2 Site Description

2.1 General

The property (Lot 2 DP 395762) is located approximately 4.2 km northwest of the Wanaka town centre, (see Figure 1) and is accessed from Wanaka-Mt Aspiring Road to the west. It has an existing dwelling, grassed areas and a cover of native trees and shrubs.

The site is bounded by the Whare Kea Lodge & Chalet to the north, 450A Wanaka-Mount Aspiring Rd to the south and 450B Wanaka-Mount Aspiring Road, lies to the west. A walking track runs along the eastern boundary.



Figure 1. Site location (blue symbol) in relation to Wanaka township (Source: http://maps.qldc.govt.nz/qldcviewer/).

2.2 Topography and Surface Drainage

The existing site topographic contours are shown in Figure 1a, Appendix A.



The site of the proposed building is flat to gently sloping. The land drops steeply towards Lake Wanaka to the east of the proposed building.



Photo 2. View of site from south with excavator at proposed building location, note steep slope to lake.



Photo 3. View downslope from front of building site showing steep schist slope.

There are no streams or springs on the property. A valley 100 m to the south contains a stream draining into Lake Wanaka.



3 Geotechnical Investigations

An engineering geological site assessment has been undertaken with confirmatory subsurface investigations. GeoSolve Ltd visited the subject property on the 2nd February 2017, undertaking geotechnical investigations comprising:

- 11 test pits which were advanced to a maximum depth of 3.8 m below ground level (bgl);
- Dynamic cone (Scala) penetrometer tests were undertaken at TPs 2, 4 and 6;

Test pit and Scala penetrometer locations and logs are contained in Appendices A and B respectively.

4 Subsurface Conditions

4.1 Geological Setting

The site is located in the Wanaka Basin, a valley feature formed predominately by glacial advances. Published references indicate last glacial advance occurred in the region about 18,000 years ago.

The glaciations have left glacial deposits comprising glacial till, and outwash gravel over icescoured schist bedrock. Post-glacial times have been dominated by erosion of the bedrock by local watercourses and deposition of alluvial fan deposits. Rockslides and rock-falls have also occurred on steep slopes. Lacustrine sediments were deposited in Lake Wanaka and beach gravel around the shoreline as post-glacial lake levels fell.

The Alpine Fault, located approximately 70 km away, runs along the western foothills of the Southern Alps, and is likely to present a significant seismic risk. There is a high probability that an earthquake of Magnitude 8 or more will occur along the Alpine Fault within the next 50 years and such a rupture is likely to result in strong ground shaking in the vicinity of Wanaka.

4.2 Stratigraphy

Test pit investigations were constrained by the existing buildings. They focused on profiling the contact between bedrock schist and the overlying glacial till where excavations for a basement level are proposed.

The garage site is located on a glacial till ridge to the west of the house, requiring a cut into the ridge. TP 10 located at the back of the cut (see Figure 1), found glacial till to 1.5 m depth, where progress was prevented by either bedrock or a large schist boulder. Foundations and excavations are likely to be in glacial till and schist bedrock, but the detailed geology is unknown and will require further investigations following removal of existing buildings.

The underlying geology in the area typically consists of the following:

- 0.2 to 0.3 m of **topsoil**, overlying;
- 0.2 to 0.5 m of **loess**, overlying;
- 0.1 to 1.3 m of **colluvium**, overlying;
- 0.5 to 3.5 m of glacial till, overlying;
- Schist bedrock.


Topsoil comprising organic SILT was observed at the surface of every test pit.

Underlying the topsoil lies thin loess, which comprises stiff, sandy SILT with rootlets.

Colluvium was observed to underlie the topsoil and loess and comprises brown, loose to medium dense, sandy GRAVEL with some silt and rootlets to silty sandy GRAVEL and stiff, sandy SILT with minor gravel and rootlets.

Glacial till was observed to underlie the loess and colluvium in all test pits and comprises grey, very stiff, sandy SILT, SILT and gravelly sandy SILT and medium dense, silty SAND.

Schist bedrock was observed to underlie the glacial till in TPs 4, 5 and 10 and comprises grey, strong, slightly weathered, SCHIST with contorted foliation and moderate to widely spaced joints. Schist was also observed to outcrop at the surface across the site.

Full descriptions of the observed subsurface stratigraphy at the site is provided in the test pit logs in Appendix B.

4.3 Groundwater

No groundwater seepage was observed in any of the test pits during site investigations. The soils observed were predominantly moist in condition and the groundwater table is inferred to lie at considerable depth below the site.



5 Engineering Considerations

5.1 General

The recommendations and opinions contained in this report are based upon ground investigation data obtained at discrete locations on site and historical information held on the GeoSolve database. The nature and continuity of subsoil conditions away from the investigation locations is inferred and cannot be guaranteed.

5.2 Geotechnical Parameters

Table 1 provides a summary of the recommended geotechnical design parameters for the soils expected to be encountered during construction of the proposed dwelling, garage and retaining walls.

Unit	Thickness (m)	Bulk Density γ (kN/m ³)	Effective Cohesion c´ (kPa)	Effective Friction ¢´ (deg)	Elastic Modulus E (kPa)	Poissons Ratio ע
Topsoil (organic SILT)	0.2-0.3	16	To be re	moved from engineere	building foot d fill areas	print and
Loess (stiff, sandy SILT)	0.2-0.5	18	0	31	5,000	0.3
Colluvium (loose to medium dense, sandy GRAVEL to silty sandy GRAVEL and stiff, sandy SILT)	0.1-1.3	18	0	31-33	5,000- 10,000	0.3
Glacial Till (very stiff, sandy SILT, SILT and gravelly sandy SILT and medium dense, silty SAND)	0.5-3.5	18	2	34	20,000- 30,000	0.3

Table 1 – Recommended geotechnical design parameters

5.3 Site Preparation

During the earthworks operations all topsoil, organic matter, uncertified fill, loess, colluvium and other unsuitable materials should be removed from the construction areas in accordance with the recommendations of NZS 4431:1989.

Robust, shallow graded sediment control measures should be instigated during construction where rainwater and drainage run-off across exposed soils is anticipated.

Exposure to the elements should be limited for all soils and covering the soils with polythene sheeting or site concrete will reduce degradation due to wind, rain and surface run-off.

Water should not be allowed to pond or collect near or under a foundation slab. Positive grading of the subgrade should be undertaken to prevent water ingress or ponding.



All fill that is utilised as bearing for foundations should be placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations of NZS 4431:1989 and certification provided to that effect. The colluvium and glacial till can be used as engineered fill on site (during good weather and in accordance with an earthfill specification). The topsoil and loess are not suitable as a fill source. As the natural fill sources comprise silt and fine sand, any fill earthworks should only proceed in drier, warmer months (during earthworks season). Due to the changeable grain size of the natural soil materials on site, a range of compaction reference tests will be required. Maximum density and optimum moisture content will vary. There should be a contingency in the earthworks programme and budget to strip wet and weaving layers and allow drying time following rainfall. Compaction of the fill sources at lab tested optimum moisture content is critical for these soil types. In all cases, rounded gravel clasts as well as boulders and cobbles over 100 mm in size will need to be screened from engineered fill sources. Alternatively, granular fill can be imported from a local quarry. An earthfill specification can be provided by GeoSolve on request.

We recommend topsoil stripping and subsequent earthworks be undertaken only when a suitable interval of fair weather is expected, or during the earthworks construction season.

5.4 Excavations

Recommendations for temporary and permanent batter slope angles are described below in Table 2. Slopes that are required to be steeper than those described below should be structurally retained or subject to specific geotechnical design.

All slopes should be periodically monitored during construction for signs of instability and excessive erosion, and, where necessary, corrective measures should be implemented to the satisfaction of a Geotechnical Engineer or Engineering Geologist.

No seepage was encountered during test pitting and hence groundwater is unlikely to be encountered during excavations. However, a geotechnical practitioner should inspect any seepage, spring flow or under-runners that may be encountered during construction.

The subsurface soils are anticipated to be excavated by conventional methods.

5.4.1 Cut and Fill Slopes in Soils

Table 2 summarises the recommended batter angles for temporary and permanent slopes up to 8 m high formed in the soils identified at the site.

Soil Material Type	Recommended Maximum Batter for <u>Temporary</u> Cuts Less than 8 m High (horizontal to vertical)	Recommended Maximum Batter for <u>Permanent</u> Cuts Less than 8 m High (horizontal to vertical)
Topsoil, Loess and Colluvium	2:1	3 : 1
Glacial Till	1:1	2:1

Table 2 – Recommended batters for temporary and permanent cuts up to 8 m in height in dry soils

5.4.2 Excavations in Schist Bedrock

Depending on the depth of the excavation, the cut may be partially formed within schist bedrock. The stability of cut slopes in schist rock is governed by the strength and orientation



of the defects present within the rock mass (joints, fractures, crush zones, foliation shear zones etc.).

The primary defect present within the schist rock is the foliation. The foliation is a persistent plane of weakness, which has the potential to result in stability issues. In addition, secondary defect sets are present in the rock mass, which can interact with the foliation to form unstable blocks and effect the stability of the proposed cut slopes. The presence, location, condition and impact of the secondary defects is difficult to assess prior to construction.

Measures to support unstable rock cuts commonly include the installation of rock anchors, rock bolts and/or shotcrete facing, trimming back the cut face to a shallower angle, and the construction of a retaining structure and/or propping.

Due to the variability of schist terrain, and the random occurrence of secondary defects, it is recommended that a staged approach be adopted for the proposed excavation construction to enable any additional support requirements to be confirmed on a case-by-case basis.

The following recommendations are provided with respect to the proposed excavation in rock materials:

- Pilot cuts should be made in advance of the bulk excavation. Such pilot cuts should be supervised; controlled and logged by a geotechnical specialist and comprise small "slots" which due to their size, location, and depth will not pose a significant instability risk to adjacent sites. Observations made in the pilot cuts should be used to confirm any rock support requirements and the excavation construction sequence prior to proceeding with the bulk excavation.
- The bulk excavation should be completed in a staged manner and advanced in several small steps and bays. The depth and size of the excavation should increase with each stage of excavation. Based on previous local experience, GeoSolve recommends that all batters in schist are initially formed at 0.25H: 1.0V or flatter.
- Each new section of exposed cut face should be inspected by an engineering geologist or geotechnical engineer to confirm the ground conditions and verify any requirement for additional support measures or modification to the excavation sequence.
- The construction programme and budget should make appropriate allowance for the completion of a staged excavation sequence and the installation of additional stabilisation measures.

5.5 Engineered Fill Slopes

All fill underlying the dwelling foundations should be placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations of NZS 4431: 1989 and Queenstown Lakes District Council Standards. All cut and fill earthworks should be inspected and tested as appropriate during construction and certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer.

All un-retained fill slopes which are less than 6 m high should be constructed with a batter slope angle of 2.0H: 1.0V (horizontal to vertical) or flatter and be benched into sloping ground.

Reinforced earth slopes can be considered if batters need to be steeper than 2.0H: 1.0V.



5.6 Ground Retention

Retaining walls should be designed by a Chartered Professional Engineer using the geotechnical parameters recommended in Table 1 of this report. Due allowance should be made during the detailed design of all retaining walls for forces such as surcharge due to the sloping ground surface behind the retaining walls, groundwater, seismic and traffic loads, swimming pools and buildings.

All temporary slopes for retaining wall construction should be battered in accordance with the recommendations outlined in Table 2 of this report. Where these batter slopes cannot be achieved, temporary retaining will be required.

Groundwater was not identified in the test pits but has the potential to develop following completion of the earthworks, in particular as a result of heavy or prolonged rainfall. To ensure potential groundwater seeps and flows are properly controlled behind the retaining walls, the following recommendations are provided:

- A minimum 0.3 m width of durable free draining granular material should be placed behind all retaining structures;
- A heavy duty non-woven geotextile cloth, such as Bidim A14, should be installed between the natural ground surface and the free draining granular material to prevent siltation and blockage of the drainage media;
- A heavy-duty (TNZ F/2 Class 500) perforated pipe should be installed within the drainage material at the base of all retaining structures to minimise the risk of excessive groundwater pressures developing. This drainage pipe should be connected to the permanent piped storm water system;
- Comprehensive waterproofing measures should be provided to the back face of all retaining walls forming changes in floor level within the dwelling to minimise groundwater seepage into the finished buildings.

It is recommended that the retaining wall excavation batters are inspected by a suitably qualified and experienced Geotechnical Engineer or Engineering Geologist.

5.7 Groundwater Issues

The water table is expected to lie at considerable depth below the site. Dewatering or other groundwater-related construction issues are therefore unlikely to be required. It is important that GeoSolve be contacted should there be any seepage, spring flow or under-runners encountered during construction.

5.8 Slope Stability at House Site

The proposed building is located near the crest of a slope extending down to the shoreline of Lake Wanaka (see Photos 2 & 3). Slopes below the site range up to 45°.

The proposed dwelling is to be excavated down by approximately 3-4 m depth at the slope crest.

A surface layer of glacial till a few metres thick is underlain by schist bedrock. The schist is typically strong, with contorted, highly folded structure making foliation difficult to define. Jointing is moderately to widely spaced, and weathering slight.



No evidence of significant existing slope instability was observed on the slopes below the proposed building, apart from several loose schist blocks.

The building structure is setback less than 3 m from the crest of the slope. No slope stability assessment has been completed at this stage and it is recommended that a detailed slope stability analysis is completed at the detailed design stage, supplemented with additional geotechnical investigations. As the building is positioned close to the slope crest it is critical that geotechnical investigations confirm the glacial till to schist bedrock contact and foliation and defect measurements within the schist rock mass. This can be completed by field mapping of the slope face, trenched test pit/pilot cuts and rock core drilling. Cross sections of the geotechnical model will be completed to assist the slope stability analysis.

If required safety factors are not met suitable remedial options are available comprising benched or piled foundations, rock anchors or soil anchors.

The property boundary is offset 30 m to the east of the proposed dwelling footprint, therefore there is sufficient room to complete remedial measures within the boundary if needed.

All earthworks construction cuts should be subject to inspection during construction, and if higher or steeper than outlined in Table 2 should be subject to specific design.

5.9 Settlement and Foundations

5.9.1 Shallow Foundations

It is expected the building foundations will comprise a concrete slab on grade or engineered fill for the extent of the building platform.

The foundation will bear on either glacial till or possibly schist, following removal of the topsoil, loess & colluvium. Two Scala penetrometer tests were carried out (SC2 & 3) adjacent to TP 4 & 6 (see Appendix B). The test results indicate 'good bearing' in the glacial till below the surficial soils.

All unsuitable soil materials identified in foundation excavations, particularly those softened by exposure to water, should be undercut and replaced with engineered fill during construction. Any fill that is utilised as bearing for foundations should be placed and compacted in accordance with NZS 4431:1989 and certification provided to that effect.

It is recommended the foundation excavations be inspected and tested by a suitably qualified and experienced geotechnical specialist to confirm the conditions are in accordance with the assumptions and recommendations provided in this report.

To minimise the effects of freeze-thaw cycles in footings founded on soil, all shallow foundations should be founded a minimum of 0.4 m below the adjacent finished ground surface.

Figure 2 summarises the recommended working stresses for shallow footings, which bear upon glacial till or engineered fill (overlying glacial till or schist rock). It should be noted the foundation working stresses presented on Figure 2 are governed by bearing capacity in the case of narrow footings and settlement in the case of wide footings.



Figure 2 summarises the recommended working stresses for shallow footings, which bear upon glacial till or engineered fill (overlying glacial till or schist rock). It should be noted the foundation working stresses presented on Figure 2 are governed by bearing capacity in the case of narrow footings and settlement in the case of wide footings.



Figure 2. Recommended Bearing for Shallow Footings on glacial till or engineered fill overlying the same.

From Figure 2 it can be seen an allowable working stress of approximately 100 kPa is recommended for a 400 mm wide by 400 mm deep strip footing founded within glacial till or engineered fill. This corresponds to a factored (ULS) bearing capacity of approximately 150 kPa and an ultimate geotechnical bearing capacity of 300 kPa.

Inspection and testing (Scala penetrometers) should be completed along the footing alignments during construction to confirm the above values are applicable and that the soil has not been softened by weather or excavation.

5.9.2 Foundations on Schist Bedrock

For foundations bearing on competent schist bedrock an allowable working stress of 300 kPa is recommended for a 0.4 m wide by 0.4 m deep footing. This corresponds to a factored (ULS) geotechnical bearing capacity of approximately 450 kPa and an ultimate geotechnical bearing capacity of 900 kPa.

5.9.3 Settlement

Settlement and differential settlement of shallow foundations are expected to be within structurally acceptable limits provided the recommendations of Section 5.9 are followed and



all unsuitable soil materials, particularly those softened by water, are undercut and replaced with engineered fill during construction.

5.10 Site Subsoil Category

For detailed design purposes it is recommended the magnitude of seismic acceleration be estimated in accordance with the recommendations provided in NZS 1170.5:2004.

Following the proposed excavations, the building footprint is likely Class B (less than 3 m of soil overlying competent rock) and Class C (shallow soil site) in accordance with NZS 1170.5:2004 seismic provisions. As the development is expected to partially bear upon schist bedrock or less than 3 m of soil overlying schist it is recommended that Class C is adopted for design purposes.

5.11 Topographic Amplification Factor

The proposed development is situated close to the edge of a ~50 m high, moderately inclined (up to approximately 45°) slope. During earthquake shaking seismic waves radiate out from the source and converge along steep topography at the Earth's surface such as cliff edges or ridge tops. Evaluation of damage in the Port Hills following the Christchurch earthquakes observed well demarked areas of increased damage near the crest of steep slopes which indicated clear zones of seismic amplification.

Based on the recommendations of Eurocode 8¹, a topographic amplification factor of 1.2 is recommended to be adopted for the design of the building.

5.12 Other Earthworks

Investigations were carried out for a series cuts and fills in other parts of the property (see Figure 1c, Appendix A for the proposed cut and fill plan).

- A new access road to the house requires a cut through a 3 m high moraine ridge to the northwest. TP11 was located on the ridge and found glacial till to 3.1 m depth indicating the excavations would be entirely in glacial till.
- Landscaping fill is proposed on gently sloping ground downslope of the house at the northern end of the property. TPs 1-3 and a Scala penetrometer test were located in this area to check soil relative density. The test pits found thin loess/colluvium underlain by glacial till and no evidence of groundwater. The areas appear to be appropriate for suitably designed landscape fill benches. Further commentary around the wastewater field proposed for this area is given below.
- Fills of up to 5.5 m (however generally less than 2.0 m) are proposed to the west of the dwelling to dispose of the required dwelling excavation excess fill material. This area is expected to be underlain by glacial till and schist bedrock. Instability would appear unlikely assuming fills are well compacted and constructed in accordance with the recommendations provided within Section 5.4 and 5.5.

5.13 Pool Foundations

A swimming pool is proposed on a gently sloping site at the northern end of the proposed building complex (see Figure 1, Appendix A).

¹ Eurocode 8: Design Provisions for Earthquake Resistant Structures



To provide a preliminary assessment of likely ground conditions, a test pit (TP9) was undertaken adjacent to the proposed pool location. TP9 observed topsoil and colluvium to 0.6 m depth, underlain by glacial till to 2.8 m. No groundwater was present in the pit.

The data suggests that 'floatation' conditions due to a high watertable are unlikely to be an issue. Further work would be necessary to determine if schist bedrock could be encountered in other parts of the pool excavation.

5.14 Wastewater Disposal Area

The wastewater disposal field is proposed in the northeast of the site as shown on Figures 1a-c, Appendix A. TPs 1-3 were undertaken within this area which observed shallow loess and colluvium overlying glacial till comprising sandy SILT, SILT and silty SAND with minor gravel. Fill earthworks of up to 2.5 m thickness is proposed for the wastewater disposal area. It is recommended that wastewater disposal is targeted within the natural soils below the proposed landscaping fill. It is not recommended to dispose of wastewater into engineered fills onsite.

Glacial till comprising the above is considered likely to be a Soil Category 4 soil (CLAY LOAM). Glacial till was observed to extend to a depth of up to 2.6 m bgl in the proposed wastewater disposal area. The depth to rock is unknown at this stage.

Site specific testing can be completed at detailed design to confirm the soil category (a loading rate higher than that of Soil Category 4 may be able to be achieved with further testing) if required by the designer.



6 Neighbouring Structures/Hazards

Natural Hazards: Known seismic hazards affecting the development are detailed in Section 4.1 and appropriate allowance should be made for seismic loading during detailed design of the proposed building and foundations. The development is not located within any mapped slope instability features, liquefaction susceptibility areas or any other hazard features on the QLDC or GeoSolve databases. Due to the nature of the soils, shallow bedrock, and depth to water table there is no liquefaction risk on this site.

Distances to adjoining structures: The site is situated on a large section and it is 300 m to the nearest dwelling. No adverse geotechnical implications apply for neighbouring properties during construction of the dwelling as long as dust mitigation measures are undertaken.

Aquifers: No aquifer resource will be adversely affected by the development.

Erosion and Sediment Control: The site presents some potential to generate silt runoff during heavy rainfall events and this would naturally drain downslope. Effective systems for erosion control are runoff diversion drains and contour drains, while for sediment control, options are earth bunds, silt fences, vegetation buffer strips and sediment ponds. Only the least amount of subsoil should be exposed at any stage and surfacing established as soon as practical. Details for implementation are given within the following link: http://esccanterbury.co.nz/.

Noise: It is expected that conventional earthmoving equipment, such as excavators, trucks and rollers will be required during construction. No neighbouring dwellings are located near the proposed earthworks areas.

Dust: Regular dampening of soil materials with sprinklers to QLDC standards should be effective if required.

Vibration: No vibration induced settlement is expected in these soil types. No buildings will be in close proximity to the proposed engineered fill works.



7 Conclusions and Recommendations

- The site is in glacial terrain elevated high above the lake shore, with glacial till overlying schist bedrock, and surficial loess & colluvium;
- No groundwater seepage was observed in the test pits during site investigations and the water table is inferred to lie at considerable depth;
- Geotechnical investigations show the foundations of the proposed building are likely to be on glacial till overlying schist bedrock or directly upon schist bedrock;
- Additional investigations for the building foundations should be carried out at detailed design when the existing dwelling is removed;
- The proposed building is located within 3 m of the crest of a high, steep (up to 45°) schist slope. A detailed slope stability assessment is recommended at detailed design stage, supplemented with further geotechnical investigations;
- For detailed design purposes it is recommended the magnitude of seismic acceleration be estimated in accordance with the recommendations of NZS 1170.5:2004 using "Class C" subsoil conditions;
- Due to the ridge-top location of the building, a seismic amplification factor should be applied during detailed design. This is detailed within Section 5.11;
- Recommendations for temporary and permanent batter slope angles are described in Table 2. Slopes that are required to be steeper than those described should be structurally retained or subject to specific geotechnical design;
- All retaining walls should be designed by a Chartered Professional Engineer using the geotechnical parameters recommended in Table 1 of this report;
- All unsuitable materials identified in foundation excavations, particularly those softened by exposure to water, should be undercut and replaced with engineered fill during construction.
- Any fill that is utilised as bearing for foundations should be placed and compacted in accordance with NZS 4431:1989 and certification provided to that effect;
- A geotechnical practitioner should inspect all excavation batters and foundation soils and additionally any seepage, spring flow or under-runners that may be encountered during construction;
- Limited investigations adjacent to the proposed pool show no groundwater issues, with excavations likely to be in glacial till but with the possibility of some schist bedrock.



8 Applicability

This report has been prepared for the benefit of Batchelar McDougall Consulting Ltd with respect to the particular brief given to us and it may not be relied upon in other contexts or for any other purpose without our prior review and agreement.

Additional investigations for the building foundations should be carried out when the existing dwelling is demolished.

Please don't hesitate to contact the undersigned if we can provide any further assistance with this project.

Report prepared by:

Mike Plunket Geotechnical Engineer

Reviewed for GeoSolve Ltd by:

Fraser Wilson Senior Engineering Geologist



Appendix A: Site Plan & Crosssections



Version: 1, Version Date: 22/06/2021



Version: 1, Version Date: 22/06/2021



Version: 1, Version Date: 22/06/2021



NOTES:



CROSS SECTION - B-B'

SCALE HORIZ=1:500 VERT=1:500



Revision		Am	endments		Date		
В	Earthy	vorks terrace, tracks re	moved and	quantities	28/01/2021		
С	Earthy	vorks over site and qua	antities		17/01/2021		
D	Chang	ed datum to NZVD201	16		29/01/2021		
Е	Chang	jed scale.			12/04/2021		
F	Earthw	vorks and changed sca	ale.		26/04/2021		
C. H	UG	HES &	ASS	OCIAT	ES LTD		
Survey	ing a	nd Resource	Manag	ement • Ce	entral Otago		
CRON	IWE	LL WA	NAKA				
17A MURE P.O. BOX	RAY TE 51	RRACE LEVE P.O.	L 3, 80 AR BOX 599	DMORE ST	(LD)		
03 445 03	76	03 44	3 5052				
	** ** **	.criasui veyo	13.00.11	2			
THE	S۵	NCTUAR			т		
	0/1						
EAR	тн	WORKS	DES	GN			
LOT	2 C	P 39576	2				
494 W	/AN	AKA-MT AS	SPIRIN	IG ROAD	5		
Title:							
PRO	PO	SED CO	NSTI	RUCTIO	NC		
EAR	тн	WORKS	CRO	SS SE	CTIONS		
A-A'	& E	3-B'					
PRELIMINARY							
Copyright of this drawing is vested in C. Hughes & Associates Limited. The Contractor shall verify all dimesions on site.							
CAUTION - The information shown on this plan has been prepared under specific instruction from the client and is intended solely for the clients use. The information is valid as at the date of survey. C. Huppler & Associates use timble valid solely and programmed arising out of this plan, or the information thereon whether in hard copy or electronic format by any other party for any purpose whatsoever.							
Scale: AS SHOWN (A3)							
Job No: 5450		Drawn By: SR	Datum: NZV	D2016			
Drawing No: C1538	3	Sheet No: 4	Revision: F	Date Created: 23/11/2	2020		









Appendix B: Investigation Data



	F I C	PROJECT:	VERTICAL		Job Number: 170051 Direction:				
	ELE	EASTING: DRTHING: EVATION: METHOD:		mE mN m	EQUIPMENT: INFOMAP NO. DIMENSIONS: EXCAV. DATUM:	8Tonne digger	OPERAT COMP/ HOLE STAR HOLE FINISH	FOR: ANY: FED: HED:	Ethan Diverse Works 7-Feb-17 7-Feb-17
									GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	BOIL / ROCK CLASSIFICATION, PLASTICITY OR BOIL / ROCK CLASSIFICATION, PLASTICITY OR PARTICLE SIZE CHARACTERISTICS, COLOUR, WEATHERING, SECONDARY AND MINOR COMPONENTS						WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION	
		0.2	۳×۲	Black, organic SILT.				Moist	TOPSOIL
		0.5	XXX	Light brown, sandy	SILT with rootlets. Sand	is fine. Silt is non-plast	ic. Stiff. Massive.	Moist	LOESS
		0.9	0.000	Brown, silty sandy G dense. Massive.	RAVEL. Sand is fine to co	parse. Gravel is fine to	coarse. Medium	Moist	COLLUVIUM
	NO SEEPAGE	2.4	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Grey, interbedded si coarse. Sand is fine. bedded.	andy SILT, SILT & silty S Silt is non-plastic. Very s	AND with minor gravel	. Gravel is fine to assive to weakly	Moist	TILL

COMMENT:	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



	PROJECT: 492 Mt Aspiring-Wanaka Rd LOCATION: See Site Plan Inclination: VERTICAL								Job Number: 170051 Direction:
	EASTING ME EQUIPMENT: 8Toppe digger OPERAT								Fthan
	NC	DRTHING:	 :	mN	INFOMAP NO.	oronne digger	COMPA	ANY:	Diverse Works
	ELE	EVATION		m	DIMENSIONS:		HOLE START	TED:	7-Feb-17
	METHOD: EXCAV. DATUM: HOLE FINIS							HED:	/-FeD-1/
	1.1		т					I	GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	GROUNDWATER / SEEPAGE	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SC P, WEATH	IIL / ROCK CLASSIFICAT ARTICLE SIZE CHARACT HERING, SECONDARY AN	WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION		
		0.3	Ľ×ľ	Black, organic SILT.				Moist	TOPSOIL
		1.6		Chocolate brown, sa to coarse. Loose. Ma	ndy GRAVEL with some	silt & rootlets. Sand is	ine. Gravel is fine	Moist	COLLUVIUM
	NO SEEPAGE	2.1	×××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	Grey, interbedded sa coarse. Sand is fine. bedded.	andy SILT, SILT & silty S Silt is non-plastic. Very	AND with minor gravel	. Gravel is fine to assive to weakly	Moist	TILL

COMMENT:	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



	LC	PROJECT: DCATION:	492 Mt A See Site	Aspiring-Wanaka Rd Plan		Inclination:	VERTICAL		Job Number: 170051 Direction:
EASTING: ME EQUIPMENT: 8Tonne digger OPP NORTHING: mN INFOMAP NO. CC ELEVATION: m DIMENSIONS: HOLE S METHOD: EXCAV. DATUM: HOLE FI						OPERAT Comp Hole Star Hole Finish	TOR: ANY: TED: HED:	Ethan Diverse Works 7-Feb-17 7-Feb-17	
									GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	GROUNDWATER / SEEPAGE	(U) SOIL / ROCK CLASSIFICATION, PLASTICITY OR HL PARTICLE SIZE CHARACTERISTICS, COLOUR, WEATHERING, SECONDARY AND MINOR COMPONENTS							SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION
		0.3	ر×ڈ	Black, organic SILT.				Moist	TOPSOIL
		0.6	XXX	Light brown, sandy s	SILT with rootlets. Sand	is fine. Silt is non-plast	ic. Stiff. Massive.	Moist	LOESS
		1.2	00000	Brown, silty sandy G dense. Massive.	RAVEL. Sand is fine to co	oarse. Gravel is fine to	coarse. Medium	Moist	COLLUVIUM
	NO SEEPAGE	2.6	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	Grey, interbedded sa coarse. Sand is fine. bedded.	andy SILT, SILT & silty S Silt is non-plastic. Very s	AND with minor gravel stiff/Medium dense. Ma	. Gravel is fine to issive to weakly	Moist	TILL

COMMENT:	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



	PROJECT: 492 Mt Aspiring-Wanaka Rd								Job Number: 170051
	LC	DCATION:	See Site	Plan		Inclination:	VERTICAL		Direction:
I		EASTING:		mE	EQUIPMENT:	8Tonne digger	OPERA	FOR:	Ethan
	NORTHING: mN INFOMAP NO. COMP						ANY:	Diverse Works	
	ELI	EVATION:		m	DIMENSIONS:		HOLE STAR	TED:	7-Feb-17
		METHOD:			EXCAV. DATUM:		HOLE FINISH	HED:	7-Feb-17
[GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION DEPTH MMATER / SEE DEPTH MMATER / SECONDARA SCOUNDWATER / SECONDARY AND MINOR COMPONENTS SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRAT						WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION	
		0.3	ر ۲	Dark brown, organic	SILT.			Moist	TOPSOIL
		0.6	XXX	Brown, sandy SILT w medium. Silt is non-	vith minor gravel & rootl blastic. Stiff. Massive.	ets. Sand is fine. Grave	I is fine to	Moist	COLLUVIUM
	Grey, gravelly sandy SILT with minor lenses of f Gravel is fine to coarse. Silt is non-plastic. Very				of fine sand. Sand is fir ery stiff. Massive.	ne to coarse.	Moist	TILL	
	NO SEI	2.0		Grey, SCHIST. Slight	ly weathered. Strong. Fo	pliation contorted, joint	s widely spaced.	Moist	SCHIST
				i otai Deptri = 2 M					

COMMENT: B4 Pole 7- Cut 2.29 m below top of poll (top of poll 0.89 m above ground level).	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



	F LC	PROJECT: DCATION:	: 492 Mt / : See Site	Aspiring-Wanaka Rd Plan		Inclination:	VERTICAL		Job Number: 170051 Direction:
	EASTING:mEEQUIPMENT: 8Tonne diggerOPERATENORTHING:mNINFOMAP NO.COMPAELEVATION:mDIMENSIONS:HOLE STARTMETHOD:EXCAV. DATUM:HOLE FINISH						TOR: ANY: TED: HED:	Ethan Diverse Works 7-Feb-17 7-Feb-17	
									GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	GROUNDWATER / SEEPAGE	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SO P/ WEATH	SOIL / ROCK CLASSIFICATION, PLASTICITY OR PARTICLE SIZE CHARACTERISTICS, COLOUR, WEATHERING, SECONDARY AND MINOR COMPONENTS				SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION
		0.2	₩× ĭ	Dark brown, organic	SILT.			Moist	TOPSOIL
		0.7	XXXX	Brown, sandy SILT v medium. Silt is non-j	vith minor gravel & rootle plastic. Stiff. Massive.	ets. Sand is fine. Grave	I is fine to	Moist	COLLUVIUM
	EEPAGE	2.7	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Grey, sandy SILT wi	th some gravel & boulder It is non-plastic. Very stif	rs. Sand is fine to coars	se. Boulders max.	Moist	TILL
	NO SI	2.8		Grey, SCHIST. Slight	ly weathered. Strong. Fo	liation contorted, joint	s widely spaced.	Moist	SCHIST
			_						

COMMENT: Near B5 Pole 9 -Cut 2.07 m below top of hole (top of hole 0.86 m above ground level).	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



	F	PROJECT:		Job Number: 170051					
	LC	DCATION:	See Site	Plan		Inclination:	VERTICAL		Direction:
		EASTING:		mE	EQUIPMENT:	8Tonne digger	OPERATOR: Ethan		
	NC	ORTHING:		mN	INFOMAP NO.		COMP	ANY:	Diverse Works
	ELE	EVATION:		m	DIMENSIONS:		HOLE STAR	FED:	7-Feb-17
	[METHOD:			EXCAV. DATUM:		HOLE FINISH	HED:	7-Feb-17
									GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	GROUNDWATER / SEEPAGE	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SC P, WEATH	IL / ROCK CLASSIFICAT ARTICLE SIZE CHARACT IERING, SECONDARY AN	ION, PLASTICITY OR ERISTICS, COLOUR, ID MINOR COMPONEN	TS	WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION
		0.2	׌	Dark brown, organic	SILT.			Moist	TOPSOIL
		0.4	XXX	Light brown, sandy	SILT with rootlets. Sand	is fine. Silt is non-plast	ic. Stiff. Massive.	Moist	LOESS
		0.6	011	Brown, silty sandy G dense. Massive.	RAVEL. Sand is fine to c	oarse. Gravel is fine to	coarse. Medium	Moist	COLLUVIUM
	NO SEEPAGE	2.0	$\times \times $	Grey, sandy SILT. Si laminations.	and is fine. Silt is non-pla	astic. Very stiff. Poorly o	developed	Moist	TILL

COMMENT: B6 Pole 16- Cut 2.79 m below top of poll (top of poll 0.87 m above ground level).	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



PROJECT: 492 Mt Aspiring-Wanaka Rd LOCATION: See Site Plan Inclination: VERTICAL									Job Number: 170051 Direction:
<u> </u>			. Jee Jile	- Tian	FOUNDMENT		VERTICAE		
	NC	DRTHING	:	mE mN	EQUIPMENT: INFOMAP NO	8 i onne digger			Diverse Works
-	ELEVATION: m DIMENSIONS: HOLE START							TED:	7-Feb-17
		METHOD			EXCAV. DATUM:		HOLE FINISH	HED:	7-Feb-17
									GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	GROUNDWATER / SEEPAGE	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SC P, WEATH	IL / ROCK CLASSIFICATI ARTICLE SIZE CHARACTE HERING, SECONDARY AN	ON, PLASTICITY OR ERISTICS, COLOUR, D MINOR COMPONEN	TS	WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION
		0.2	Т× Ч	Black, organic SILT.				Moist	TOPSOIL
	1	0.3	$O \circ I$	Brown, silty sandy G dense. Massive.	RAVEL. Sand is fine to co	barse. Gravel is fine to	coarse. Medium	Moist	COLLUVIUM
		1.9	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Grey, sandy SILT. S. laminations.	and is fine. Silt is non-pla	stic. Very stiff. Poorly o	leveloped	Moist	TILL
	NO SEEPAGE	3.8	xxxxxxxxxxxx	Grey, gravelly sandy Gravel is fine to coa	SILT with minor lenses of rse. Silt is non-plastic. Ve	of fine sand. Sand is fir ry stiff. Massive.	ne to coarse.	Moist	TILL

COMMENT:	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



EXCAVATION NUMBER:

PROJECT: 492 Mt Aspiring-Wanaka Rd								Job Number: 170051	
	LC	DCATION:	See Site	Plan		Inclination:	VERTICAL		Direction:
	EASTING: ME EQUIPMENT: 8Tonne digger OPERATO							OR:	Ethan
	NC	ORTHING:		mN	INFOMAP NO.		COMPA	ANY:	Diverse Works
	ELE	EVATION:		m	DIMENSIONS:		HOLE STAR	TED:	7-Feb-17
		METHOD:			EXCAV. DATUM:		HOLE FINISH	HED:	7-Feb-17
[GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION DE TH MARTICLE SIZE CHARACTERISTICS' COTON' MEATHELING' SECONDARA AND WINOL COMPONENTS SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATICUS SCALA PEN						WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION	
		0.3	ĬX	Chocolate brown, or	Chocolate brown, organic SILT loam with rootlet voids & tree roots.				TOPSOIL
		0.6	XXX	Light brown, sandy S	Light brown, sandy SILT with rootlets. Sand is fine. Silt is non-plastic. Stiff. Massive.				LOESS
	Grey, sandy SILT with minor to some gravel. Sand is fine. Gravel is fine to medium. Silt is non-plastic. Very stiff. Massive.				Moist	TILL			

Total Depth = 2.2 m

COMMENT:	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



	l LC	PROJECT: DCATION:	492 Mt A	Aspiring-Wanaka Rd Plan		Inclination:	VERTICAL		Job Number: 170051 Direction:
	EASTING: mE EQUIPMENT: 8Tonne digger OPERA NORTHING: mN INFOMAP NO. COMP ELEVATION: m DIMENSIONS: HOLE STAR METHOD: EXCAV_DATUM: HOLE FINIS				OPERAT COMP/ HOLE STAR HOLE FINISH	FOR: ANY: TED: HED:	Ethan Diverse Works 7-Feb-17 7-Feb-17		
									GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION	GROUNDWATER / SEEPAGE	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SC P, WEATH	IL / ROCK CLASSIFICAT ARTICLE SIZE CHARACT IERING, SECONDARY AN	ION, PLASTICITY OR ERISTICS, COLOUR, ID MINOR COMPONEN	TS	WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION
		0.3	۲×۲	Black, organic SILT	with rootlets.			Moist	TOPSOIL
		0.6	0.100	Brown, silty sandy G dense. Massive.	RAVEL. Sand is fine to c	parse. Gravel is fine to	coarse. Medium	Moist	COLLUVIUM
	NO SEEPAGE	2.8	$\overset{\boldsymbol{\times}}{\times}\boldsymbol{$	Grey, silty SAND & s coarse. Medium den	andy SILT with minor gr se/ Very stiff. Massive.	avel. Sand is fine. Grav	rel is fine to	Moist	TILL

COMMENT: P3- 2.81 m cut to pool BL (peg 0.85 m above ground level).	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



EXCAVATION NUMBER:

TP 10

	PROJECT: 492 Mt Aspiring-Wanaka Rd							,	Job Number: 170051	
	LC	DCATION:	See Site	Plan		Inclination:	VERTICAL		Direction:	
									Ethan	
	NC			mN		o i ul il le ulygei	COMP			
				IIIN					7 Feb 17	
	ELt			m			HULE STAR	IED:	7-FeD-17	
		IVIE I HOD:			EXCAV. DATUM:		HULE FINIS	IED:	7-FeD-17	
									GEOLOGICAL	
SCALA PENETRATION	SCALA PENETRATION SCALA PENETRATION BEPTH BEDTH COUNDWATER / SEEPAGE MATHERING'S SCOUPALER / SEEPAGE MATHERING'S SCOUPALA SCALA PENETRATION COUNDWATER / SECONDALA MATHERING'S SCOUPALA SCALA PENETRATION COUNDWATER / SCALA PENETRATION COUNTRATION COUNTRATION COUNTRATION COUNTRATION COUNTRATION COUNTRATION CO					ION, PLASTICITY OR ERISTICS, COLOUR, ID MINOR COMPONEN	TS	WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION	
		0.3	X	Black, organic SILT.				Moist	TOPSOIL	
		0.8	××× ××× ×××	Light brown, sandy s	SILT with rootlets. Sand	is fine. Silt is non-plast	ic. Stiff. Massive.	Moist	LOESS	
	EPAGE	1.5	× × × ×	Grey, silty SAND with coarse. Max. boulder	h minor to some gravel & r diameter 500mm. Medi	& boulders. Sand is fine um dense. Massive.	e. Gravel is fine to	Moist	TILL	
	NO SEE	1.6		Grey, SCHIST. Slight	tly weathered. Strong. Fo	pliation contorted, joint	s widely spaced.	Moist	SCHIST	
	Total Depth = 1.6 m									

COMMENT: G6- Cut 6.69 m to garage FFL (peg 0.85 m above ground level).	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



EXCAVATION NUMBER:

TP 11

PROJECT: 492 Mt Aspiring-Wanaka Rd								Job Number: 170051
LOCATION: See Site Plan Inclination: VERTICAL								Direction:
	EASTING:		mE	EQUIPMENT:	8Tonne diaaer	OPERAT	OR:	Ethan
NORTHING: mN INFOMAP NO. COMP						ANY:	Diverse Works	
EL	LEVATION:		m	DIMENSIONS:		HOLE START	FED:	7-Feb-17
	METHOD:			EXCAV. DATUM:		HOLE FINISH	IED:	7-Feb-17
								GEOLOGICAL
SCALA PENETRATION GROUNDWATER / SEEPAGE	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	SO P, WEATH	DIL / ROCK CLASSIFICAT ARTICLE SIZE CHARACT HERING, SECONDARY AN	ION, PLASTICITY OR ERISTICS, COLOUR, ID MINOR COMPONEN	TS	WATER CONTENT	SOIL / ROCK TYPE, ORIGIN, MINERAL COMPOSITION, DEFECTS, STRUCTURE, FORMATION
	0.3	ч Х	Grey, organic SILT v	vith roots.			Moist	TOPSOIL
	0.5	×××	Light brown, sandy	SILT. Sand is fine. Silt is	non-plastic. Stiff. Mass	ive.	Moist	LOESS
NO SEEPAGE	3.1	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Grey, sandy SILT wi coarse. Cobbles may	th minor to some gravel k. size 200mm. Silt is nor	& cobbles. Sand is fine	. Gravel is fine to ssive.	Moist	TILL

COMMENT: D3 -Cut 2.8 m (peg 0.75 m above ground level).	Logged By: GSH/JH
	Checked Date:
	Sheet: 1 of 1



GeoSolve Ltd

SCALA PENETROMETER LOG



REFERENCE No. 170051.000

February 2017



GeoSolve Ltd

SCALA PENETROMETER LOG



REFERENCE No. 170051.000

February 2017

Level 3, 62 Worcester Boulevard Christchurch 8013 PO Box 549, Christchurch 8140 Email: office@aeservices.co.nz Phone: 03 377 8952 www.aeservices.co.nz



Report Number: AC18238 - 02 - R3

Proposed residential development, 492 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road, Wanaka: Assessment of Construction Noise Effects

Prepared for:

Nature Preservation Trustees Limited

C/- Southern Planning Group PO Box 1081 QUEENSTOWN, 9348

27 May 2021

Acoustic Engineering Services Level 3, 62 Worcester Boulevard Christchurch 8013 PO Box 549 Christchurch 8140 Ph 03 377 8952 Email: office@aeservices.co.nz



Revision History

Reference	Status	Date	Prepared by
AC18238 – 02 – D1	Draft	23 August 2018	Aaron Zhao
AC18238 – 02 – R1	Revision	24 August 2018	Aaron Zhao
AC18238 – 02 – R2	Revised dwelling design	5 March 2021	Aaron Zhao
AC18238 – 02 – R3	Revised dwelling design	27 May 2021	Aaron Zhao

Document Acceptance

Author:

Mr Aaron Zhao *ME (Mech)* Acoustic Engineer

Reviewer:

Mr William Reeve B.E.(Hons.) Mech, MASNZ Acoustic Engineer

Approved:

Dr Jeremy Trevathan Ph.D. B.E.(Hons.) Assoc. NZPI® MASNZ Managing Director

On behalf of Acoustic Engineering Services Limited 27 May 2021

This report has been prepared by Acoustic Engineering Services Limited based on specific instructions including conditions and limitations with our Client. It is solely for our Client's use, for the purposes of which it is intended in accordance with the agreed scope of works. The concepts and information contained within this document remain the property of Acoustic Engineering Services Limited. Any use or reliance by any person contrary to the above of this report in whole or part, to which Acoustic Engineering Services Limited has not given its prior written consent, is at that person's own risk, and may constitute an infringement of copyright in accordance with New Zealand Copyright Act 1994.
Table of Contents

1.0	Background	1
	1.1 Site and surrounding area	1
	1.2 Proposal	2
2.0	Acoustic criteria	4
3.0	Noise generating activities	6
	3.1 Noise from rock breaking activities	6
	3.2 Demolition of existing dwelling	9
	3.3 Noise from concrete, foundation, and retaining wall activities	9
	3.4 Noise from cranage	11
	3.5 Noise from vehicle movements	11
4.0	Vibration	13
	4.1 Vibration criteria	13
	4.2 Expected vibration levels	14
5.0	Construction noise and vibration management plan	15
6.0	Conclusions	16
7.0	Recommendations	16

1.0 BACKGROUND

Acoustic Engineering Services (AES) have been engaged by Southern Planning Group on behalf of the Applicant to undertake an assessment of construction noise effects associated with the earthworks and construction of the proposed residential dwelling at 492 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road, in Wanaka. The Applicant requires an assessment of the construction noise emitted by this activity, with regard to section 104 (1) of the Resource Management Act (RMA), which requires the actual and potential effects of the activity on the environment to be considered.

We have based our analysis on the following documentation:

- Earthwork Design drawings titled The Sanctuary Project, Earthworks Design, Lot 2 DP 395762, 494 Wanaka-Mt Aspiring Road, Revision F, as prepared by C. Hughes & Associates Ltd and dated the 5th of May 2021.
- Resource Consent drawings titled The Sanctuary Project, Resource Consent, 494 Wanaka-Mt Aspiring Rd, Wanaka, for Nature Preservation Trustee Limited, as prepared by Sorted Architecture, and dated the 15th of February 2021.
- E-mail correspondence with Steve Humpherson of Sorted Architecture titled *Construction Noise Acoustic Assessment*, dated the 2nd of August 2018.
- E-mail correspondence with Sean Dean of Southern Planning Group titled Construction Noise Acoustic Assessment, dated the 23rd of August 2018.
- E-mail correspondence with Sean Dean of Southern Planning Group titled Nature Preservation Trustee Limited, dated the 26th of February 2021.
- E-mail correspondence with Sean Dean of Southern Planning Group titled *Nature Preservation Trustee Limited*, dated the 26th of May 2021.

1.1 Site and surrounding area

The applicant site is located at 492 Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road, in Wanaka, with a legal description of LOT 2 DP 395762. The site is located within the Rural General zone in the Operative Queenstown Lakes District Plan and the Rural zone in the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan, as are all the surrounding sites.

The property is roughly a wedge shape, and has varied topography, including hills, modified level areas, steep slopes and rocky outcrops.

The site is accessed by a long, shared driveway which branches off Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road and snakes in an eastern direction, past developed neighbouring properties to the western half of the subject site, where the existing dwelling is located.

All surrounding properties are also zoned Rural General. Based on correspondence, we understand that the noise-sensitive neighbouring properties are shown in figure 1.1 below:

- A: Dwelling located at 450B Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road to the southwest (approximately 95 metres away)
- B: Dwelling located at 450 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road to the southwest (approximately 300 metres away)
- C: Visitor accommodation (Whare Kea Lodge & Chalet) located at 494 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road to the north (approximately 300 metres away)
- D: Dwelling located at 449 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road to the southwest across Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road (approximately 380 metres away)

 E: Dwelling located at 450A Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road to the south (approximately 170 metres away)



Figure 1.1 – Site and surrounding area (QLDC)

1.2 Proposal

The proposal is to replace the existing 650 m^2 dwelling with a new three storey dwelling in the same general proximity of the existing building foot print. Extensive earthworks will be required to construct the new dwelling, as parts of the structure will be buried beneath the existing ground surface to minimise its visibility. The ridge behind the house will be essentially shifted to the west and formed at a gradient that would be suitable for planting, as shown in figure 1.2 below.



Figure 1.2 – Proposed earthworks

2.0 ACOUSTIC CRITERIA

The site is located within a Rural General zone and therefore the Operative Queenstown Lakes District Plan, *Volume 1, Section 5: Rural Areas (Rural General and Ski Area sub zone) and Gibbston Rural Character Zone, 5.3 Rural General and Ski Area Sub-Zone - Rules, 5.3.5 Standards*, rule applies, clause *v Noise (c)* of this rule states:

(c) The noise limits in (a) shall not apply to construction sound which shall be assessed in accordance and comply with NZS 6803:1999.

The Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan (Decisions version), Section 36 Noise, 36.5 Rules - Standards, 36.5.12 Construction Noise states:

Construction sound must be measured and assessed in accordance with NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise. Construction sound must comply with the recommended upper limits in Tables 2 and 3 of NZS 6803. Construction sound must be managed in accordance with NZS 6803.

NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise contains guidelines for the setting of construction noise limits, as these limits will depend on different situations, as explained in section C7.1.1: "The acceptability of construction noise in any community is likely to depend on the potential for interfering with activities, the expected duration of the noise and the existing background sound level at the places affected...".

NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise provides noise limits for construction activities for three durations. This is because higher noise levels are tolerable for short term activities as opposed to those of a more permanent nature. The duration of work is for the total construction activity on the site as opposed to a specific activity, therefore for this activity we would expect the 'long-term duration' (more than 20 weeks) to apply. These noise limits apply at 1 metre from the noise-sensitive neighbouring dwellings.

	Time period	Duration of work						
Time of week		Typical duration (dBA)		Short duratio	-term n (dBA)	Long-term duration (dBA)		
		L_{eq}	L _{max}	L _{eq} L _{max}		L _{eq}	L _{max}	
	0630-0730	60	75	65	75	55	75	
Weekdeve	0730-1800	75	90	80	95	70	85	
Weekuays	1800-2000	70	85	75	90	65	80	
	2000-0630	45	75	45	75	45	75	
	0630-0730	45	75	45	75	45	75	
Saturdaye	0730-1800	75	90	80	95	70	85	
Saturuays	1800-2000	45	75	45	75	45	75	
	2000-0630	45	75	45	75	45	75	
	0630-0730	45	75	45	75	45	75	
Sundays	0730-1800	55	85	55	85	55	85	
holidays	1800-2000	45	75	45	75	45	75	
	2000-0630	45	75	45	75	45	75	

Table 2.1 -	Noise	limits	outlined	in	NZS6803:1999
10010 2.1	110/00	mmu	outiniou		11200000.1000

We note that NZS 6803:1999 states that best practicable options for noise avoidance or mitigation should be applied to construction activities on the site; however if the best practicable options are applied and the noise limits are still not met, discretion is able to be applied.

Nevertheless, we consider that compliance with the long-term construction noise limit would be in line with good practice and would result in reasonable and acceptable noise effects.

3.0 NOISE GENERATING ACTIVITIES

The main noise sources associated with the construction of the residential development are expected to be:

- Noise from rock breaking activities and resultant excavation
- Noise associated with the demolition of the existing dwelling
- Noise from drilling rock anchors to retain the excavated schist rock faces
- Noise from cranage activities
- Noise associated with the formation of the foundations and retaining walls (concrete pouring, concrete pumping etc.)
- Noise from vehicles associated with the excavation and construction (including heavy vehicles)

There are several additional noise sources which will likely be present on site; however due to the long distance between the construction area and the adjacent dwellings / visitor accommodation we would expect the noise levels from these to be less than the sources identified above and that this noise will be able to be controlled. These include:

- General construction equipment and plant including nail guns and the like
- Construction of the internal fit-out
- Noise from tradespeople talking on site

3.1 Noise from rock breaking activities

Rock breaking and excavation will need to be undertaken to level the site. The total volume of excavation is approximately 20,800 m³, with the maximum cut depth of 7.2 metres. 20,000 m³ of fill will be deposited across the site, with a maximum fill depth of 5.3 metres. It is expected that the cut and fill will occur as shown in figure 1.2 above.

We understand that the breaking of rock will be undertaken with a hydraulic breaker attached to an excavator. Where required, rock anchors will then be installed for the retaining walls.

The highest continuous noise generating activity on the site during this process would be the breaking of the rock, through the use of a hydraulic breaker attached to an excavator. Based on the range of values for activity of this kind provided in NZS 6803:1999 *Acoustics* – *Construction Noise* we have assumed a worst-case sound power level of 120 dB L_{wA}.

In order to illustrate the noise levels which are likely to occur, we have considered two worstcase scenarios:

- 1. Excavation near the northeast boundary, and;
- 2. Excavation near the south boundary.

Scenario 1 – Excavation near the northwest boundary

We have modelled a scenario where the excavator is breaking rock in close proximity to the northwest boundary. This scenario would generate worst-case noise levels for the visitor accommodation (Whare Kea Lodge & Chalet) located at 494 Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road.

The resultant contour with the excavator breaking rock in close proximity to the northwest boundary is shown in figure 3.1 below.



Figure 3.1 – Noise emissions from Scenario 1

Based on the modelling, the following noise levels received at the identified dwellings and visitor accommodation are expected to be associated with excavation near the northwest boundary:

- A: Dwelling at 450B Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 56 dB L_{Aeq}
- B: Dwelling at 450 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 51 dB L_{Aeq}
- C: Visitor accommodation at 494 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 57 dB L_{Aeq}
- D: Dwelling at 449 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 50 dB L_{Aeq}
- E: Dwelling at 450A Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 54 dB LAeq

Based on this analysis, full compliance is expected with the 70 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 0730 and 1800 hours (Monday to Saturday) and the 65 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 1800 and 2000 hours (Monday to Friday).

Scenario 2 – Excavation near the south boundary

We have modelled a scenario where the excavator is breaking rock in close proximity to the south boundary. This scenario would generate worst-case noise levels for the dwellings located at 450B Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road and 450A Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road.

The resultant contour with the excavator breaking rock in close proximity to the south boundary is shown in figure 3.2 below.



Figure 3.2 – Noise emissions from Scenario 2

Based on the modelling, the following noise levels received at the identified dwellings and visitor accommodation are expected to be associated with excavation near the south boundary:

- A: Dwelling at 450B Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 58 dB L_{Aeq}
- B: Dwelling at 450 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 54 dB L_{Aeq}
- C: Visitor accommodation at 494 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 46 dB L_{Aeq}
- D: Dwelling at 449 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 52 dB L_{Aeq}
- E: Dwelling at 450A Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 61 dB L_{Aeq}

Based on this analysis, full compliance is expected with the 70 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 0730 and 1800 hours (Monday to Saturday) and the 65 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 1800 and 2000 hours (Monday to Friday).

We therefore recommend that rock breaking is only undertaken between 0730 and 1800 hours (Monday to Saturday), and between 1800 and 2000 hours (Monday to Friday).

3.2 Demolition of existing dwelling

A dwelling currently occupies the subject site and will be removed. An excavator is expected to be used for the demolition.

Noise levels for this activity are not expected to be higher than those shown in figure 3.1 and 3.2 above. Therefore, full compliance is expected with the 70 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 0730 and 1800 hours (Monday to Saturday) and the 65 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 1800 and 2000 hours (Monday to Friday).

We therefore recommend that this activity is only undertaken between 0730 and 1800 hours (Monday to Saturday), and between 1800 and 2000 hours (Monday to Friday).

3.3 Noise from concrete, foundation, and retaining wall activities

We expect there will be two main noise emitting processes during the concrete, foundation, and retaining wall activities, as follows:

- Concrete trucks discharging the concrete into the concrete pump, and concrete pump pumping the concrete to the site.
- Concrete power floats being used on the slab.

Concrete pumping / pouring

We anticipate that the pump will be set up inside the site, adjacent to the building footprint. The noise levels emitted by the equipment will vary throughout the process as different tasks are undertaken. For example, we expect the concrete pump will steadily idle most of the time, with higher noise emissions when the engine increases in speed to move the nozzle over the site. Similarly, the concrete trucks will be idling most of the time, but will generate higher noise emissions when their engine speed is increased before transferring the concrete to the pump.

Based on our past experience, we have based our analysis on a sound power of 105 dB L_{wA} , which we expect would be equivalent to the concrete truck revving prior to the transfer, or the pump truck pumping the concrete.

Based on above, the resultant contour is shown in figure 3.3 below.



Figure 3.3 – Noise emissions from concrete pumping / pouring

Based on the modelling, the following noise levels are expected at the identified dwellings and visitor accommodation:

- A: Dwelling at 450B Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 43 dB L_{Aeq}
- B: Dwelling at 450 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 40 dB L_{Aeq}
- C: Visitor accommodation at 494 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 39 dB L_{Aeq}
- D: Dwelling at 449 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 37 dB L_{Aeq}
- E: Dwelling at 450A Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 42 dB LAeq

Based on this analysis, the noise levels received at the identified dwellings and visitor accommodation are expected to be lower than 45 dB L_{Aeq} , and therefore full compliance is expected with the noise limits at all times.

Concrete floating

Once the concrete has been poured we understand that a concrete float would likely be used on the setting slab. While the overall sound levels of the specific equipment on site will vary (due to the range of concrete floats available) we have based our preliminary analysis on a concrete float with a sound power of 100 dB L_{wA} , as outlined in NZS 6803:1999.

Based on this sound power level, the noise levels received at the neighbouring dwellings and visitor accommodation are expected to be lower than 45 dB L_{Aeq} when the concrete float is being used and therefore full compliance is expected at the neighbouring dwellings and visitor accommodation at all times.

The noise levels can vary significantly for different types of power floats; however full compliance with the 70 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 0730 and 1800 hours (Monday to Saturday) and the 65 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 1800 and 2000 hours (Monday to Friday) is expected with all models of float. If concrete floating occurs outside of the above time periods, we recommend that prior to use on site noise measurements are undertaken on the actual concrete float which will be used on site to verify that compliance will be achieved.

3.4 Noise from cranage

Based on correspondence, we understand that a crane will be required as part of the general site works during construction. Based on the values given in BS 5228-1:2009, for typical cranes which may be used on site (12-100 ton), a worst-case noise level of 67 dB L_{Aeq} at 10 metres has been used. We are unsure if a tower crane or mobile crane is to be used, and so our assessment is based on a worst-case scenario where a mobile crane is used on site.

We understand that cranage is anticipated to be carried out within the building footprint. Based on the above, the following noise levels are expected at the notional boundaries of the identified noise sensitive properties:

- A: Dwelling at 450B Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 36 dB L_{Aeq}
- B: Dwelling at 450 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 32 dB LAeq
- C: Visitor accommodation at 494 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 37 dB L_{Aeq}
- D: Dwelling at 449 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 31 dB L_{Aeq}
- E: Dwelling at 450A Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 37 dB LAeq

The noise levels generated by cranage activity are therefore expected to comply with the construction noise limits at any time at all adjacent properties.

The noise levels can vary significantly for different types of cranes depending on size and model; however full compliance with the 70 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 0730 and 1800 hours (Monday to Saturday) and the 65 dB L_{Aeq} noise limit between 1800 and 2000 hours (Monday to Friday) is expected with all models of crane. If cranage activities occur outside of the above time periods, we recommend that prior to use on site noise measurements are undertaken on the actual crane which will be used on site to verify that compliance will be achieved.

3.5 Noise from vehicle movements

We have assessed noise associated with construction vehicles.

We have assumed that during a worst-case 15-minute period, two truck movements would occur (one departing and one arriving on site). Calculations for the truck movements have been based on a single vehicle movement having a sound power of 110 dB L_{wA} .

Based on the above, the following noise levels are expected to be associated with heavy vehicles travelling around on the site:

- A: Dwelling at 450B Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 28 dB L_{Aeq}
- B: Dwelling at 450 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 23 dB L_{Aeq}
- C: Visitor accommodation at 494 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 26 dB L_{Aeq}
- D: Dwelling at 449 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 22 dB L_{Aeq}
- E: Dwelling at 450A Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 28 dB LAeq

The noise levels generated by heavy vehicles travelling around the site are therefore expected to comply with the construction noise limits at any time at all adjacent properties.

We have also considered construction vehicles travelling along the shared driveway and Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road.

Assuming a worst-case scenario of two heavy vehicle movements along shared driveway and Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road in a worst-case 15 minute period, and the SEL of a heavy vehicle movement given above, the following noise levels are expected to be associated with heavy vehicles:

- A: Dwelling at 450B Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 36 dB L_{Aeq}
- B: Dwelling at 450 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 49 dB L_{Aeq}
- C: Visitor accommodation at 494 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 26 dB L_{Aeq}
- D: Dwelling at 449 Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 42 dB L_{Aeq}
- E: Dwelling at 450A Wanaka Mt Aspiring Road 28 dB L_{Aeq}

Therefore, the noise levels from heavy vehicles travelling along the shared driveway and Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road comply with the construction noise limits between 0630 and 2000 hours from Monday to Friday and between 0730 and 1800 on Saturday.

To ensure that noise from heavy vehicles associated with construction are in line with that stated above, we recommend implementing a Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan which would cover the following:

- Limitations on the arrival and departure times of the heavy vehicles to between 0630 and 2000 hours from Monday to Friday, and 0730 to 1800 on Saturday.
- No engine brakes to be used in the vicinity of the site.
- Reversing beepers to be limited in terms of sound level and frequency of use.
- Discouragement of vehicles idling on site for extended periods of time. This could be included as part of the site foreman's responsibilities.
- No use of horns unnecessarily.

4.0 VIBRATION

The use of the hydraulic breaker attached to an excavator when breaking the schist rock on the site also has the potential to cause adverse vibration effects at the neighbouring properties. Vibration effects are typically considered in two ways – with regard to possible structural or cosmetic damage to buildings, and human response. We note that individuals can detect levels of building vibration that are well below those required to cause any risk of damage (the threshold of human perception of vibration is between 0.14 mm/s to 0.3 mm/s) to the building or its contents.

In terms of excavation, in this case the hydraulic breaker used for rock breaking is expected to generate continuous vibration for short periods of time. This vibration may potentially result in two main effects for occupants within the neighbouring buildings; perceptible (structure-borne) vibration, i.e. vibration of walls, floors etc. which is perceived by occupants through tactile sensation or audible motion such as rattling of windows; and low frequency noise, where sound waves radiated by the vibrating surfaces inside buildings are perceived by the human ear as noise - often referred to as ground-borne noise.

4.1 Vibration criteria

The proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan (Decisions Version), *Section 36 Noise, 36.5 Rules - Standards, 36.5.9 Vibration* states:

Vibration from any activity shall not exceed the guideline values given in DIN 4150-3:1999 Effects of vibration on structures at any building on any other site.

Vibration levels that outlined in table 1 of *DIN 4150-3 Structural Vibration – Part 3: Effects of vibration on structures*, and outlined in table 4.1 below. Compliance with these criteria will ensure that there will not be an adverse effect on the serviceability of a structure.

		Guideline values for velocity, <i>v_i, in mm/s</i>					
Line	Type of Structure	Vibration at t	Vibration at horizontal plan of highest floor at all				
LINC		1 Hz to 10					
		Hz	Hz	Hz*)	frequencies		
1	Buildings used for commercial purposes, industrial buildings, and buildings of similar design	20	20 to 40	40 to 50	40		
2	Dwellings and buildings of similar design and/or occupancy	5	5 to 15	15 to 20	15		
3	Structures that, because of their particular sensitivity to vibration, cannot be classified under lines 1 and 2 and are of great intrinsic value (e.g. listed buildings under preservation order)	3	3 to 8	8 to 10	8		
*At frequencies above 100 Hz, the values given in this column may be used as minimum values.							

Table 4.1 – Guideline values for vibration velocity to be used when evaluating the effects of short-term vibration on structures (reproduced from DIN 4150-3:1999)

4.2 Expected vibration levels

There are many factors which will influence the vibration level experienced in the foundation of any adjacent buildings. A review of literature states that the vibration generated by a 1500 kg hydraulic breaker is expected to be 4.5 mm/s measured at a distance of 5 metres on the ground adjacent to the hydraulic breaker when it is operating in hard sandstone.

We note that the soil or rock conditions are expected to effect the vibration levels measured in the foundation of the neighbouring dwellings. However given the closest dwelling is located 95 metres from the site, we expect that vibration levels from the hydraulic breaker will be significantly below 5 mm/s for this activity and will comply with criteria outlined in table 4.1 above. Therefore effects from vibration caused by rock breaking are expected to be acceptable.

5.0 CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND VIBRATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

As demonstrated above, a number of operational and management strategies need to be adopted by the Applicant to control and reduce noise emissions from the construction activity. Therefore we recommend that the Applicant establishes a Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan outlining the measures which will be employed to ensure that noise impacts on neighbouring properties are minimised as far as practicable.

The Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan would need to discuss the following:

- Rock breaking should only occur between 0730 hours and 2000 hours Monday to Friday, and between 0730 hours and 1800 hours Saturday (excluding Public Holiday).
- If the concrete floating and cranage occur between 2000 and 0700 hours from Monday to Friday, and between 1800 and 0700 hours on Saturday, the requirement for specific equipment to be tested prior to being used on site and the physical mitigation required to result in complying levels, including additional acoustic screening and the like.
- Limitations on the arrival and departure times of heavy vehicles, and operating recommendations.
- Details of complaints procedures and the need for and responsibilities of a Noise Liaison Officer for the community.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Noise from construction sources expected to be associated with the proposed residential development at 492 Wanaka – Mt Aspiring Road, in Wanaka, has been considered.

Based on a review of the District Plan and New Zealand Standard NZS6803:1999, given the construction duration and the noise-sensitivity of the neighbouring properties we consider that compliance with the long-term construction noise level is appropriate and would result in reasonable and acceptable noise effects. We also recommend a limitation on the rock breaking hours to between 0730 hours and 2000 hours Monday to Friday, and between 0730 hours and 1800 hours Saturday (excluding Public Holiday).

Based on our analysis we consider it reasonable to conclude that the noise limits outlined above can be complied with at all times, provided the mitigation measures recommended below are adopted.

7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

To give confidence that noise emissions associated with the construction of the development are maintained at appropriate levels, we recommend the following mitigation measures be adopted during the construction process:

- All construction activities on the site shall comply with the long-term constructions noise limits outlined in Table 2 of NZS6803:1999.
- Rock breaking activities on site should be restricted further in terms of hours between 0730 hours and 2000 hours Monday to Friday, and between 0730 hours and 1800 hours Saturday (excluding Public Holiday).
- If the concrete floating and cranage occur between 2000 and 0700 hours from Monday to Friday, and between 1800 and 0700 hours on Saturday, prior to use on site that noise measurements are undertaken on actual concrete floating and cranage which will be used on site to determine if additional mitigation required to result in complying levels, including additional acoustic screening and the like.
- Limitations on the arrival and departure times of the heavy vehicles to within 0630 to 2000 hours from Monday to Friday, and 0730 to 1800 on Saturday.







brub to 7 metre















na kirkii, tough sprea

Planting Guidelines

Planting

All plants are ecosourced from the Wanaka area and supplied locally. Location of plants is indicative only. New plants to be grouped around existing native vegetation and planted at 1.0 - 1.5 metre centres. Groups of existing rocks where practical to remain exposed.

Mulching

Individual plants protected with a biodegradeable Nature guard and mulch mat.

Fertiliser

Each plant to be planted with Koch slow release fertiliser

Rabbit proofing

The property is rabbit proofed with a wire mesh fence along boundary and an ongoing programme of trapping for rabbits and stoats

On Going Maintenance

On going maintenance / weeding of the planting would be required until established.

Irrigation Overhead irrigators to irrigate plants for first 2-3 years to get planting established.

4-Kun eri LO

roposed Beech trees where soil depth allow

Key

Indicative rock placement as informal retaining tween portals

Existing native remnant vegetation

Grass unmown

Existing rocks

Stone paving at G.L in front of portals

NOTE

Large Fuscospora cliffortioides (Mountain Beech) trees to be planted after construction

For planting over portals refer to Architects details

Mueh com

Ole lin

Ole odo

Pitt 'LB'

Pitt ten

Sop mic





The Sanctuary Project Screening Cave Portals - Planting Plan

Pittosporum

Kowhai

1 Litre

2.5 Litre

2.5 Litre

1.5 Litre

25 Litre

Vectorworks file; Sanctuary Proj - REV PLANS 14-06-2021

PB3

1 metre

1 metre spacings

1 metre centres

1.0 metre centres

1.5 metre centres

As per plan

16 Muehlenbeckia complexa

7 Pittosporum 'Little Burge

42 Pittosporum tenufolium

3 Sophora microphylla

6 Olearia lineata

12 Olearia odorata







Plant heights as at Feb 2021 measured on site by N Smethan Indicative locations only

Vectorworks file; Sanctuary Proj - REV PLANS 21-04-2021

The Sanctuary / Weka Project

Existing Planting Areas - Heights

Document Set ID: 6913479 . STE PLAN Version: 1, Version Date: 22/06/2021





The Sanctuary / Weka Project

Existing and Proposed Planting Areas - Staging Plan





Scale1:250 @A1

Vectorworks file; Sanctuary Proj REV PLANS 15-04-2021

The Sanctuary Project Cross Section 1 - Existing & Indicative Plant Growth 5 and 10 years





ANNABEL RILEY Document Set ID: 6913479

Version: 1, Version Date: 22/06/2021

Cross Sections CS2-Indicative Plant Growth after 10 Years Scale1:250 @A1



Vectorworks file; Sanctuary Proj REV PLANS 15-04-2021

The Sanctuary Project Cross Section 2 - Existing & Indicative Plant Growth 5 and 10 years





Plant growth based on current heights plus 400-500mm growth per year when irrigated.

Cross Sections CS3-Indicative Plant Growth after 10 Years Scale 1:250 @A1 Vectorworks file; Sanctuary Proj REV PLANS 15-04-2021



The Sanctuary Project Cross Section 3 - Existing & Indicative Plant Growth 5 and 10 years