

IN THE MATTER

of the Resource Management Act  
1991

AND

IN THE MATTER

of the Queenstown Lakes  
Proposed District Plan

AND

IN THE MATTER

of Hearing Stream 13: Queenstown  
Mapping

**MINUTE REGARDING QUEENSTOWN AIRPORT CORPORATION LTD**  
**REQUEST RELATED TO EXPERT EVIDENCE**

1. Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Ltd ("QAC") has filed a memorandum seeking permission for experts appearing for QAC to file a single brief of substantive evidence each, rather than a separate brief for each site that QAC's further submission (FS1340) relates to.
2. In our Ninth Procedural Minute we directed that expert evidence should be directed at the relevant site, and requested that experts provide separate briefs of evidence for each site rather than an omnibus brief.
3. Ms Wolt has identified that the substantive expert evidence to be provided in respect of QAC's further submission will be expert planning and noise evidence, generally at a high level, and that it would be the same in respect of each of the 33 rezoning requests sought by original submitters. She does note that any rebuttal evidence filed would be lodged as separate briefs of evidence for each site.
4. I accept that the Panel would not be well-served by receiving 33 identical briefs of evidence. As I understand counsel's memorandum, the substantive evidence will set out the overall rationale for opposing the various rezoning requests, and that overall rationale will not generally alter from site to site.
5. On that basis, and also on the understanding that the evidence will clearly identify the submissions it relates to, I agree to QAC's expert witnesses filing omnibus evidence for Stream 13.

For the Hearing Panel



Denis Nugent (Chair)

29 May 2017