# Appendix A: Summary of the Planning History of the Queenstown-Lakes District's Rural Planning Regimes

1.1 The following summary of the planning history of the Queenstown Lakes rural areas planning regimes and in particular the earlier eras are drawn from the Council's Monitoring Report: Monitoring the Effectiveness and Efficiency of the Rural General Zone 2009.

### The Transitional District Plan (Pre-1995)

1.2 In the Transitional District Plan, the majority of the rural area was zoned "Rural". Within the Rural zone, subdivision that met a minimum allotment size was allowed as a conditional activity. A further rule provided for the subdivision of land in order to enable a retiring farmer to establish a home on the property. In regard to the establishment of dwellings in the zone, a dwelling was allowed to be established provided it was a necessary adjunct to an economic farming unit.

## Notified Proposed District Plan 1995 (1995 – 1998)

- 1.3 The now Rural Zone and much of the Rural Lifestyle Zone was zoned as a mixture of Rural Uplands and Rural Downlands, which, as the names suggest, were largely based on topography. There were also defined "Areas of Landscape Importance". There were also small areas of Rural Residential and other living and tourist-related zones within the rural area.
- 1.4 In both the Rural Downlands and Rural Uplands areas, the minimum site for a residential unit was 20ha. Further rules set out a limit of one residential unit on any land comprised in a separate certificate of title less than 150ha, and on sites greater than 200ha, there could be more than one residential unit, where the additional residential unit(s) are accessory to and situated on the same site as a farming activity.
- 1.5 Throughout the Rural zone, all subdivision was a discretionary activity (requiring an assessment of landscape effects) and the minimum lot size was 20 ha, which, if breached, triggered a non-complying activity resource consent. In Areas of Landscape Importance buildings other than accessory buildings, buildings in the ski areas, buildings on particular scheduled sites (for which it was considered that an existing development right should be retained) were non-complying. In the rest of the Rural Zone, residential dwellings on less than 20ha or where there was more than 1 dwelling on a single title were non-complying, with the exception of particular scheduled sites.

## Proposed District Plan following decisions on submissions (1998 – 2001)

1.6 As a result of decisions on submissions released in 1998, the Rural Uplands and Rural Downlands Zones were replaced with a single Rural General Zone. That Zone provided for subdivision of lots greater than 20 hectares as a controlled activity, lots between 4 and 20 hectares as a discretionary activity, and lots of less than 4 hectares as a non-complying activity. Residential building could then occur at these densities as a controlled activity.

16156 | March 2017 Appendix A

### The 'Operative District Plan' (2001 – present)

- 1.7 As a result of decisions from the Environment Court on appeals to the Decision version, (issued from late 1999 onwards) the provisions were changed considerably. The Rural General zone remained but there was no longer a minimum lot size, and all subdivision and land uses comprising a request for a residential building platform were a discretionary activity.
- 1.8 Landscape categories were introduced and applications for development assessed against a range of landscape assessment matters depending on whether the site is an Outstanding Natural Landscape Wakatipu Basin or Outstanding Natural Feature District Wide, Outstanding Natural Landscape District Wide, Visual Amenity landscape or Other Rural Landscape. Development applications were also assessed against the District Wide and Subdivision policy frameworks. Landscape classification maps areas were provided in Appendix 8 of the Operative District Plan. The maps contain both indicative and determinative landscape boundary positions. Part 5.4 in the Rural General Zone sets out a 3-step process for assigning the landscape classification.
- 1.9 Development within an approved building platform required resource as a controlled activity. In most cases conditions imposed through the subdivision and registered on the resultant certificate of title were also required to be adhered to.

## Proposed District Plan 2015 (PDP)

- 1.10 In the context of the Wakatipu Basin study area, the PDP 2015 retained the 'discretionary regime' of the Operative Plan in the Rural Zone, and identified 3 landscape classifications on the Planning Maps: Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes (the RMA s(6) landscapes), and Rural Landscape Classification. The RMA s(7) landscapes that make up the majority of the valley floor are classified as Rural Landscapes.
- 1.11 New Rural Lifestyle Zones were identified in several locations, both recognising the built environment and areas where there was capacity for development. An average density of 2ha was retained. In both the Rural and Rural Lifestyle zones it is permitted to construct buildings and undertake alterations within approved building platforms, subject to additional conditions imposed by the 'discretionary' approval in principal.

2 Appendix A March 2017 | 16156