IN THE MATTER

of the Resource

Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Stage 3 of the

Queenstown Lakes

Proposed District Plan

MINUTE 19 - LATE ARTHURS POINT PROTECTION SOCIETY SUBMISSION

Introduction

- Arthurs Point Protection Society Inc (APPS) lodged a late submission (attached) seeking amendments to the provisions related to informal airports and noise limits in the Arthurs Point RVZ on 22 April 2020.
- Amid Covid-19 disruptions, this late submission seems to have got lost within the Council, and has only just come to light.
- The Council does not oppose late receipt of the submission.

Discussion

- 4. I understand that the subject matter of the submission stems from agreements reached in mediation on Stage 1 PDP appeals.
- 5. That explains the delay to end April in lodging the submission. The subsequent delay is, as above, the result of a breakdown in internal Council processing and clearly the submitter should not be prejudiced by that.
- 6. This application shares may of the same characteristics as the Veint submission, the subject of my Minute 18. Like the latter, it is geographically discrete and raises a relatively narrow point. While we might hope that if it accurately represents agreements reached in mediation on PDP appeals, it might not prove to be controversial, that of course remains to be seen.
- 7. For much the same reasons as in Minute 18, I am prepared to waive late receipt of this submission.

8. Having put in place a timetable for acceptance and processing of the late Veint submission, the same timetable can also be applied to this submission.

Directions

- 9. I waive late receipt of the submission attached and direct that following filing of any further submissions, the following steps be put in place:
 - (i) Section 42A Report: to be lodged on or before 1pm on 16 July 2020;
 - (ii) Evidence from the submitter and any further submitters: to be lodged on or before 1pm on 30 July 2020;
- 10. Hearing of the Section 42A author and any submitter/further submitter evidence will follow the same process as set out in Minute 18 for the Veint submission, but they may not be heard together. Depending on whether there are any further submissions, we may need to slot hearing of this submission in on 12 August.

Dated 12 August 2020

Trevor Robinson

Chair

Stage 3 Hearing Panel



FORM 5: SUBMISSION

ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN OR PLAN CHANGE OR VARIATION OR POLICY STATEMENT



Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on the following proposed policy statement (or on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following policy statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan) (the proposal): NAME OF // Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation Could / Could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. Am	statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan (the proposal): NAME OF // Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	Name of submitter [full name] Arthu	rs Point Protection Society Inc (APPS)
gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. *I ✓ am / ☐ am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. *I ✓ am / am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. * Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. * Select one.	statement or plan or on the following propos	ed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on th
 ★I ✓ am / am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. 	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission: (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. * Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. * Select one.	NAME OF // Proposed or	existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation
(a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	(a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. * Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. ** Select one.	I could / ✓ could not**	gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
보고 있다고 있다. 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그는 그들은 일이 되었는 생활하게 되었다. 그는	 Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. ** Select one. 	*I am / am not**	(a) adversely affects the environment; and
		Delete entire paragraph if you could not g	그리고 있다. 이 이 경기를 가는 것 같아요. 그는 사람들이 보고 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 그리고 있는 것은 것 같아 있는 것 같아 없는 것 같아 없는 것 같아. 그 그 모든

Ł

MY SUBMISSION

[Include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your view]

We referred to https://www.qldc.govt.nz/your-council/district-plan/proposed-district-plan#specialzone-pdp & https://www.qldc.govt.nz/media/eucbaf1f/pdp-notified-chapter-46-rural-visitor-zone-2019.pdf

We oppose introduction of PDF Page 4 Rule Activities Rule 46.4.5 Informal Airports a Permitted Activity. We submit informal airports should be a Non Complying Activity.

We oppose introduction of PDF page 7 Rules Standards 46.5.7 Informal Airports Informal Airports shall not exceed 15 flights per week. Note: For the purposes of this Rule a flight includes two aircraft movements (i.e. an arrival and departure).

We also oppose introduction of 50 Ldn noise measurement, we want the status quo 50 Dba Leq 15 minute instead. This is a carry over from Chapter 36 Noise.

*If your submission relates to a proposed policy statement or plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- > whether you consider that the proposed plan or policy statement or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- in the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan or policy statement should be modified.
- This paragraph may be deleted if the proposal is not subject to a collaborative planning process.



I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION // From the local authority

[give precise details]

Chapter 36 Noise: In the Rural Visitor Zone at Arthurs Point retain the current 50 Dba Leg 15 minute noise standard and do not introduce 50 Ldn noise standard for Helicopters.

Chapter 46 Rural Visitor, Do not make informal airports a permitted activity, we urge QLDC to make informal airports a Non Complying Activity and removal of the 15 flights per week as a permitted activity.

*1	1	wish	/	do not wish**

to be heard in support of my submission.

will / will not**

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

- In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.
- ** Select one.



SIGNATURE

**Signature [or person authorised to behalf of submitter]

Date 21 April 2020

^{**} A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.



YOUR DETAILS Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone.

Electronic address for service of submitter [email] streatcg@xtra.co.nz

Telephone [work] 0274 856 236

[home]

[mobile]

Postal Address

89 Arthurs Point Rd Queenstown

Post code 9371

for alternative method of service under section 352 of the Actl

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable] Chris Streat



NOTE To person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- > it contains offensive language:
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.



