IN THE MATTER of the Resource

Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of the Queenstown Lakes

Proposed District Plan

SECOND MINUTE REGARDING WAKATIPU BASIN FLOOR STUDY

- On 1 July 2016 the Hearing Panel requested the Council undertake a planning study of the floor of the Wakatipu Basin. By memorandum filed on 8 July 2016, counsel for the District Council have confirmed that the Council will undertake such a study. In doing so, they have sought the Panel's confirmation of the area of the study. The Council also requests that the Panel defer hearing submissions set down to be heard in Hearing Stream 4 Subdivision as outlined in paragraph 3 of our minute of 4 July 2016.
- We have considered the area outlined on the map attached to the Council's memorandum. The area proposed to be studied generally accords with the area we were seeking to be considered, although it extends further to include the Crown Terrace.
- We note that the bounds of the area selected generally follow the ONL/ONF lines defined on the planning maps of the PDP. We are aware that in several instances there are submissions challenging the location of those lines. We would expect the study to include consideration of the location of the extent of the relevant ONL/ONF in each instance as we consider it sensible to hear the submissions on those matter contemporaneously with those related to the other matters arising from the study.
- 4. We accept that whether or not the Council needs to, or chooses to, introduce a variation is not a matter we can dictate, nor can we determine at this stage when the hearings resulting from this study will occur.
- 5. We confirm our directions contained in the Minute of 4 July 2016:
 - the submissions relating to the minimum lot sizes for the Rural Lifestyle Zone referred to in paragraphs 14.2 to 14.18 [of the Section 42A Report for Hearing Stream 4 – Subdivision] will be deferred to be heard in conjunction with hearing the results of [the Wakatipu Basin floor] planning study;

• if any submitter considers their submission in relation to the minimum site size in the Rural Lifestyle Zone is more broadly concerned with the zone provisions as they apply throughout the entire District, they may seek leave to have their submission heard within Hearing Stream 4. In such circumstances the Panel would expect evidence to be presented considering the effects of any changes sought on all areas zoned Rural Lifestyle, other than those in the Wakatipu Basin. Any such submitter would be able to appear subsequently when the submissions in respect of the Wakatipu Basin were heard.

For the Hearing Panel

Denis Nugent (Chair)

8 July 2016