## Appendix A - Relief sought

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
Chapter 3 Strategic Direction		
New policy and objective suite	Sustainable management under the RMA includes enabling social, economic, and cultural wellbeing for present and future generations, resource management decisions need to recognise that individual and community wellbeing depends on the use and development of natural and physical resources, as well as their protection in some instances. The PDP Strategic Direction chapter currently provides specific recognition for economic wellbeing and resource use /	Include new objective and policy suite into Chapter 3 to address the following (wording may be further refined subject to appeals and PDP drafting consistency): <b>Strategic objectives</b> <u>SO 3.2.1.x Natural and physical resources of the District are sustainably used to promote economic, social, and cultural wellbeing of people and communities.</u>
	<ul> <li>diversification, specific to some urban areas and some rural</li> <li>land. This however should be broadened or otherwise</li> <li>complemented by additional general provisions which provide</li> <li>for all aspects of section 5 RMA. Previous to the Supreme</li> <li>Court judgement of EDS v King Salmon, these considerations</li> <li>could be separately accounted for through an overall</li> <li>judgement approach, however now these matters are confined</li> <li>to the relevant planning instrument. Not adequately providing</li> <li>for these matters will result in an incomplete District Plan and</li> <li>will undermine its purpose.</li> <li>These additions sought will also give effect to new Chapter 1 in</li> <li>the proposed RPS, which is not accounted for in the Council's</li> </ul>	Strategic policies  Social, cultural, and economic wellbeing 3.3.x.x Provide for the economic wellbeing of the District's people and communities by enabling sustainable use and development of natural resources. 3.3.x.x Provide for social and cultural wellbeing of the District's people and communities when undertaking subdivision, use and development of natural and physical resources.

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	Decision on the PDP.	
3.2.1.7	Objective 3.2.1.7 duplicates 3.3.20 (farming is a permitted activity) with a risk of this strategic objective having primacy over diversification of land resource which is appropriate subject to landscape character (provided for in Chapter 6). Amendments are sought to this objective to incorporate tourism and to re-frame the maintenance of rural character to the	Amend objective 3.2.1.7 as follows: Agricultural <u>and tourism</u> land uses <u>that maintain</u> <del>consistent with</del> the maintenance of the <u>districts outstanding natural landscape</u> character of rural landscapes and significant nature conservation values are enabled. (also elaborates on SO 3.2.4 and 3.2.5 following)
3.2.1.8	districts outstanding natural landscape. The Objective fails to recognise and provide for tourism activities in the rural zone. Maintaining character of rural landscapes and is contrary to maintaining and enhancing nature conservation values.	Amend Objective 3.2.1.8 as follows: 3.2.1.8 Diversification of land use in rural areas beyond traditional activities, including farming, provided that the character of rural landscapes, significant nature conservation values and Ngāi Tahu values, interests and customary resources, are maintained. (also elaborates on S.O.3.2.5 following)
3.2.2.1	Currently ensures urban development occurs so as to 'be integrated with existing and planned future infrastructure'. This could pose a risk to infrastructure which is private and what the interpretation of 'planned future' infrastructure will be.	Amend objective 3.2.2.1(h) as follows: <u>be coordinated with the design and development of</u> <u>infrastructure growth and redevelopment planning</u>

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
3.2.3	This is a sound objective however is only supported by recognition of historic heritage values. This should also recognise the importance of quality urban design and development which provides for an integrated built form reflecting the surrounding environment.	New SO 3.2.3.2 – <u>Built form expresses the individual character</u> and values of those communities and integrates well with its <u>surrounding environment by quality urban design planning</u>
3.2.5.1	The objective should focus on the protection of natural values from inappropriate development which is the section 6 legislative standard. There is no evidence to depart from the legislative intent of section 6. If this amendment is not accepted, then the Appellant seeks a revised approach landscapes in the PDP which requires the scheduling / mapping of all ONLs and ONFs individually, and the particularisation of the outstanding characteristics and other characteristics which exist within a landscape, to be specifically recognised. This will assist further planning and decrease uncertainty.	Amend strategic objective as follows: 3.2.5.1 The landscape and visual amenity values and the natural-character values of Outstanding Natural Landscapes and Outstanding Natural Features are protected from <u>inappropriate</u> adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that are more than minor and/or not temporary in <del>duration</del> . And / or: Amend 3.2.5 and associated policies to provide for a listed schedule / appendix to the PDP which specifically identifies all ONLs and ONFs in the District and their individual characteristics / values.
3.2.5.2	The objective should be amended to ensure appropriate	Amend strategic objective 3.2.5.2 as follows:

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	development in rural landscapes in enabled; delete 'without	3.2.5.2 The rural character and visual amenity values in
	materially detracting from those values'.	identified Rural Character Landscapes are maintained or
		enhanced by managing directing new subdivision, use or
	Objective 3.2.5.2 establishes the overall direction in relation to	development or directing new subdivision, use or development
	the management of s7 landscapes within the rural zone,	to occur in those areas that have the potential to absorb
	Maintaining or enhances the values of this landscape by	change without materially detracting from those values.
	directing subdivision, use or development into areas with	And / or:
	greatest capacity to absorb change is appropriate, but not if	
	that outcome is further qualified by also having to occur	Amount O.O.F. and an existent a strike to avoid the for a listed
	"without materially detracting from those values". This	Amend 3.2.5 and associated policies to provide for a listed
	qualification is in conflict with the policies relating to the	schedule / appendix to the PDP which specifically identifies all
	management of special amenity landscape and highly valued	ONLs and ONFs in the District and their individual
	natural features under the proposed Otago Regional Policy	characteristics / values.
	Statement and is vague and uncertain as to its meaning. If the	
	values are to be maintained or enhanced through directing	
	development into areas with greater capacity to absorb	
	change, this sentence is not of assistance.	
3.3.1	The panel introduced this new strategic policy into the PDP.	Amend policy 3.3.1 to include it as a strategic objective, and;
	The policy should be elevated to an objective, with more	Amend policy 3.3.1 as follows:
	specific polices provided to ensure visitor activities are	3.3.1 Make provision for the visitor industry to maintain, and
	sufficiently provided for.	enhance, upgrade and expand attractions, facilities and

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	The policy is focused on urban areas and should capture all of the district (namely the rural environment)	services, including supporting infrastructure within the Queenstown and Wanaka town centre areas and elsewhere within the District's urban areas and settlements at locations where this is consistent with objectives and policies for the relevant zone. (relevant to S.O. 3.2.1.1)
Policy 3.3.20	The Policy onerous and should include existing tourism and transportation activities.	Amend policy 3.3.20 as follows: Enable continuation of existing farming, <u>and other activities that</u> <u>rely on the rural land resource</u> and evolving forms of agricultural land use in rural areas except where those activities conflict with significant nature conservation values or degrade the existing character of rural landscapes. (relevant to S.O. 3.2.1.7, 3.2.5.1 and 3.2.5.2)
3.3.21	Objective 3.3.21 is important in recognising the appropriateness of commercial recreation and tourism related activities in the Rural Zone. The qualifier included within this objective to "protect, maintain or enhance" combines various outcomes relating to each classifications of landscape. Because the outcomes for managing all types of subdivision, use and development within the District's landscapes are separately stated, wording covering all bases renders it less	Amend Objective 3.3.21, as follows: Recognise that commercial recreation and tourism related activities seeking to locate within the Rural Zone may be appropriate where these activities enhance the appreciation of landscapes, and on the basis they <del>would protect, maintain or</del> <del>enhance</del> <u>appropriately manage effects on landscape quality</u> , character and visual amenity values. (relevant to S.O. 3.2.1.1,

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	effective. DPL seek to replace "protect, maintain or enhance"	3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.1 and 3.2.5.2)
	with the appropriate management of the effects on landscape	
	quality, character and visual amenity values. This does not	
	diminish landscape values but rather has that guidance	
	provided through the more specific strategic and detailed	
	objectives	
3.3.23	This policy seeks to recognise 'areas' on maps that cannot	Delete policy 3.3.23 or otherwise amend to clarify its
	absorb further changes. It is unclear from the policy to what	application on planning maps.
	part of the plan this relates, and how such identification is to	
	occur - for example building restriction areas, or other	
	annotations.	
	Avoiding development in all areas of the District that are not	
	within outstanding natural landscapes or features leaves this	
	strategic policy open to very wide interpretation and it is not	
	particularised to any part of the District, such as the rural zone.	
	In any event, avoidance of residential development is a very	
	high threshold that does not align with the legislative test for	
	amenity values in section 7	
3.3.24	This policy seeks to control cumulative effects of rural lifestyle	Clarify strategic policy 3.3.24 does not apply to rural living

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	development where this results in the area becoming 'no longer rural in character'. Amendments to this policy are sought to ensure it is not applicable to rural living zones or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct (Stage 2 PDP) as this would otherwise undermine the purpose of those zones	zones or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct.
3.3.30	Strategic Policy 3.3.30 fails to identify the values within the Districts outstanding natural landscapes or features for which the policy applies. DPL seeks to amend the policy to recognise the listed landscape values and character. This change works in tandem with Strategic Policy 3.3.29 to identify the Districts outstanding natural landscape and features, such that when managing the subdivision, use or development within such landscapes the plan is being clear as to what those values are.	Amend policy 3.3.30 as follows: <u>Protect outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding natural</u> <u>features against inappropriate development by maintaining the</u> <u>scheduled outstanding values of the feature or landscape.</u> Amend 3.30 to reference 'recognised / scheduled' landscape character / values'.
3.3.32	Ensure this policy is not applicable to rural living zones or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct as this would otherwise undermine the purpose of those zones	Clarify strategic policy 3.3.32 does not apply to rural living zones, or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct or otherwise amend the policy to better enable rural living and subdivision.
New policy 3.3.2x	The current policy 3.3.22 recognises the need to 'provide for rural living opportunities in appropriate locations'. This is the only positive policy which acknowledges the existence and	<b>3.3.2.xx New Policy –</b> Recognise and provide for the amenity, social, cultural, and economic benefits of rural living development

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	benefits of rural living development. In light of the new	
	objective and policy suite sought in Chapter 24 (Wakatipu	
	Basin), which further recognises the benefits of rural living	
	development, it is important that this is also recognised at the	
	strategic level. The specifics of these provisions are to be	
	addressed further in Stage 2, however given the uncertainties	
	of the Council's approach to staging the District Plan, also form	
	part of this appeal	
Chapter 4 Urban Development		
4.2.1.5 When locating Urban Growth Boundaries or extending	The wording of Policy 4.2.1.5 is considered vague and	Delete policy 4.2.1.5 or otherwise amend so as to incorporates
urban settlements through plan changes, avoid impinging on	uncertain, including by failing to include reference to the effects	a consideration of the effects urban development on the
Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Outstanding Natural	of urban development on the values of the ONF/Ls. The	relevant ONF/Ls and adopts the same policy tests for ONF/Ls
Features and minimise degradation of the values derived from	wording of the policy confusingly requires multiple tests to be	under Chapter 3; or
open rural landscapes.	achieved: "avoid impinging on", to "minimise the degradation of	Amend policy 4.2.1.5 as follows:
	the values", and "open rural values". Delete policy 4.2.1.5 or	
	otherwise amend so as to use same threshold as ONL	4.2.1.5 When locating Urban Growth Boundaries or extending
	development in chapter 3 (adverse effects not more than minor	urban settlements through plan changes, avoid impinging on
	/ temporary in duration, or the revised relief for those	provide for the protection of scheduled features of Outstanding
	provisions).	Natural Landscapes or Outstanding Natural Features from
		inappropriate development and minimise degradation of the
		values-maintain and enhance amenity values derived from

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
		open rural landscapes.
4.2.2.1	DPL consider Policy 4.2.2.1 as having two distinct outcomes relating to the integration of urban development with infrastructure and reverse sensitivity and that would be better expressed as to separate out as two policies. It is important also to ensure that development can be consented / zoned with future provision for infrastructure rather than planned at the time so as to avoid a housing shortfall, particularly in the provision of private infrastructure.	Amend policy 4.2.2.1 as follows: <u>4.2.2.1 Integrate urban development with the design and</u> <u>development of infrastructure growth and redevelopment</u> <u>planning</u> <u>4.2.2.x Ensure urban development mitigates the risk of reverse</u> <u>sensitivity effects on regionally significant infrastructure.</u>
4.2.2.8	Unclear on policy intent and duplication of 4.2.2.8 with 4.2.2.7 (encouraging innovative ways to assist provision of quality affordable housing), suggest delete.	Delete policy 4.2.2.7 or otherwise clarify its application with policy 4.2.2.8.
4.2.2.12	Amend to recognise that a secure buffer between urban and rural is appropriate whether within or outside of the UGB, so long as can be relied on. Otherwise may undermine the purpose of the UGB.	Delete policy 4.2.2.12
4.2.2.14	This is potentially inconsistent with the UGBs which includes the ONL. Suggest delete or incorporate same standard as	Amend policy 4.2.2.14 as follows: 4.2.2.14 Define Urban Growth Boundaries for the balance of

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	chapter 3 more than minor effects.	the Wakatipu Basin, as shown on the District Plan Maps that:
		d. Avoid Outstanding Natural Features and Outstanding Natural Landscapes
New objectives and policies sought	Further amendments may be required to chapter 4 to give effect to the NPS Urban Development Capacity and its implementation through the proposed RPS (Which post-dates decisions on the PDP). Scope is reserved in respect of specific relief to give effect to these higher order instruments as required.	Consequentially amend Chapter 4 by including further provisions which give effect to the NPS-UDC and its implementation in the proposed RPS.
Chapter 6 Landscapes		
Values 6.2	The open character of rural land is a key element of the landscape character that can be vulnerable to degradation	Amend Values 6.2 as follows:
	from subdivision, development and non-farming activities. The	While acknowledging these rural areas have established rural
	prevalence of large farms and landholdings contributes to the	living and development, and a substantial amount of further
	open space and rural working character of the landscape. The	subdivision and development has already been approved in
	predominance of open space over housing and related	these areas, the landscape values of these areas are
	domestic elements is a strong determinant of the character of	vulnerable to degradation from further subdivision and

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	the District's rural landscapes.	development. Areas where rural living development is at or is
		approaching the finite capacity of the landscape need to be
	SASZs should be recognised specifically in introduction of	identified if the District's distinctive rural landscape values are
	values	to be sustained. Areas where the landscape can accommodate
		sensitive and sympathetic rural living developments similarly
		need to be identified.
		recognise that diversification of rural land use beyond historical
		agricultural use can provide for positive social cultural and
		environmental benefits. (strategic objective 3.2.1.8)
		<u></u>
		Ski Area Subzones are excluded from the provisions of
		Chapter 6 applying to outstanding natural landscapes and
		features. These areas are recognised for their significant
		contribution to the District's economic and social wellbeing, and
		for enhancing people's appreciation of and access to the
		natural environment.
6.3.3 Provide a separate regulatory regime for the Gibbston	Clarify that landscape categories do not apply to RR, RLZ, and	Provide a separate regulatory regime for the Gibbston Valley
Valley (identified as the Gibbston Character Zone), Rural	special zones / Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct (WB	(identified as the Gibbston Character Zone), Rural Residential
Residential Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone and the Special Zones	Precinct) as those are areas which have been identified as	Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
within which the Outstanding Natural Feature, Outstanding Natural Landscape and Rural Character Landscape categories and the policies of this chapter related to those categories do not apply unless otherwise stated. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.7, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.20-24, 3.3.32).	suitable for further development. Clarify whether 'special zones' is useful terminology (i.e. does this cover Jacks Point), specifically refer to other resort zones.	<u>Precinct</u> and the Special Zones within which the Outstanding Natural Feature, Outstanding Natural Landscape and Rural Character Landscape categories and the policies of this chapter related to those categories do not apply <del>unless</del> otherwise stated. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.7, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.20-24, 3.3.32).
New policy / objective suite	Insert new objective / policy to recognise the benefits of specifically identifying / scheduling the individual characteristics of each ONF / ONL in the District which contributes to that feature / landscape being outstanding. This will assist future planning decisions to determine which developments are appropriate and what effects are more than minor, based upon the recognised values of that landscape / feature	Amend Chapter 6 to provide for the mapping of specific ONLs / ONFs and the specific scheduling of values and characteristics existing in each.
Policy 6.3.3	No provisions of the landscape category should be applicable to rural living zones or the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct as this could undermine the purpose and objective of those zones to achieve rural living	Amend Policy 6.3.3 as follows: Provide a separate regulatory regime for the Gibbston Valley (identified as the Gibbston Character Zone), Rural Residential Zone, Rural Lifestyle Zone, <u>the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle</u> <u>Precinct.</u> and the Special Zones within which the Outstanding Natural Feature, Outstanding Natural Landscape and Rural

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
		Character Landscape categories and the policies of this chapter related to those categories do not <del>apply unless otherwise stated.</del> (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.7, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.20-24, 3.3.32).
Policy 6.3.4	Policy 6.3.4 is applicable to rural living zones. This does not take into account existing consented development which is of an urban nature in resort zones otherwise surrounded by Rural land and which therefore affect absorption capacity of adjacent land. The avoidance policy will not allow future development in areas which could be suitable for this.	Delete policy 6.3.4 or otherwise amend to exclude the WB Precinct and rural living zones from its application.
Policy 6.3.7	Applying this policy in the rural living zones which provides primacy for farming is contrary to the purpose and objective of chapter 22, to enable rural living development.	Delete policy 6.3.7 or otherwise amend to exclude rural living zones and the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct
6.3.9	Amend to also recognise benefits of development which result in increased access to landscapes and recreation opportunities (or provide separate policy)	Include new policy 6.3.x as follows: <u>Encourage subdivision and development proposals to promote</u> <u>access to outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding</u> <u>natural features, and increased recreation opportunities where</u> <u>the landscape and nature conservation values would be</u> <u>maintained or enhanced, particularly where the subdivision or</u> <u>development constitutes a change in the intensity in the land</u>

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
		use or the retirement of productive farm land. (3.2.1.7, 3.2.4.1, 3.2.5.1, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.19, 3.3.20, 3.3.30, 3.3.32).
6.3.10	Development in adjacent RCL landscapes should not be held	Ensure that subdivision and development in the Outstanding
	to same standard as that for ONLs and ONFs. Alternatively delete this policy as duplication.	Natural Landscapes and Rural Character Landscapes adjacent to-Outstanding Natural Features does not have more than
		minor inappropriate adverse effects on the recognised / scheduled landscape quality, character and visual amenity
		values of the relevant Outstanding Natural Feature(s). (3.2.5.1, 3.3.30).
6.3.12 Recognise that subdivision and development is	Inserted public places to the reasonably difficult to see test to	Recognise that subdivision and development is inappropriate in
inappropriate in almost all locations in Outstanding Natural Landscapes and on Outstanding Natural Features, meaning	ensure amenity of neighbours is separately considered. Similar standard as that applied through Chapter 3 and in Part 2	almost all locations in Ensure that subdivision and development within Outstanding Natural Landscapes and on
successful applications will be exceptional cases where the	should be applied in this provision.	Outstanding Natural Features, meaning successful applications
landscape or feature can absorb the change and where the		will be exceptional cases where the landscape or feature can
buildings and structures and associated roading and boundary		absorb the change protects the scheduled characteristics of
changes will be reasonably difficult to see from beyond the		that landscape or feature from inappropriate development by
boundary of the site the subject of application. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.5.1, 3.3.21, 3.3.30)		ensuring that and where the buildings and structures and associated roading and boundary changes will be reasonably

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
		difficult to see from public places beyond the boundary of the
		site the subject of application. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.5.1, 3.3.21, 3.3.30)
Policy 6.3.14	Amend the policy to remove a primacy for farming activities	Amend policy 6.3.14 as follows:
		6.3.14 Recognise that large parts of the District's Outstanding
		Natural Landscapes include working farms and accept that
		viable farming involves activities that may modify the
		landscape, providing the quality and <u>overall</u> character of the Outstanding Natural Landscape is not <u>inappropriately</u>
		adversely affected. (3.2.1.7, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.4.1, 3.2.5.1, 3.3.20,
		3.3.30).
Policy 6.3.16	It is unclear whether this provision would inhibit or discourage	Delete policy 6.3.16
	appropriate development, such as revegetation as part of	
	proposed developments	
Policy 6.3.19	The policy is not currently consistent with the section 7	Amend policy 6.3.19 as follows:
	legislative standard. Either delete this policy or amend as	
	follows.	Recognise Ensure that subdivision and development is
		unsuitable in many locations in consistent with the underlying
		Zone within a Rural Character Landscape and successful
		applications will need to be, on balance, consistent with the

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
		objectives and policies of the Plan. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.7, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.20-24, 3.3.32)
Policies 6.3.19 – 6.3.29 (managing activities in Rural character Landscapes)	Clarify that rural living zones are excluded from assessment against these policies, or otherwise amend to enable rural living development to occur within those rural living zones or the Wakatipu Basin Precinct, and in particular, the Site.	Clarify that rural living zones and the Wakatipu Basin Lifestyle Precinct are excluded from assessment against 6.3.19 -6.3.29, or otherwise amend those policies to ensure an efficient rural living development regime for Chapter 22 is achieved.
6.3.20	This policy could have unintended consequences in requiring developments to proceed as plan changes, resulting in a dispersed and unwieldly District Plan, which this Review has sought to avoid.	Delete policy 6.3.20 or otherwise amend to exclude rural living zones and the Wakatipu Basin Precinct
6.3.21	Delete as contradiction / overlap with case law on receiving environment 'likely' to be implemented and the existing environment (re <i>Hawthorn v QLDC</i> ).	Delete policy 6.3.21
6.3.26	This policy should be amended to recognise that some developments in RCL may be appropriate even if visible, e.g. Amisfield restaurant. Ensure that public places is consistently administered as per definition, and that protection of views to	Amend policy 6.3.26 as follows: Avoid <u>ensure</u> adverse effects on visual amenity from subdivision, use and development <u>are appropriately mitigated</u>

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
	ONL / ONF is effects based	that:
		a. is <u>are</u> highly visible from public places and other places which are frequented by members of the public generally (except any trail as defined in this Plan); or b. forms the foreground for an <u>would detract from views to an</u> Outstanding Natural Landscape or Outstanding Natural Feature when viewed from public roads. (3.2.1.1, 3.2.1.8, 3.2.5.1, 3.2.5.2, 3.3.20-21, 3.3.24-25, 3.3.30, 3.3.32)
Policy 6.3.27	This policy is contradictory to this type of activity (planting and screening) being permitted and already established in many landholdings in this Basin.	Delete policy 6.3.27 or otherwise amend to apply this policy only in respect of consideration at the stage of subdivision and design / in any proposed mitigation.
Chapter 21 Rural		
New policy 6.3.xx Rural living and development in the Wakatipu Basin	Provide specific policy support for rural living and development in the Wakatipu Basin and the WB Precinct and its distinctive development aspects. The specifics of these provisions are to be addressed further in Stage 2, however given the uncertainties of the Council's approach to staging the District Plan, also form part of this appeal	New Policy 6.3.1.xx - Recognise the distinctive character of the Wakatipu Basin and the amenity benefits of rural living development in this area.

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
21.2.1 Objective	Objective does not sufficiently recognise tourism activity as established. The second (protectionist) part of this objective could be deleted as it is not necessary	Amend objective 21.2.1 as follows: A range of land uses, including farming, <u>and other activities</u> <u>which rely on the rural land resource,</u> and established activities, are enabled <del>while protecting, maintaining and</del> enhancing landscape, ecosystem services, nature conservation and rural amenity values.
21.2.1.1 policy	Policy does not sufficiently recognise tourism activity as established. The second (protectionist) part of this objective could be deleted as it is not necessary	Amend policy 21.2.1.1 as follows: Enable farming <u>and tourism</u> activities <del>while protecting,</del> maintaining and enhancing the values of indigenous biodiversity, ecosystem services, recreational values, the landscape and surface of lakes and rivers and their margins.
Policy 21.2.4.2	Existing activities extend beyond farming and which require reverse sensitivity protection	Amend policy 21.2.4.2 as follows: Control the location and type of <u>new activities</u> <del>non-farming</del> <del>activities</del> in the-Rural Zone, so as to minimise conflict between permitted and established activities and those that may not be compatible with such activities.

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
Objective and policy suite 21.2.9	Enable tourism and recreation growth within the Rural Zone	Amend objective and policy suite as follows:
		21.2.9 Provision for diversification of farming and other rural activities that have a functional need for location within the rural land resource protect landscape and natural resource values and maintains the character of rural landscapes.
		21.2.9.2in a way that maintains and enhances landscape quality, character, rural amenity, and natural resources
		21.2.9.3 Provide for the establishment <u>and operation</u> of activities such as tourism, commercial recreation or visitor accommodation located within the <u>Rural Zone</u> , <u>particularly</u> farms where these enable landscape values and indigenous biodiversity to be sustained in the longer term.
Policy 21.2.1.15	The policy as currently worded is unclear in its application as to what 'diminishment' would be, as this is not consistent RMA terminology.	Amend policy 21.2.1.15 as follows: Ensure traffic from new commercial activities does not <u>have</u> <u>inappropriate adverse effects on</u> <del>diminish</del> -rural amenity or affect the safe and efficient operation of the roading and trail network, or access to public places.

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
Assessment matters 21.21	The assessment matters in 21.21 are long, duplicative, and unnecessary. In some instances these assessment matters are not consistent with the legislative standard of landscapes and amenity values in Part 2 of the Act, and otherwise in accordance with the higher order provisions of the PDP, and the proposed RPS. While these provisions have been sought to be deleted in their entirety, the Appellant will consider further refinements and streamlining of the assessment matters in the course of these proceedings.	Delete assessment matters 21.21
Chapter 22 Rural Residential and Rural Lifestyle		
Policy 22.2.1.4	This policy is an unnecessary repetition of provisions included in Chapter 6 and is uncertain in its application as to what development constitutes being 'near' ONL / ONFs. The policy should be limited to adverse effects on views to ONLs / ONFs if it is to be retained.	Delete policy 22.2.1.4 or otherwise amend to clarify what is near an ONL / ONF
Policy 22.2.2.2	This policy is unclear in its application as the urban rural edge is not defined. This is also duplication of Chapter 4.	Delete policy 22.2.2.2

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
Policy 22.2.3.1	The application of this policy is unclear, given that many infrastructure upgrades will bear some cost to the community through Council and Government contributions. This is also repetition of subdivision and development policies relevant to landowners bearing the costs of subdivision	Delete policy 22.2.3.1
Rule 22.4.11 Visitor Accommodation	The Councils decision is to make visitor accommodation a discretionary activity and has not accepted the submissions seeking this to be a RD Activity. Note that stage 2 visitor accommodation only deals with short term stays through the new definitions and rules relating to residential visitor accommodation and homestays. The decisions on Chapter 22 remain relevant for visitor accommodation generally i.e. for stays beyond 90 days.	Amend Rule 22.4.11 to be an RDA activity beyond permitted activity thresholds
Rule 22.5.5 Setback from roads	Road boundary setback increased from 15m to 20m is an inefficient use of land resource where developments can be appropriately designed to protect public views and amenity.	Amend Rule 22.5.5 as follows: The minimum setback of any building from a road boundary shall be: 22.5.5.1 Rural Lifestyle Zone: <u>2015</u> m

Provision (PDP decision version)	Reason for appeal	Relief sought
Rule 22.5.12 Residential Density: Rural Lifestyle Zone	There is no justification for the limitation of one unit per building	Amend Rule 22.5.12.1 – 12.5.12.3 to provide for two units per
	platform, where in most instances building platforms are large	building platform as follows:
	(1000m <sup>2</sup> ) and through innovative design can lead to better	
	outcomes in terms of provision of greater housing opportunities	22.5.12.1 – Two residential units within each building platform
	and a mix of housing types in the District. The specifics of	
	these provisions are to be addressed further in Stage 2,	
	however given the uncertainties of the Council's approach to	
	staging the District Plan, also form part of this appeal	
Chapter 27 Subdivision		
Rule 27.5.8 All subdivision activities in the District's Rural	The default activity status for rural living subdivision is opposed	Amend Rule 27.5.8 to provide a default controlled activity
Residential and Rural Lifestyle Zones	on the basis there is no justification to remove the existing	status and refine the listed matters of control to just those
	controlled activity regime. Matters of control should be	necessary to achieve the Chapter 22 purpose and objectives.
	reserved to those which are necessary to achieve the Chapter	
	22 overall purpose and objectives which are to enable rural	
	living opportunities and maintain and enhance amenity	
	landscape values	