Summary of Decisions 21 Oct 2025

No.	Submitter_Name	On Behalf Of	Trade-Advantage	Trade-Affected	Point No.	Support Oppose	Submission Summary
1	Murray Doyle on behalf of Dublin Nominees Ltd	Dublin Nominees Ltd	NO	YES	1.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change Request is approved.
2	Graeme Todd on behalf of Jane Ellen Todd and Trustees of Graeme Todd Family Trust	Jane Ellen Todd and Trustees of Graeme Todd Family Trust	NO	YES	2.1	Oppose	That Activity Area SG is deleted from the Private Plan Change Request, or is relocated to be adjacent to Activity Area C.
2	Graeme Todd on behalf of Jane Ellen Todd and Trustees of Graeme Todd Family Trust	Jane Ellen Todd and Trustees of Graeme Todd Family Trust	NO	YES	2.2	Oppose	That the expansion to Activity Area 4 is rejected.
3	Peter McBride on behalf of Peter and Linda McBride	Peter and Linda McBride	NO	YES	3.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change Request is accepted in full.
4	Derek and Anna Brown		NO	YES	4.1	Oppose	That the location of Activity Area SG is rejected.
4	Derek and Anna Brown		NO	YES	4.2	Oppose	That the enlargement of Activity Area 4 is rejected.
5	Jennifer Humphry		NO	YES	5.1	Support	That the amendments to the Structure Plan are overall minor and should be approved.
5	Jennifer Humphry		NO	YES	5.2	Support	That the proposed changes to Chapter 47 are approved.
5	Jennifer Humphry		NO	YES	5.3	Support	That the proposed changes to Chapter 25 are approved.
5	Jennifer Humphry		NO	YES	5.4	Support	That the proposed changes to Chapter 27 are approved.
6	Hamish Blake		NO	YES	6.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change is accepted.
6	Hamish Blake		NO	YES	6.2	Support	That the re routing of the golf course is accepted.
7	Warren Bates on behalf of Warren and Lisa Bates	Warren and Lisa Bates	NO	YES	7.1	Support	That the removal of or amendment to the location or shape of some Activity Areas to accommodate the rerouting of the golf course is accepted.
7	Warren Bates on behalf of Warren and Lisa Bates	Warren and Lisa Bates	NO	YES	7.2	Support	That the introduction of the SG Activity Area, Golf training facility area, changes to road access, and new homesite activity areas and associated Structural Planting Areas and Landscape Management Areas is accepted.
8	Pete Campbell		NO	YES	8.1	Support	That the entire Private Plan Change is approved in full.
8	Pete Campbell		NO	YES	8.2	Support	That the rerouting of the golf course is accepted.
9	Rebecca and James Hadley		NO	NO	9.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change is approved.
9	Rebecca and James Hadley		NO	NO	9.2	Support	That the Plan Change be approved.
10	Sam and Toni Monk		NO	YES	10.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change request is approved in full.
11	John Guthrie		NO	YES	11.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change Request is approved in full.
11	John Guthrie		NO	YES	11.2	Support	That the proposed changes to the Zones Structure Plan is approved in full.
12	Roger Monk		NO	YES	12.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change request is approved in full.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.1	Oppose	That the Council decline the Plan Change until further assessment is completed to accurately evaluate the potential impacts of the eleven homesites.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.2	Oppose	That Council refuse the addition of eleven new homesites on the grounds of adverse landscape and visual effects, inconsistency with the Zone's purpose and objectives and lack of adequate assessment.

13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.3	Oppose	That the addition of the Structural Planting Framework be approved by Council subject to conformation that the Structural Planting Frameworks adequately mitigate views from the submitters property.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.4	Support	That the additional linkage to the Wakatipu Trails Trust network and promoting active transport is accepted.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.5	Support	That the inclusion of the Structural Planting Framework, subject to conformation through additional and accurate visual simulations is approved.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.6	Support	That the inclusion of Rule 47.4.3d is approved.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.7	Support	That the inclusion of Rule 47.4.3A is approved.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.8	Oppose	That the inclusion of the eleven new homesites as a controlled activity is refused until the potential adverse effects are better understood.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.9	Oppose	That the inclusion of the new homesites in Rule 47.4.11 is opposed.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.10	Oppose	That the inclusion of residential visitor accommodation for the eleven new homesites as a permitted activity is opposed.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.11	Oppose	That the inclusion of homestays within the homesites as a permitted activity is opposed.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.12	Oppose	That the proposed building height of 6.5 metres for the new homesites is rejected.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.13	Oppose	That the proposed building coverage percentages are rejected until the potential adverse effects on landscape and visual amenity are adequately assessed and understood.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.14	Oppose	That the new structure plan and specifically the addition of the eleven new homesites is rejected.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.15	Oppose	That the changes to enable the subdivision of the new homesites as a controlled activity is opposed.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.16	Support	That the inclusion of the additional homesites in Rule 27.7.23 is approved.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.17	Support	That the inclusion of the additional homesites in Rule 27.7.24 is approved.
13	James & Janene Draper		NO	YES	13.18	Oppose	That the inclusion of eleven new homesites in the new structure plan are rejected.
14	Cameron Wilson		NO	YES	14.1	Support	That the Private Plan Change request is approved in full.
15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.1	Support	That the wording of the Plan Change be accepted except where identified elsewhere in my submission.
15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.2	Support	That the introduction of the Structural Planting Frameworks is accepted.
15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.3	Support	That the proposed building height limits for homesites 5 to 8 are accepted.
15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.4	Support	That the building coverage standards for homesites 5 to 8 are accepted.
15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.5	Oppose	That the proposed amendment to the road location in the structure plan is opposed and that the existing road location it remain as it is.

15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.6	Oppose	That the track location in the structure plan is located to ensure as much separation as possible from the submitters property boundary at 214 McDonnell Road.
15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.7	Oppose	That screening is provided within the Hills Resort Zone which provides privacy, but is maintained or managed to ensure the views from the submitters property to the mountain is not impacted.
15	Jamie Robinson for Duncan Cotterill Lawyers on behalf of Simon Dan	Duncan Cotterill Lawyers Simon Dan	NO	NO	15.8	Oppose	That any alternative mitigation measures or changes maintain the outlook, privacy and amenity of the submitters property at 214 McDonnell Road.
16	Mark Williams for Queenstown Trails Trust	Queenstown Trails Trust	NO	NO	16.1	Support	That the proposed pedestrian and cycle right of way over Lot 4 (DP 516022) proposed as part of this Plan Change is approved.
16	Mark Williams for Queenstown Trails Trust	Queenstown Trails Trust	NO	NO	16.2	Oppose	That an additional easement from the southernmost corner of the property and heading west over Lot 4 (DP 516022) and Lot 6 (DP392663) to enable a connection into the Ayrburn Heritage Precinct is included into the Plan Change request.
16	Mark Williams for Queenstown Trails Trust	Queenstown Trails Trust	NO	NO	16.3	Support	That conditions for the provision of these trails and their construction are included.
16	Mark Williams for Queenstown Trails Trust	Queenstown Trails Trust	NO	NO	16.4	Support	That the trail/s should be formed in accordance with the Council's grade 3 trail standards, generally in the location on the attached plans to the Plan Change.
17	Andrew Brinsley on behalf of AW Brinsley Family Trust	AW Brinsley Family Trust	NO	NO	17.1	Oppose	That full consideration of road engineering issues on Hogans Gully Road to cater for cyclists is given a high priority to the point that gazetted speed be reduced by Council.
17	Andrew Brinsley on behalf of AW Brinsley Family Trust	AW Brinsley Family Trust	NO	NO	17.2	Oppose	That the access to the proposed sites come via the main entrance on McDonnell Road.
18	Sandra Page		NO	NO	18.1	Oppose	That the proposed height of buildings is amended to ensure that they are not visible from the submitters property at 148 McDonnell Road.
18	Sandra Page		NO	NO	18.2	Oppose	That the proposed staff accommodation is sited 50 metres back from the submitters property boundary at 148 McDonnell Road, and blends into the environment as best as possible.
18	Sandra Page		NO	NO	18.3	Oppose	That the existing no fly Zone over the submitters property at 148 McDonnell Road is upheld.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.1	Oppose	That the overall application is approved subject to proposed mitigation provisions regarding access through Hogans Gully Road, planting associated with the proposed homesites and heavy traffic movements associated with earthworks are accepted into the Plan Change.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.2	Oppose	That the Council reduce the speed limit on all of Hogans Gully Road to 40 Kilometers per Hour and make the road a shared bike and car road.

20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.3	Oppose	That the proposed access to eight homesites is restricted in perpetuity so that only homesites nine to 16 can use the Hogans Gully entrance.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.4	Oppose	That the road surface on the Hogans Gully Road accessway be at noise minimisation quality.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.5	Oppose	That there should be no lighting on internal roading or at the entranceway in keeping with the rural character of the road and its neighbours.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.6	Oppose	That a legal mechanism is necessary to ensure the protection of trees included in viewpoint 5 (assessment of visibility) and that adjacent planting of tree over five metres are planted. If not then additional planting closer to homesites be undertaken.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.7	Oppose	That the proposed planting associated with Homesites seen in Photomontage statement view Arrowtown Lake-Hayes Road Page 16 are protected and that adjacent planting of trees in undertaken.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.8	Oppose	That the planting mitigation associated with homesite seen in Photomontage statement View from 58 Hogans Gully Road looking northeast is not adequate and needs to be adjusted.
20	Iris and Dave Weber/Gibson		NO	YES	20.9	Oppose	That Council create a consent condition to limit access to Hogans Gully Road for heavy traffic associated with the development of the associated groundworks to create the new land parcels.
21	Mike Davies		NO	YES	21.1	Support	That the proposed changes to the Zone's structure plan is approved in full.
21	Mike Davies		NO	YES	21.2	Support	That the specific amendments to Chapter 47, 25 and 27 is approved in full.