IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT CHRISTCHURCH REGISTRY

ENV-2018-CHC-71

I MUA I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

IN THE MATTER	of the Resource Management Act
	1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under Schedule 14 of Schedule 1 of the Act

BETWEEN

FRED VAN BRANDEBURG

Appellant

AND

QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF TIME PERIOD FOR FILING SECTION 274 NOTICE

Dated: 30 November 2018

Solicitors:

LAWYERS | NOTARY PUBLIC

G M Todd/B B Gresson PO Box 124 Queenstown 9348 P 03 441 2743 F 03 441 2976 graeme@toddandwalker.com; ben@toddandwalker.com

- To: The Registrar Environment Court Christchurch
- Passion Cove Limited ("Passion Cove") applies pursuant to section 281 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("Act") for a waiver of the time period for filing a notice under section 274 of the Act of a person's wish to be party to proceedings ("section 274 notice").
- The section 274 notice relates to an appeal by Fred van Brandenburg ("Appellant") against a decision of the Queenstown Lakes District Council ("Council") on its Proposed District Plan ("Plan").
- 3. The application for waiver is made on the following grounds:
 - a. Passion Cove's interest in the appeal relates to the amendments sought by the Appellant to Rules 9.5.1.3 and 9.5.3.3 of the Plan ("**Rule**"), which impose height restrictions on buildings in the High Density Residential Zone south of Frankton Road. Specifically, the Rule restricts buildings in this location from exceeding the height above sea level of the nearest point on Frankton Road.
 - b. The wording of the Rule differs from the equivalent rule in the Operative District Plan ("**ODP**") and extends the scope of the height restrictions to properties that were previously exempt from the rule under the ODP, including land owned by Passion Cove.
 - c. The effect of the change in wording is to prevent any development on some sites within the area captured by the Rule as such sites are already the same or similar height above sea level as the nearest point on Frankton Road.
 - d. Passion Cove did not anticipate the extension of the restrictions and is not sure whether it was made deliberately by the Council or as result of an error due to the change in wording. This is especially as the Section 32 analysis did not assess any extension of the height restrictions from the ODP rule, and the Council's Section 42A Report recommended the ODP rule be retained.
 - e. In the circumstances Passion Cove considers a waiver of time to file its section 274 notice is appropriate.
 - f. Further, no other parties will be unduly prejudiced by the late filing of the section 274 notice as it will not disrupt the course of the appeal given mediation is not scheduled to be held until February 2019.

Dated this 30th day of November 2018

Signed for Passion Cove Limited by its solicitor and duly authorised agent Graeme Morris Todd/Benjamin Brett Gresson