

**Supplementary Evidence**  
**Maree Kleinlangevelsloo**  
**17 July 2020**

This supplementary evidence provides information on two matters:

- Process for seeking affected party approval from Aukaha and Te Ao Marama Incorporated
- Cultural Impact Assessments and Cultural Values Statements
- In preparing this statement, I confirm compliance with the Expert Code of Conduct. My first statement sets out my relevant qualifications.

Process for undertaking consultation with Kā Rūnaka

1. Applicants seeking affected party approval can either seek preliminary direction from Aukaha and Te Ao Marama Incorporated before developing their application or wait until they have a complete application. In both cases an estimate of costs is provided to the applicant prior to work being undertaken.
2. Applicants seeking preliminary feedback receive advice on elements of the proposed activity that are likely to be of interest. Each Rūnanga has a contact person who has the mandate to reply on resource consent applications. The entities' consents officers seek guidance from them then provide the applicant with a short statement setting out key matters of interest and possible mitigation avenues if obvious concerns have been identified. These applicants come back to the entities with their full applications to seek affected party approval.
3. For applicants coming with complete applications, the entities' consents officers summarise the applications and send them to the Rūnaka contacts with recommendations about whether to support or oppose, and possible conditions to mitigate any adverse effects identified. The contact people confirm their positions. The consents officers seek agreement on conditions with the applicant and either issue an affected party approval letter, or a letter setting out why affected party approval cannot be provided.
4. The cost to the applicant depends on the complexity of the application. Applicants are invoiced for the actual time taken and expenses relating to site visits. <sup>1</sup>
5. Pre-application consultation allows Aukaha and TAMI to recover their costs and provides for mitigation to be agreed with the applicant prior to lodgement, which accords with best practice.

Cultural Impact Assessments and Cultural Values Statements

6. Cultural Impact Assessments (CIAs) are usually undertaken for projects that are complex, may have significant effects or may affect sensitive sites and values. Examples of CIAs undertaken by Aukaha include the Proposed Smooth Hill Landfill (underway); Anadarko and Shell Oil and Gas Exploration off Otago Coast; New Dunedin Hospital (underway); Alexandra Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade. These CIAs have cost between \$10,000-\$35,000.

---

<sup>1</sup> There can be minor differences between Aukaha and TAMI when it comes to charging policy.

7. Cultural Values Statements are undertaken when an applicant is seeking to understand the environment in which a development is located. It provides a scan of the associations with a place and illustrates the values of importance within the location.