

**QUEENSTOWN-LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL
PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN HEARING – STREAM 13**

IN THE MATTER

of a hearing on submissions to the Proposed District Plan (Queenstown Mapping) pursuant to clause 8B of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

Temple Peak Limited

Submitter (#486)

EVIDENCE OF CAREY VIVIAN

(PLANNER)

9 June 2017

1. Introduction

- 1.1** My name is Carey Vivian. I hold the qualification of Bachelor of Resource and Environmental Planning (Hons) from Massey University. I have been a full member of the New Zealand Planning Institute since 2000. I am a director of Vivian and Espie Limited, a resource management, urban design and landscape planning consultancy based in Queenstown. I have been practicing as a resource management planner for twenty-two years, having held previous positions with Davie Lovell-Smith in Christchurch; and the Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC or the Council), Civic Corporation Limited, Clark Fortune McDonald and Associates and Woodlot Properties Limited in Queenstown.
- 1.2** I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses contained within the Environment Court Practice Note 2014 and agree to comply with it. This evidence is within my area of expertise, except where I state that I am relying on information I have been given by another person. I confirm that I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed herein.
- 1.3** I have read the evidence of Mr. Buxton, Dr. Read, Mr. Glasner, Ms. Banks and Mr. Mander for the Council and where relevant I comment on this material in my evidence. I have also been involved in the District Plan hearings since their commencement, and of most relevance to this submission, I was involved with the hearings relating to the Strategic Directions and Rural Chapters.

2. Summary

- 2.1** The purpose of this evidence is to assist the Hearings Panel on making a decision on the submission lodged by Temple Peak Limited (submitter number #486) (TPL).
- 2.2** TPL's submission is in support of a proposed Rural Lifestyle Zone (RLZ) at Temple Peak Station.
- 2.3** TPL was the only person to lodge an original submission on the notified RLZ and there were no further submissions lodged. As such I agree with Mr. Buxton where he states at paragraph 3.2 of his section 42A report:
- 3.2 Given that the submission points 486.1 and 486.2 support the zone in the PDP I recommend that the request to adopt the Rural Lifestyle zoning is accepted.*
- 2.4** To my knowledge no further submissions were received on TPL's original submission.

- 2.5** Given the above, my evidence does not provide an assessment pursuant to section 32AA because such further assessment is not necessary (i.e. It already has been undertaken as part of the notified PDP).
- 2.6** The purpose of this evidence is simply to support Mr. Buxton's recommendation and answer any questions the Hearing Committee may have in respect to the proposed RLZ at Temple Peak Station.

Carey Vivian

9 June 2017