



FORM 5: SUBMISSION

ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN OR PLAN CHANGE OR VARIATION OR POLICY STATEMENT



Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



TO // Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter [full name] Daniel & Lana Cruickshank

This is a submission on the following proposed policy statement (or on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following policy statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan) (the **proposal**):



NAME OF // Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation

Proposed District Plan Submission – Urban Intensification Variation

I could / could not**

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

*I am / am not**

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

* Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

** Select one.



SPECIFIC PROVISIONS // Of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

[give details] Low & High Density Residential provisions



MY SUBMISSION

[Include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your view]

Low Density Residential

Oppose. 8m height limit across all sites and recession planes The proposed rule would result in recession planes applying to all buildings including those on sloping sites with a maximum height of 8m. Given that recession planes will be measured at a height commencing 2.5 metres above the ground level, this may have an unintended effect to reduce development capacity on sloping sites.

Requested change: Retain the existing 7m height limit, without recession planes, for sloping sites.

High Density Residential

Support. The proposed the height increase and other amendments to this zoning.

*If your submission relates to a proposed policy statement or plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- > whether you consider that the proposed plan or policy statement or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- > in the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan or policy statement should be modified.

* This paragraph may be deleted if the proposal is not subject to a collaborative planning process.



I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION // From the local authority

[give precise details]

Low Density Residential: Retain the existing 7m height limit, without recession planes, for sloping sites.

High Density Residential: Support the proposed changes.

*I wish / do not wish** to be heard in support of my submission.

I will / will not** consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

* In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.

** Select one.



SIGNATURE

**Signature

[or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]

Date 05 October 2023

** A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.



YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone.

Electronic address for service of submitter [email] danielcruickshank@hotmail.com

Telephone [work]

[home]

[mobile]

Postal Address 24 Oregon Drive,
[or alternative method of service Kelvin Heights
under section 352 of the Act] Queenstown

Post code
9300

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable] Daniel Cruickshank



NOTE // To person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- > it contains offensive language:
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

