

APPLICATION AS NOTIFIED

**LITTLEBOURNE INVESTEMENTS
LIMITED**

(RM260456)

File Number RM260456

QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL

SERVICE OF NOTICE / LIMITED NOTIFICATION

Service of Notice for Limited Notification of a Resource Consent application under Section 95B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

The Queenstown Lakes District Council has received an application for a resource consent from:

Littlebourne Investments Limited

What is proposed:

To remove an existing swing mooring from the bed of Lake Wakatipu (Whakātipu-Wai-Māori), and to re-establish it in a new location, requiring land use consents from the Otago Regional Council and the Queenstown Lakes District Council.

The location in respect of which this application relates is situated at:

On the southern side of the Frankton Arm to the north of 659 Peninsula Road, Kelvin Heights, Queenstown within Whakātipu-Wai-Māori at the following GPS coordinates:

-45.044461 S 168.685380 E (WGS84 Decimal Degrees Format)

A full copy of this Limited Notified package is available for you to download on the following link:

<https://www.qldc.govt.nz/services/resource-consents/notified-resource-consents#limited-not-rc> or via our edocs website using **RM260456** as the reference <https://edocs.qldc.govt.nz/Account/Login>

This file can also be viewed at our public computers at these Council offices:

- **Gorge Road, Queenstown;**
- **and 47 Ardmore Street, Wanaka during normal office hours (8.30am to 5.00pm).**

The Council planner processing this application on behalf of the Council is Rebecca Holden, who may be contacted by phone at 021 170 1496 or e-mail at rebecca.holden@qldc.govt.nz

Any person who is notified of this application, but a person who is a trade competitor of the applicant may do so only if that person is directly affected by an effect of the activity to which the application relates that –

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

If you wish to make a submission on this application, you may do so by sending a written submission to the consent authority no later than:

27 August 2026

The submission must be dated, signed by you and must include the following information:

- a) Your name and postal address and phone number/fax number.
- b) Details of the application in respect of which you are making the submission including location.
- c) Whether you support or oppose the application.
- d) Your submission, with reasons.
- e) The decision you wish the consent authority to make.
- f) Whether you wish to be heard in support of your submission.

You may make a submission by sending a written or electronic submission to Council (details below). The submission should be in the format of Form 13. Copies of this form are available Council website:

<https://www.qldc.govt.nz/services/resource-consents/notified-consents/current-notified-resource-consents/>

You must serve a copy of your submission to the applicant (Littlebourne Investments Limited, skertenrealestate@xtra.co.nz) as soon as reasonably practicable after serving your submission to Council:

C/- Kristy Jennings
kristy@centralrm.co.nz
 Central Resource Management Limited

QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL



(signed by Jane Sinclair pursuant to a delegation given under Section 34A of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Date of Notification: 30 July 2026

Address for Service for Consent Authority:

Queenstown Lakes District Council
 Private Bag 50072, Queenstown 9348
 Gorge Road, Queenstown 9300

Phone
 Email
 Website

03 441 0499
rcsubmission@qldc.govt.nz
www.qldc.govt.nz



**NOTIFICATION ASSESSMENT UNDER s95A AND s95B OF THE RESOURCE
MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 (RMA)**

**NOTIFICATION DECISION UNDER s95A AND s95B RMA OF BOTH THE QUEENSTOWN
LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL and**

THE OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL

Applicant:	Littlebourne Investments Limited
RM reference:	RM260456
Application:	Application under Section 88 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) for land use consents from the Otago Regional Council (ORC) and the Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC) to remove an existing swing mooring from the bed of Lake Wakatipu (Whakātipu-Wai-Māori), and to re-establish it in a new location.
Location:	Bed and surface of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, to the north of 659 Peninsula Road, Kelvin Heights.
Legal Description:	N/A
QLDC Zoning:	ODP: Rural General PDP: Rural (Water)
QLDC District Plan Notations:	Wāhi Tūpuna Site 33 (Whakātipu-Wai-Māori (Lake Whakātipu))
ORC ORP:W Notations:	MA3 (Waahi tapu and/or Waiwhakaheke)
Activity Status:	Discretionary
Decision Date	29 July 2026

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

1. Pursuant to sections 95A-95E of the RMA, the application seeking consent for a mooring within the Frankton Arm, will be processed under the Queenstown Lakes District Plan on a limited notified basis given the findings of Sections 4.1 and 4.3 of this report. Notice of the application will be served on those parties identified in Section 4.3.3 of this report in accordance with section 2AA of the RMA.
2. Pursuant to sections 95A-95E of the RMA, the application seeking consent under the Otago Regional Plan: Water to remove a structure from the bed of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, and to replace this with a structure, fixed in, on, under or over the bed of a lake, will be processed by the QLDC in accordance with the deed dated 23 March 1994 on a limited notified basis, given the findings of Sections 4.1 and 4.3 of this report. Notice of the application will be served on those parties identified in Section 4.3.3 of this report in accordance with section 2AA of the RMA.
3. This decision is made by Jane Sinclair, Independent Commissioner as delegate for the Council pursuant to Section 34A of the RMA.

1. SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL AND SITE DESCRIPTION

1.1 Background

Many of the moorings and jetties in the District's lakes have been in place for quite some time. Any mooring or jetty established prior to 1991 likely required a licence under the Harbours Act 1950. Where a Harbours Act licence was obtained, the mooring or jetty was able to continue to rely upon the Harbours Act licence under section 418(3A) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) until the expiry of the licence. As Harbours Act licences had 14-year-terms, all licences would have expired by 2005 (14 years following the repeal of the Harbours Act), after which authorisation under the RMA is required for a mooring or jetty to be lawful under this legislation.

In 2021, the QLDC undertook a survey of the District's lakes and become aware that a number of moorings and jetties may not be lawfully established under the RMA (because of the transition from the Harbours Act to the RMA). Communication was sent to all mooring and jetty owners, advising them about their responsibilities and requirements under the RMA.

As a result, QLDC have received a number of applications to lawfully establish under the RMA existing moorings and jetties within the District's lakes. These structures need consents under both the QLDC District Plan and the Otago Regional Council's Regional Plan: Water for Otago (ORP:W).

Of relevance to the processing of this application under the ORP:W, on 23 March 1994, a deed was made to transfer the power to determine applications for land use consent for activities / structures affecting lakes from the ORC to the QLDC pursuant to Section 13(1)(a) of the RMA. In accordance with this deed, in emails dated 18.5.26 and 21.7.26, the ORC confirmed that QLDC could process this application seeking consent under the ORP:W on its behalf.

1.2 Summary of Proposal

The Applicant has provided a description of the proposal and the site and locality in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of the report entitled "*Littlebourne Investments Limited, Resource Consent Application, Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu, April 2026*", prepared by Kristy Jennings of Central Resource Management Limited, and submitted as part of the application (hereon referred to as the Applicant's AEE and attached as *Appendix 1*). This description is considered adequate and is adopted in part for the purpose of this report with the following clarifications/additions:

Consent is sought to lawfully establish under the RMA an existing swing mooring for a single vessel within Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, on the southern side of the Frankton Arm to the north of 659 Peninsula Road, Kelvin Heights. The proposal relates to existing mooring permit¹ 54 ("M54") which Council records show is currently located at the following GPS coordinates (as indicated in Figure 1 below):

-45.044802 S, 168.685452 E (WGS84 Decimal Degree Format)

During the processing of this application, the QLDC Harbourmaster raised navigational safety concerns regarding the existing location of M54. The Applicant has subsequently confirmed² that the new proposal is to relocate the existing swing mooring system approximately 35m to the north of its existing location in order to avoid a swing radius conflict (detailed further in Section 3.3.3 below). The proposed GPS coordinates for the mooring are now as follows, as shown in Figure 1:

-45.044461 S 168.685380 E (WGS84 Decimal Degree Format).

¹ Issued under the QLDC Mooring Permit system which is administered by Councils Property team and is not an authorisation under the RMA.

² Refer to email dated 14.7.26, publicly viewable in QLDC's eDocs system under the reference "RM260456": <https://edocs.qldc.govt.nz/>




Figure 1: Location of existing swing mooring M54 (large orange dot), compared to proposed location (as indicated), in relation to surrounding moorings and features.

Of note, GPS coordinates that have been used within the application documents use either the Degrees, Minutes, Seconds format (mooring inspection report), or the Decimal Degree Format (AEE). In accordance with the standard QLDC mooring inspection form, all coordinates have been converted to the WGS84 Decimal Degree Format for the purposes of this report. Whatever format is used, the existing and proposed locations of M54 are as shown in Figure 1 above.

The Applicant has advised that given the mooring was established by a previous owner, the exact date it was established is unknown. However, the mooring owner has demonstrated that they hold a QLDC mooring permit for the mooring.

Consent is sought to use M54 for a vessel up to 9m in overall length.

2. ACTIVITY STATUS

The 2007 QLDC Operative District Plan is being reviewed through a series of plan changes commenced in August 2015 known as the Proposed District Plan (PDP). As set out in Chapter 1 of the PDP, the district plan can now be summarised as comprising:

- A. Provisions reviewed since 2015 referred to as the PDP that apply in areas mapped in the PDP ePlan maps; and
- B. Provisions reviewed since 2007 referred to as the Operative District Plan (ODP) that apply in areas mapped in the ODP ePlan maps, which appear as white in the PDP ePlan maps.

Strategic Chapters 3-6 of the PDP as well as Designations, Heritage and Wāhi Tūpuna apply to all areas. Where decisions on the PDP have been appealed, the corresponding ODP rules continue to apply. As appeals are resolved, more PDP rules are treated as operative and the equivalent ODP rules are treated as inoperative (s.86F RMA). Currently, resource consent may be required under either, or both the ODP and PDP provisions listed below.

2.1 OPERATIVE DISTRICT PLAN

The subject site is zoned Rural General in the ODP. However, there are no longer any relevant rules under the ODP, given the relevant rules under the PDP are treated as operative pursuant to section 86F.

2.2 PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN

The subject site is zoned Rural (water) by the PDP. The proposed mooring is located within Wāhi Tūpuna Site 33 (Whakātipu-Wai-Māori (Lake Whakātipu)). Whakātipu-Wai-Māori is also a Statutory Acknowledgement Area under the Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998, as recognised within the PDP. While these provisions do not contain rules that might trigger the need for a resource consent, they are particularly relevant for the assessment of this application and for providing guidance on actual and potential effects.

The proposed activity requires resource consent under the PDP for the following reason³:

- A **restricted discretionary** activity pursuant to Rule 21.15.7 in regard to moorings in the Frankton Arm. The proposed mooring is identified within the area located to the east of the Outstanding Natural Landscape line as shown on the District Plan web mapping application. Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:
 - a. whether they are dominant or obtrusive elements in the shore scape or lake view, particularly when viewed from any public place, including whether they are situated in natural bays and not headlands;
 - b. whether the structure causes an impediment to craft manoeuvring and using shore waters.
 - c. the degree to which the structure will diminish the recreational experience of people using public areas around the shoreline;
 - d. the effects associated with congestion and clutter around the shoreline. Including whether the structure contributes to an adverse cumulative effect;
 - e. whether the structure will be used by a number and range of people and craft, including the general public;
 - f. the degree to which the structure would be compatible with landscape and amenity values, including colour, materials, design;
 - g. whether the structure enables the use of public water ferry services and/or water based transport.

Overall, the application is considered to be a **restricted discretionary** activity under the PDP.

2.3 THE OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL PLAN: WATER

Whakātipu-Wai-Māori is a Statutory Acknowledgement Area under the Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998, which is recognised within the ORP:W and is a relevant consideration for the assessment of this application under the ORP:W, and for providing guidance on actual and potential effects.

In accordance with the deed signed between the ORC and QLDC and the confirmation from ORC delegating its decision making (as outlined in Section 1.1 above), the application has been considered by QLDC under the relevant provisions of the ORP:W.

The proposed activity requires resource consent under the ORP:W for the following reasons:

- A **restricted discretionary** activity pursuant to Rule 13.4.2.1 as the proposal involves the removal of a structure that is fixed in, on, under, or over the bed of any lake or river and does not meet the requirements of a permitted activity in Rule 13.4.1.1, specifically standard 13.4.1.1(c). The Applicant now proposes to remove the existing swing mooring from Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, which is identified in Schedule 1D of the ORP:W as MA3 (Waahi tapu). Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:

³ Cited rule(s) can be treated as operative under s86F.

- a) Any adverse effects of the activity on:
 - (i) Any natural and human use value identified in Schedule 1 for any affected water body;
 - (ii) The natural character of any affected water body;
 - (iii) Any amenity value supported by any affected water body; and
 - (iv) Any heritage value associated with any affected water body; and
 - b) Any effect on any Regionally Significant Wetland or on any regionally significant wetland value; and
 - c) Flow and sediment processes; and
 - d) Any adverse effect on a defence against water; and
 - e) Any adverse effect on existing public access; and
 - f) The method of demolition or removal; and
 - g) The duration of the resource consent; and
 - h) The information and monitoring requirements; and
 - i) Any existing lawful activity associated with any affected water body; and
 - j) Any bond; and
 - k) The review of conditions of the resource consent; and
 - l) Any financial contribution for regionally significant wetland values or Regionally Significant Wetlands that are adversely affected.
- A **discretionary** activity pursuant to Rule 13.2.3.1 as the proposal involves the placement of a structure, fixed in, on, under or over the bed of a lake. It is proposed to place a mooring that passes through the surface of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori and is attached (anchored) to the bed of the lake.

Overall, the application is considered to be a **discretionary** activity under the ORP:W.

2.4 ACTIVITY STATUS SUMMARY

The application is considered to be:

- a **restricted discretionary** activity under the PDP; and
- a **discretionary** activity under the ORP:W.

Overall, the application will be considered as a **discretionary** activity.

NOTIFICATION ASSESSMENT AND DETERMINATION DECISION UNDER SECTIONS 95A AND 95B OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT

The below covers both the QLDC and ORC resource consent applications.

3. SECTION 95A – PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

Section 95A of the RMA requires a decision on whether or not to publicly notify an application. The following steps set out in this section, in the order given, are used to determine whether to publicly notify an application for a resource consent.

3.1 Step 1 – Mandatory public notification

The Applicant has not requested public notification of the application (s95A(3)(a)).

Public notification is not required as a result of a refusal by the Applicant to provide further information or refusal of the commissioning of a report under section 92(2)(b) of the RMA (s95A(3)(b)).

The application does not involve an exchange of recreation reserve land under section 15AA of the Reserves Act 1977 (s95A(3)(c)).

Therefore, public notification is not required by Step 1.

3.2 Step 2 – Public notification precluded

Public notification is not precluded by any rule or national environmental standard (s95A(5)(a)).

The proposal is not:

- a controlled activity; or
- a boundary activity as defined by section 87AAB that is restricted discretionary, discretionary or non-complying;

Therefore, public notification is not precluded under Step 2.

3.3 Step 3 – If not precluded by Step 2, public notification is required in certain circumstances

Public notification is not specifically required under a rule or national environmental standard (s95A(8)(a)).

A consent authority must publicly notify an application if notification is not precluded by Step 2 and the consent authority decides, in accordance with s95D, that the proposed activity will have or is likely to have adverse effects on the environment that are more than minor (s95A(8)(b)).

An assessment in this respect is therefore undertaken, and decision made in sections 3.3.1 - 3.3.4 below:

3.3.1 Effects that must / may be disregarded (s95D(a)-(e))

Effects that must be disregarded:

- *Effects on the owners or occupiers of land on which the activity will occur and on adjacent land (s95D(a)).*
- *Trade competition and the effects of trade competition (s95D(d)).*
- *Effects on a person who has given written approval to the relevant application. The following persons have provided their **written approval** and as such adverse effects on these parties have been disregarded (s95D(e)).*

Person (owner/occupier)	Address (location in respect of subject site)
Land Information New Zealand (LINZ)	N/A

The QLDC processing planner has consulted with Maritime New Zealand as per requirements of s.89A. In an email dated 18 May 2026, Maritime NZ confirmed they had no comment on the application.

Effects that may be disregarded:

- *An adverse effect of the activity if a rule or national environmental standard permits an activity with that effect (s95D(b)) – referred to as the “permitted baseline”. The relevance of a permitted baseline to this application is provided in section 3.3.2 below.*

3.3.2 Permitted Activities (s95D(b))

The consent authority **may** disregard an adverse effect of the activity if a rule or national environmental standard permits an activity with that effect. In this case, as accepted in section 5.1 of the Applicant's AEE, all permanent structures and moorings within a lake require resource consent under the PDP, and the ORP:W. As such, there is no permitted baseline relevant to the placement of a structure on the lake bed.

As for the effects of lake-bed disturbance associated with the removal and placement of a mooring under ORP:W Rule 13.5.1.1, it is a permitted activity to disturb the bed of a lake associated with the erection, placement, extension, alteration, replacement, reconstruction, repair, maintenance, demolition or removal of any structure that is fixed in, on, under or over the bed of any lake, provided standards are met. These include the following:

- the work is undertaken between 1 May and 30 September inclusive and Department of Conservation and Fish and Game are notified in advance;
- the lake bed disturbance is limited to the extent necessary to undertake the work;
- the work does not cause any flooding or erosion;
- the time taken to complete the works in the wetted bed of the lake does not exceed ten (10) hours in duration;
- reasonable steps are taken to minimise sediment release such that water clarity does not change within 200m downstream; and
- the site is left tidy.

In terms of the ongoing use of a structure, it is noted that through this consent, the proposed mooring will become lawfully established under the RMA, and therefore its ongoing use will be able to rely on permitted activity Rule 13.1.1.1 of the ORP:W, provided it is maintained in good repair. This is a relevant consideration in the assessment that follows. Similarly, if this consent is approved, the mooring will become authorised under the PDP and its ongoing use will essentially be “permitted” as a result.

The repair, maintenance, extension, alteration, replacement or reconstruction of a structure is also permitted by the ORP:W provided the structure is replaced or reconstructed in the same location as the original structure; and there is no permanent change to the scale, nature or functions of the structure.

It is considered the permitted baseline as it relates to the disturbance of the lakebed associated with the installation of the mooring, the ongoing use of this structure once lawfully established, and the maintenance and repair of the mooring to be of some relevance to the proposal. In an email dated 29.7.26, the Applicant confirmed that the work required to relocate M54 will comply with the permitted standards under the ORP:W⁴. As such this will be taken into consideration in the assessment that follows.

⁴ Any historic or future non-compliance of the permitted standards under the ORP:W is an Otago Regional Council monitoring and enforcement matter.

3.3.3 Assessment: Effects On The Environment

Taking into account sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 above, the following assessment determines whether the proposed activity will have, or is likely to have, adverse effects on the environment that are more than minor that will require public notification (s95A(8)(b)).

The Applicant seeks resource consent under both the ORP:W and the PDP to lawfully establish a swing mooring within the Frankton Arm of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori. An assessment relevant to each Council plan follows.

Expert reports

The following expert reports have been provided to assist the assessment of effects below:

- A navigational safety report prepared by QLDC's Harbourmaster, Mr Ricky Campbell, attached as *Appendix 2*.

A] Assessment under the QLDC Proposed District Plan

A restricted discretionary consenting pathway is provided for moorings and jetties located within the Frankton Arm of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, where Council's discretion is restricted to the matters in Rule 21.15.7, which for completeness are repeated as follows:

- a. *whether they are dominant or obtrusive elements in the shore scape or lake view, particularly when viewed from any public place, including whether they are situated in natural bays and not headlands;*
- b. *whether the structure causes an impediment to craft manoeuvring and using shore waters.*
- c. *the degree to which the structure will diminish the recreational experience of people using public areas around the shoreline;*
- d. *the effects associated with congestion and clutter around the shoreline. Including whether the structure contributes to an adverse cumulative effect;*
- e. *whether the structure will be used by a number and range of people and craft, including the general public;*
- f. *the degree to which the structure would be compatible with landscape and amenity values, including colour, materials, design;*
- g. *whether the structure enables the use of public water ferry services and/or water based transport.*

Under the PDP, the proposed mooring is considered to raise actual or potential effects on the environment relating to the following matters:

- i. Landscape, visual and amenity effects (relevant to matters of discretion a), d) and f) of PDP Rule 21.15.7).
- ii. Navigation and water safety (relevant to matters of discretion b) of PDP Rule 21.15.7).
- iii. Effects on recreation (matters of discretion c) and e) of PDP Rule 21.15.7).

The Assessment of Effects provided at section 4 of the Applicant's AEE is considered brief but adequate and is therefore adopted in part for the purposes of this report with the following additions.

i. Landscape, visual and amenity effects;

The PDP Planning Maps show the Frankton Arm as being located outside of the wider Whakātipu-Wai-Māori Outstanding Natural Landscape (ONL), which is reflected in the PDP rule framework.

Although visible to a wide catchment area, including the surface of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori and at elevated public and private viewpoints either side of the Frankton Arm (including the shoreline), the mooring subject to this resource consent application (M54) forms an existing part of the Frankton Arm shore scape and have been a feature of this shoreline for many years. The proposed new location for M54 remains within the wider cluster of existing moorings and jetties located within a stretch of shoreline (see Figure 1 above), therefore being able to see the moorings or berthed vessels is not unusual or unexpected in this location.

The proposed mooring in its existing or new location will not obstruct any public views nor dominate the shore scape.

Overall, it is considered that the mooring is compatible with the scenic and amenity values associated with this part of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori. The proposal will not result in a change to the number of stationary boats on the water (as it is not a new mooring), and therefore will have a negligible effect on the natural character of the shore line. The proposal is considered to be compatible with the scenic and amenity values of this part of the Frankton Arm. Adverse effects on the environment relating to landscape, visual and amenity effects are considered to be no more than minor.

ii. Navigation and water safety

As outlined in the QLDC Swing Mooring Booklet 2026 and within the mooring permit, the safety and maintenance of a mooring is the responsibility of the registered mooring owner. The whole system must be inspected every two years to remove kinks and replace worn components. Inspection must be conducted by a suitably qualified professional. This requirement is consistent with the ORC permitted activity standards outlined in Section 3.3.2 above.

The Applicant has submitted a mooring inspection which was carried out on 28 April 2026 by Mr Garry Wright, who is accepted as being a suitably qualified professional to undertake this work. Based on the mooring specifications detailed within the inspection report, a maximum swing radius for M54 was able to be calculated. Swing radius is a circle on the water in which a vessel will rotate around its mooring block or anchor and takes into consideration the length of the berthed vessel, the depth of the mooring block, and the total length of “tackle”. The maximum swing radius is calculated at low water level, which is when the mooring “tackle” will be fully extended in high winds (or a high tidal flow), representing the maximum distance the vessel is from the mooring block.

Based on a total swing radius of 16 metres (including 9m vessel), the QLDC Harbourmaster initially identified that there was a swing radius conflict with adjacent mooring M53 (resource consent application is currently being processed), the location of which are shown in Figure 1 above. Before the QLDC Harbourmaster could support the application, he advised that these swing radius conflicts would need to be addressed (and removed), and the existing mooring block which was identified as being comprised of three concrete tubes would need to be upgraded to meet the requirements of the Guidelines contained within the QLDC Mooring Booklet.

To address the Harbourmaster’s concerns, the Applicant volunteered to upgrade the mooring system and shift the mooring to the location shown in Figure 1 above, which will avoid any swing radius conflict with surrounding moorings. Accordingly, it is accepted that the amended mooring position is suitable (subject to confirmation by a diver prior to placement). The mooring will be marked with a buoy to make it clearly visible, and its location will not impede any craft manoeuvring in this area.

Overall, it is considered that lawfully establishing M54 at the location now proposed will result in no more than minor adverse effects on navigational safety on Whakātipu-Wai-Māori.

iii. Effects on recreation;

The surface of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, particularly within the Frankton Arm, serves as a valued recreation area for boating and other water based activities. As shown in Figure 1 above, a number of jetties, moorings and other maritime features are located in the immediate vicinity of M54. The subject mooring is also located to the north of land containing a Recreation Reserve and the Kelvin Heights Peninsula Trail walking track which is well utilised by the public.

Given the existing environment and pattern of development, the proposed mooring is located at a sufficient distance from the shoreline not to affect or diminish the recreational experience of people using public areas around the shoreline. The subject mooring is considered to be in keeping with use of this recreational resource and is unlikely to adversely affect the recreational experience of people using the lake such as swimmers, kayakers and other boaters.

The proposed mooring is a private mooring owned by the Applicant and is not available for the general public. With regard to the restricted discretionary criteria about “*enablement for use of public water ferry*”

services and/or water based transport", the proposed mooring is unlikely to be appropriate for such use, but does not preclude this use occurring elsewhere in the Frankton Arm.

Overall, adverse effects on recreation are considered to be no more than minor.

iv. Summary of effects under the PDP

Overall, given the assessment above and that within the AEE, it is considered that any adverse effects on the environment relating to an assessment under the matters of discretion outlined within the PDP will be no more than minor.

B] Assessment under the ORP:Water for Otago (ORP:W)

Under the ORP:W, the subject mooring is considered to raise actual or potential effects on the environment relating to the following matters:

- i. *Effects on the lake bed/ecological values*
- ii. *Effect on any natural and human use value identified in Schedule 1 of the ORP:W*

i. Effects on the lake bed/ecological values:

Consent is sought under the ORP:W to place a permanent structure on the lake bed, as well as remove the existing structure (swing mooring). As noted in Section 3.3.2 above, the disturbance of the bed associated with the removal and placement of the mooring block is a permitted activity and will not be considered further.

In terms of ecological values, it is acknowledged that aquatic pest species are present in the lakes, including Lagarosiphon which is identified and controlled by the ORP:W. Lagarosiphon has the potential to be caught on vessels and transferred to other waterbodies, or be transferred to the Districts lakes where moorings are used by vessels that are also used in other waters. Moorings may encourage this transfer. Additionally, discharge of contaminants from vessels could also affect water quality including from fuel, oil or wastewater if discharged when moored. In an email dated 14.7.26, the Applicant volunteered conditions of consent to ensure that all reasonable precautions are taken to minimise the spread of pest plants and aquatic weed, and ensuring no waste product enters the lake when undertaking works to remove/install the mooring blocks. Adverse effects on the environment in this regard are therefore considered to be no more than minor and appropriately remedied/mitigated.

Taking into consideration the volunteered conditions of consent which will ensure that no waste or toxins enter the lake, and that the spread of aquatic pest species is controlled, adverse effects on the environment in terms of lake bed disturbance and ecological values are considered to be no more than minor.

ii. Effect on the recognised values listed in the ORP:W

The ORP:W outlines the natural and human use values of various watercourses throughout the Otago Region. Whakātipu-Wai-Māori is identified within Schedule 1 of the ORP:W, as having specific values needing to be protected.

Schedule 1A of the ORP:W identifies the natural values associated with Whakātipu-Wai-Māori. It is recognised that the mooring subject to this application will alter the natural character of the lake, however this effect is considered to be less than minor. The proposed works will not affect ecology, natural flow characteristics or water quality of the lake.

Schedule 1B of the ORP:W identifies lakes and rivers where the water taken is used for public water supply purposes. There is one water supply within the Frankton Arm of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, as identified in Schedule 1B of the ORP:W. However, the subject mooring (at the coordinates proposed) is not located within the vicinity of this, being separated by a considerable distance.

Schedule 1C lists registered historic places. For the purposes of an assessment under the ORP:W, there are no historic places/features listed within Schedule 1C of the ORP:W within the vicinity of the subject mooring.

Schedule 1D identifies the spiritual and cultural beliefs, values and uses associated with water bodies of significance to Kāi Tahu. As outlined in the assessment of cultural effects relevant to the QLDC application and PDP, the proposal can be seen as a potential threat to the values that Whakātipu-Wai-Māori holds for Kāi Tahu. In particular, although the ORP:W recognises that the demolition or removal of a structure under Rule 13.4.1.1 will have less adverse effect than if the structure remained in the bed, given Whakātipu-Wai-Māori is identified in Schedule 1D of the ORP:W as being MA3 (waahi tapu), consent is sought to remove the block associated with the existing swing mooring system.

The Applicant has not been able to provide any information from Kāi Tahu on the effects its mooring may or may not have on Kāi Tahu cultural values. Consequently, without input from Kāi Tahu on cultural effects, the proposal has to be seen as a potential threat to the values that Whakātipu-Wai-Māori holds for mana whenua. In considering the existing location of this mooring within an already modified environment with other surrounding moorings and jetties, it is considered that adverse cultural effects on the environment are minor.

iii. Summary of effects under the ORP:W

Overall, it is considered that any adverse effects on the environment in relation to effects on the lake bed/ecological values, and the values identified within Schedules 1A-1C of the ORP:W will be no more than minor. Adverse effects on the significance of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori to Kāi Tahu, as identified within Schedule 1D of the ORP:W, is considered to be minor.

3.3.4 Decision: Effects On The Environment (s95A(8))

On the basis of the above assessment, it is assessed that the proposed activity will not have adverse effects on the environment that are more than minor. Therefore, public notification is not required under Step 3.

3.4 Step 4 – Public Notification in Special Circumstances

There are no special circumstances in relation to this application.

4. LIMITED NOTIFICATION (s95B)

Section 95B(1) requires a decision on whether there are any affected persons (under s95E). The following steps set out in this section, in the order given, are used to determine whether to give limited notification of an application for a resource consent, if the application is not publicly notified under section 95A.

4.1 Step 1: certain affected groups and affected persons must be notified

Determination under s95B(2)

The proposal does not affect protected customary rights groups, and does not affect a customary marine title group; therefore, limited notification under s 95B(2) is not required.

Determination under s95B(3)

The consent authority must determine –

- (a) *whether the proposed activity is on or adjacent to, or may affect, land that is the subject of a statutory acknowledgement made in accordance with an Act specified in Schedule 11; and*
- (b) *whether the person to whom the statutory acknowledgement is made is an affected person under section 95E.*

The proposed mooring is to be located in and attached to the lakebed of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, which is subject to a statutory acknowledgement made in accordance with the Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998 (which is an Act specified in Schedule 11 of the RMA).

In determining if the person to whom the statutory acknowledgement is made is an affected person under section 95E, the consent authority is not required to necessarily find Kāi Tahu affected, but requires the acknowledgement to be taken into account in determining whether they are affected (that is whether they are affected in a manner different from the public generally).

An assessment on whether Kāi Tahu is considered to be adversely affected follows:

Te Rūnanga / Rūnaka o Ngāi Tahu

The assessment contained within Section 3.3.3 against the Schedule 1D values under the ORP:W is relevant to an assessment of whether Ngāi Tahu (or Kāi Tahu) is considered to be adversely affected. Both the ORP:W and the QLDC PDP recognise Kāi Tahu as a partner in the management and protection of the Region/District's water resources through the implementation of these Plans, whereby it is acknowledged that input from Kāi Tahu (who are the Manawhenua of this District) is required in order to fully understand the effects that the proposed mooring within statutory acknowledgement and wāhi tūpuna areas have on cultural/spiritual values. As stated in section 3.3.3 of this report, the application does not contain information from Kāi Tahu on the effects its mooring may or may not have on Kāi Tahu cultural values.

The proposed mooring involves the removal of an existing structure from the lakebed, and the replacement with another permanent structure on the bed Whakātipu-Wai-Māori in the form of a swing mooring system. The proposal can therefore be seen as a potential threat to the values that Whakātipu-Wai-Māori holds for Manawhenua.

Based on the conclusions reached within Section 3.3.3 of this report, and taking into consideration the statutory acknowledgement of Whakātipu-Wai-Māori, the effects on Kāi Tahu will be minor for the purposes of section 95E of the RMA.

Accordingly, Kāi Tahu, whose interests in the Queenstown Lakes District are represented by Aukaha and Te Ao Marama Incorporated, is determined to be an affected person under section 95E (s95B(3)).

4.2 Step 2: if not required by Step 1, limited notification precluded in certain circumstances

Limited notification is not precluded under Step 2 as the proposal is not subject to a rule in the District Plan or is not subject to a NES that precludes notification (s95B(6)(a)).

Limited notification is not precluded under Step 2 as the proposal is not for a controlled activity (s95B(6)(b)).

4.3 Step 3: if not precluded by Step 2, certain other affected persons must be notified

If limited notification is not precluded by Step 2, a consent authority must determine, in accordance with section 95E, whether the following are affected persons:

Boundary activity

The proposal is not a boundary activity.

Any other activity

The proposal is not a boundary activity and therefore the proposed activity falls into the 'any other activity' category (s95B(8)), and the adverse effects of the proposed activity are to be assessed in accordance with section 95E.

4.3.1 Considerations in assessing adverse effects on Persons (S95E(2)(a)-(c))

- a) The consent authority **may** disregard an adverse effect of the activity on a person if a rule or national environmental standard permits an activity with that effect (a “permitted baseline”). Section 3.3.2 above sets out the relevance of the permitted baseline to this application.
- b) The consent authority **must** disregard an adverse effect of the activity on the person if the effect does not relate to a matter for which a rule or a national environmental standard reserves control or restricts discretion; and
- c) The consent authority **must** have regard to every relevant statutory acknowledgement specified in [Schedule 11](#).

4.3.1 [ii] Persons who have provided written approval (s95E(3))

The persons identified in Section 3.3.1 above have provided their **written approval** and as such adverse effects on these parties are disregarded for the purpose of s95E(3).

4.3.2 Assessment: Effects on Persons

Taking into account the exclusions in sections 95E(2) and (3) as set out in section 4.3.1 above, the following outlines an assessment as to whether the activity will have or is likely to have adverse effects on persons that are minor or more than minor:

Surrounding land owners:

The properties accessed from Peninsula Road overlook the proposed mooring location, although they are separated from the Lake by the foreshore reserve, as can be seen in Figure 1 above.

As outlined in Section 3.3.3 above, mooring M54 forms an anticipated part of the Frankton Arm. The proposed new location for this mooring remains within a cluster of existing moorings and adjacent to nearby features such as a jetties. Further, the proposed mooring is a small element in the context of the surrounding landscape.

Overall, adverse effects associated with landscape and amenity values on owners and occupiers of surrounding properties will be less than minor.

Adjacent mooring permit holders:

As noted earlier in this report under navigational safety (Section 3.3.3 above), the QLDC Harbourmaster has confirmed that there are no navigational safety hazards associated with the new location for M54, including a swing radius conflict, therefore adjacent permit holders are not considered to be adversely affected by the proposal.

4.3.3 Decision: Effects on Persons (s95E(1))

The persons considered affected pursuant to section 95E of the RMA and therefore to be served notice of the application are illustrated and tabled as follows:

Person (owner/occupier)	Address (location in respect of subject site)
Aukaha	N/A
Te Ao Marama Incorporated	N/A

4.4 Step 4 – Further Notification in Special Circumstances (s95B(10))

Special circumstances do not apply that require limited notification.

5. NOTIFICATION DETERMINATION

For the reasons set out in sections 3 and 4 of this notification decision report, under s95A and s95B of the RMA, the application is to be processed on a limited notified.

Prepared by

Decision made by



Rebecca Holden
CONSULTANT PROCESSING PLANNER



Jane Sinclair
INDEPENDENT COMMISSIONER

6.0 APPENDICES LIST

APPENDIX 1 – Applicant's AEE

APPENDIX 2 – Navigational Safety Report

APPENDIX 1 – APPLICANT’S AEE



**Littlebourne
Investments Limited**

Resource Consent Application

Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu

April 2026

Table of Contents

1.0 Application Details	3
2.0 Relevant District Plan Provisions	3
3.0 Description of Proposal	3
3.1 Proposal	3
3.2 Site Description	4
4.0 Assessment of Environmental Effects	5
4.1 Visibility and Amenity	5
4.2 Water and Navigational Safety	5
4.3 Recreational Effects	5
4.4 Cumulative Effects	5
4.5 Affected Parties and Consultation	5
5.0 Section 95 Notification	5
6.0 Objectives and Policies	6
7.0 Part 2 of the Resource Management Act	7

1.0 APPLICATION DETAILS

Applicant:	Littlebourne Investments Limited
Site Location:	Frankton Arm, Queenstown
Legal Description:	Bed and Surface of Lake Wakatipu
Computer Freehold Register:	N/A
Area:	N/A
Zone:	Rural (Wahi Tapuna overlay)

Resource Consent is sought to legalise an existing swing mooring in Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu.

The following assessment of environmental effects has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

2.0 RELEVANT DISTRICT PLAN REQUIREMENTS

Under the Queenstown Lakes District Council Proposed District Plan the subject site is zoned Rural and requires resource consent for the following reasons:

- A **restricted discretionary** resource consent pursuant to rule 21.15.7 for jetties and moorings in the Frankton Arm, identified as the area located to the east of the Outstanding Natural Landscape line as shown on the District Plan web mapping application.
- Discretion is restricted to:
 - whether they are dominant or obtrusive elements in the shore scape or lake view, particularly when viewed from any public place, including whether they are situated in natural bays and not headlands;
 - whether the structure causes an impediment to craft manoeuvring and using shore waters.
 - the degree to which the structure will diminish the recreational experience of people using public areas around the shoreline;
 - the effects associated with congestion and clutter around the shoreline. Including whether the structure contributes to an adverse cumulative effect;
 - whether the structure will be used by a number and range of people and craft, including the general public;
 - the degree to which the structure would be compatible with landscape and amenity values, including colour, materials, design; and
 - whether the structure enables the use of public water ferry services and/or water based transport.

Under the Otago Regional Council Regional Plan: Water, resource consent is required for the following reasons:

- A **discretionary** resource consent pursuant to rule 13.2.3.1 which relates to the placement of a structure on the lake bed.

The Otago Regional Council has delegated its responsibilities under Section 13(1)(a) of the RMA to the Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC).

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

3.1 Proposal

Resource Consent is sought to legalise an existing swing mooring in Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu.

The mooring (M54) is located at the coordinates (Decimal Degrees) as follows (see Figure 1 below):

LAT:45.044759 S

LON: 168.685578 E

From Council records it appears that M54 is also known as WAK582.

A mooring inspection report has been requested from Mr Garry Wright and will be forwarded as soon as it is available.

The mooring will not be used for commercial purposes.

3.2 Site Description

The site is located within a cluster of moorings near the intersection of Peninsula Road and Poplar Drive within the Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu as can be seen below in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Aerial image of the subject site (yellow pin).



4.0 ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

4.1 Visibility and Amenity

It is considered that the existing mooring is in keeping with other moorings within the general vicinity. The mooring is located within a cluster of other moorings and is therefore appropriately located from a visual perspective as it reads as part of the surrounding environment.

The mooring has existed for many years and therefore has been a part of the landscape and a generally accepted view within the lake.

4.2 Water and Navigational Safety

M54 is in an area that does not conflict with other current moorings or present navigational hazards. It is located near to, but far enough away from other moorings. The mooring will not interfere with water-based traffic as it is located in a low traffic area.

4.3 Recreational Effects

The mooring has existed in this location for many years. The mooring is located within a cluster of other mooring and is in a reasonably high recreational use area. The mooring is located far enough offshore to not interfere with swimmers but still close enough to shore to not disrupt watercraft.

4.4 Cumulative Effects

There are a number of moorings located around M54. Most of these have existed for many years and therefore there is no increase in terms of cumulative effects.

4.5 Affected Parties and Consultation

Maritime NZ have indicated that they would like to be approached for comment by Council. It is therefore requested that the Council Planner seek the approval on the applicant's behalf.

The lake is identified as being Wahi Tupuna. Aukaha and Te Ao Marama have not been approached for approval/comment due to a total lack of response from other similar applications. It is accepted that limited notification to the Iwi may be required.

With regards to Fish and Game, comment has not been sought. The mooring is existing and therefore there is no disturbance to the lakebed or further effects on the passage of fish. It is therefore considered that Fish and Game are not affected by the application.

LINZ have been approached for their approval.

QLDC have advised that the planning team will liaise with Cougar Security – QLDC Harbour Master/QLDC property team as necessary therefore approval has not been sought.

No other parties are considered to be adversely affected by this application.

5.0 SECTION 95 NOTIFICATION

A consent authority must publicly notify an application if it concludes that under s95D of the Resource Management Act 1991 that the proposed activity will have or is likely to have adverse

effects on the environment that are more than minor. Additionally, Section 95B(1) requires a decision to be made as to whether any persons are considered to be adversely affected (s95E) in relation to the activity. The proposed activity as outlined above, is not likely to have adverse effects on the environment that are more than minor and no persons are considered to be adversely affected.

The applicant has not requested public notification (s95A(2)(b)), no rule or national environmental standard requires public notification of the application (s95A(2)(c)) and there are no special circumstances that exist in relation to the application that would require public notification (s95A(4)).

The application should therefore proceed on a non-notified basis.

6.0 OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Proposed District Plan

Rural

21.2.11 Objective - The natural character of lakes and rivers and their margins is protected, maintained or enhanced, while providing for appropriate activities on the surface of lakes and rivers, including recreation, commercial recreation and public transport.

Policies

21.2.11.1 Have regard to statutory obligations, wāhi Tūpuna and the spiritual beliefs, and cultural traditions of tangata whenua where activities are undertaken on the surface of lakes and rivers and their margins.

21.2.11.2 Enable people to have access to a wide range of recreational experiences on the lakes and rivers, based on the identified characteristics and environmental limits of the various parts of each lake and river.

21.2.11.3 Avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of frequent, large-scale or intrusive commercial activities such as those with high levels of noise, vibration, speed and wash, in particular motorised craft, in areas of high passive recreational use, significant nature conservation values and wildlife habitat.

21.2.11.5 Protect, maintain or enhance the natural character and nature conservation values of lakes, rivers and their margins from inappropriate activities with particular regard to nesting and spawning areas, the intrinsic value of ecosystem services and areas of indigenous fauna habitat and recreational values.

21.2.11.6 Recognise and provide for the maintenance and enhancement of public access to and enjoyment of the margins of the lakes and rivers.

21.2.11.7 Ensure that the location, design and use of structures and facilities are such that any adverse effects on visual qualities, safety and conflicts with recreational and other activities on the lakes and rivers are avoided, remedied or mitigated.

21.2.11.8 Encourage development and use of water based public ferry systems including necessary infrastructure and marinas, in a way that avoids adverse effects on the environment as far as possible, or where avoidance is not practicable, remedies and mitigates such adverse effects.

21.2.11.9 Take into account the potential adverse effects on nature conservation values from the boat wake of commercial boating activities, having specific regard to the intensity and nature of commercial jet boat activities and the potential for turbidity and erosion.

21.2.12.10 Ensure that the nature, scale and number of commercial boating operators and/or commercial boats on waterbodies do not exceed levels such that the safety of passengers and other users of the water body cannot be assured.

Aukaha and Te Ao Marama have not been approached for their approval on behalf of the Iwi as in similar applications they have not provided any response even after a substantial fee has been paid for the service.

The mooring is going to continue to be used for recreational purposes which meets the above policies. The mooring has adequate manoeuvring space around it for the safe passage of boats.

As the mooring has been existing for a number of years, it is already part of the visual landscape. There are other moorings of a similar nature in the surrounding area and so is suitably integrated into the environment.

7.0 PART 2 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

The proposal is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, being the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, whilst also protecting the life supporting capacity of ecosystems, and avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the environment.

APPENDIX 2 – NAVIGATIONAL SAFETY REPORT FOR M54

NAVIGATIONAL SAFETY COMMENTS – RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION

TO: Rebecca Holden

FROM: Ricky Campbell, QLDC Harbourmaster

DATE: 21 July 2026

APPLICATION DETAILS	
REFERENCE	RM260456
APPLICANT	Littlebourne Investments Limited
APPLICATION TYPE & DESCRIPTION	Applicant to lawfully establish an existing swing mooring (M54) on the southern shoreline of the Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu
ADDRESS	Bed & Surface of Lake Wakatipu within the Frankton Arm, to the north of 659 Peninsula Road
ZONE	Rural (PDP) RURAL General (ODP)
SITE ADDRESS	N/A
ACTIVITY STATUS	Restricted Discretionary
VALUATION NUMBER	N/A

REQUEST DETAILS	
FROM (PROCESSING PLANNER)	Rebecca Holden
DATE OF REQUEST	17.05.2026
WORKING DAYS AT TIME OF REQUEST	0
FINAL DATE FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REQUESTS	25.05.2026
DATE REPORT REQUIRED BY:	ASAP
INDICATION OF NOTIFICATION	Limited Notification
TYPE OF COMMENT REQUIRED	Memo
REQUESTED AREAS OF COMMENT	Navigational safety matters including: a) Any navigational safety concerns (e.g. swing radius clash, depth of lake) b) Whether the mooring design is fit for purpose and structurally sound.


MOORING LOCATION DIAGRAM – M54

(-45° 02' 41.29 S 168° 41' 07.63 E) (-45.044802 S 168.685452 E)



Updated position coordinates:

(-45° 02' 40.06 S 168° 41' 07.37 E) (-45.044461 S 168.685380 E)



1.0 OVERVIEW

Brief Description of Proposal	Mr Skerten has made a Resource Consent application in respect of their existing mooring (M54) located within the Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu. The purpose of this resource Consent application is to legalise an existing mooring located at the above location. Mr Skerten has had Garry Wright from Wright Building and Dive Services complete a subsurface mooring inspection survey on the identified mooring M54, Garry Wright is an experienced diver and based on this I accept his report as being accurate and complete.
History	RM:260456
Waterbodies	Location: Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu.

2.0 SAFETY MANAGEMENT

Safety Management comments	A Resource Consent application in regard to the mooring M54 was filed with the Queenstown Lakes District Council on 17.05.2026. As part of this process, the applicant submits a mooring survey document from a recent mooring inspection carried out on the mooring to show it is fit for purpose and meets the requirements under the Navigational Safety Bylaw 2025, after having the applicant supplied mooring survey document forwarded to myself for a desktop review and comment. Mooring Swing Radius Using the coordinates supplied by Garry Wright within the mooring survey document, I note that there is a swing radius conflict with surrounding mooring M53, when considering the swing radius made up of mooring swing radius of 7.0 metres and a vessel length of up to 9.0 metres totalling 16.0 metres. Applicant mooring relocation The applicant has agreed to relocate their mooring further out into Lake Wakatipu to avoid conflict with surrounding moorings, taking surrounding mooring positions into consideration I would recommend the above position for the mooring M54 to be re-established, this location and lakebed suitability will need to be confirmed suitable by a diver before relocation can take place. Mooring Block Noting I also note that the mooring block is shown as 3x concrete tubes with a combined weight of 300kg. This mooring will need to be upgraded to meet the requirements of the QLD Mooring guidelines. Due to the conflict with the above surrounding moorings, I am unable to support this application at this time until the conflict has been addressed, or a plan put forward to show there would no longer be a conflict present.
Safety Operation Plan (SOP) comments	Nil Required.
Maritime comment obtained?	No Comment Required from Maritime NZ

3.0 LEGISLATION

- Maritime Transport Act 1994
- Navigation Safety Bylaw 2025

4.0 RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS/ADVICE NOTES

Please see notes above.

5.0 FINAL COMMENT

Prepared by: Ricky Campbell
Harbourmaster
Queenstown Lakes District Council

Kind Regards

Ricky

Ricky Campbell

District Harbourmaster
Queenstown Lakes District Council
DDI: 0800 2262851 (24/7)
Mob: 021 2262851 (24/7)
PO Box 6 Queenstown 9300,
New Zealand
E mail: ricky@cougargroup.co.nz

TechnologyOne ECM Document Summary

Printed On 30-Jul-2026

Class	Description	Doc Set Id / Note Id	Version	Date
PUB_ACC	Application Form (Form 9)	9530049	1	21-Apr-2026
PUB_ACC	Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE)	9530048	1	21-Apr-2026
PUB_ACC	Mooring Permit 54 2025 - 2026	9530047	1	21-Apr-2026
PUB_ACC	Mooring Inspection Report M54, 28.4.26	9601510	1	17-May-2026
PUB_ACC	LINZ APA	9601511	1	17-May-2026



APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT FOR A WATER-BASED ACTIVITY



Under Section 88 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (Form 9)

PLEASE COMPLETE ALL MANDATORY FIELDS* OF THIS FORM.

This form provides contact information and details of your application. If your form does not provide the required information it will be returned to you to complete. Until we receive a completed form and payment of the initial fee, your application will not be accepted for processing.

APPLICANT //

- Must be a person or legal entity (limited liability company or trust).
- Full names of all trustees required.
- The applicant name(s) will be the consent holder(s) responsible for the consent and any associated costs.

*Applicant's Full Name / Company / Trust: **Littlebourne Investments Limited**
(Name Decision is to be issued in)

*All trustee names (if applicable): **Brian and Rhonda Skerten**

Contact Name if Company or Trust:

*Postal Address: **659 Peninsula Rd., Kelvin Grove, Queestown**

*Contact details supplied must be for the applicant and not for an agent acting on their behalf and must include a valid postal address

*Post code:

9300

*Email Address: **skertenrealestate@xtra.co.nz**

*Phone Numbers: Day **0212505622**

Mobile:

The Applicant is:

☐

Owner

☐

Lessee

☐

Occupier

☐

Other - Please Specify



Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone.
The decision will be sent to the Correspondence Details via email unless requested otherwise.



CORRESPONDENCE DETAILS // If different than above – E.g. consultant or agent

Name & Company: **Kristy Jennings, Central Resource Management Limited**

Phone Numbers: Day **021443139**

Mobile:

Email Address: **kristy@centralrm.co.nz**

INVOICING DETAILS //

Invoices will be made out to the applicant but can be sent to another party if paying on the applicant's behalf.
For more information regarding payment please refer to the Fees Information section of this form.

*Please select a preference for who should receive any invoices and how they would like to receive them.

Applicant:

☒

Agent:

☐

Other, please specify:

Email:

☒

Post:

☐

*Attention: **Brian Skelton**

*Postal Address: **659 Peninsula Rd., Kelvin Grove, Queestown**

*Please provide an email AND full postal address.

*Post code:

9300

*Email: **skertenrealestate@xtra.co.nz**



DEVELOPMENT CONTRIBUTIONS INVOICING DETAILS //

If it is assessed that your consent requires development contributions any invoices and correspondence relating to these will be sent via email. Invoices will be sent to the email address provided above unless an alternative address is provided below. Invoices will be made out to the applicant/owner but can be sent to another party if paying on the applicant's behalf. For more information please see appendix 2 at the end of this form.

Please select a preference for who should receive any invoices.

Details are the same as above ☐

Applicant: ☐

Other, please specify: ☐

*Attention:

*Email:

[Click here for further information and our estimate request form](#)



DETAILS OF SITE

Address / Location to which this application relates: Provide the name of the waterbody (i.e. lake or river) where the proposed works/activity will take place. Include proximity to any well-known landmark and land address for any associated land based activity/ landing points:

The site is located within a cluster of moorings near the intersection of Peninsula Road and Poplar Drive within the Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu.

GPS LOCATION OF PROPOSED WORKS //

(Note: this must be supplied for all mooring and jetty applications, and any other waterbased activity that does not have an associated land parcel attached to the operation)

GPS co-ordinates: Note: you must specify which GPS co-ordinate system* was used to identify the GPS location - Decimal Degrees (DD) or Degrees Minutes Seconds (DMS) (*The Harbourmaster prefers the Decimal Degrees (DD) co-ordinate systems, but either can be used)

LAT:45.044759 S LON: 168.685578 E

For any land based areas:

Legal Description:

Owners/Occupiers:

District Plan Zone:

Permit Number: If you have a current permit for your activity from QLDC (i.e. an existing mooring permit), specify your permit number and the name of the person / entity that the permit is issued to

Other Users: Identify other occupiers/users in particular consent holders, of the relevant waterbody

Boaties, swimmers, kayakers, moorings



SITE VISIT REQUIREMENTS// Should a Council officer need to undertake a site visit please answer the questions below

Is there a gate or security system restricting access by council?

YES ☐ NO ☒

Is there a dog on the property?

YES ☐ NO ☒

Are there any other hazards or entry restrictions that council staff need to be aware of?

If 'yes' please provide information below

YES ☐ NO ☒

Waterbased hazards



PRE-APPLICATION MEETING

Have you had a pre-application meeting with QLDC regarding this proposal?



Yes



No



Copy of minutes attached

If 'yes', provide the reference number:



CONSENT(S) APPLIED FOR

35



Land use consent to establish and operate a water based activity comprising:

Legalise an existing mooring



Erect or place a new structure



Alter / extend an existing structure



Replace / demolish an existing structure



Transfer of consent(s):

(Please provide a letter from both the current & new consent holders authorizing this request)



BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL

Consent is sought to undertake a water-based activity on **Lake Wakatipu**

(Lake / River)

The activity will operate **24/7**

(dates / duration)

to provide for

(number persons)

Brief description of activity:

To legalise an existing swing mooring in Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu.

Further Description to be provided in an assessment attached. See below.



OTHER CONSENTS

Are any additional consent(s) required that have been applied for separately?

- ☒ Otago Regional Council — Use of bed of lake or river (note if has/has not been applied for):



Yes



No



N/A

- ☒ Are you seeking consent from both QLDC and ORC in this one application (i.e. for a jetty or mooring):



Yes



No



N/A



APPLICATION NOTIFICATION

Are you requesting public notification for the application?



Yes



No

Please note there is an additional fee payable for notification. Please refer to Fees schedule



INFORMATION REQUIRED TO BE SUBMITTED //

Attach to this form any information required (see below & appendix 1).

To be accepted for processing, your application must include the following information where relevant to your proposed activity:



A site plan or map showing the locality and extent of the activity and the proximity of any nearby activities (e.g. other moorings and the extent of their swing in relation to your proposed mooring)



Demonstrate compliance with the most up to date QLDC Swing Moorings Booklet (if relevant)



Details of any associated land based buildings or structures, parking areas.
Details of any signage & locations.



A Safety Management Plan



Noise report (if relevant)



Written approval of every person who may be adversely affected by granting of consent (s95E)

Consultation required with:
Aukaha
Te Ao Marama INC
Fish & Game New Zealand

Consultation required where relevant:
Guardians of Lake Wanaka
Guardians of Lake Hawea
Department of Conservation
Land Information New Zealand



An Assessment of Effects (AEE).

An AEE is a written document outlining how the potential effects of the activity have been considered: safety, noise, traffic and parking, signage and impact on the waterbody including other users. Address the relevant provisions of the District Plan and affected parties including who has or has not provided written approval. See [Appendix 1](#) for more detail.



We prefer to receive applications electronically – see Appendix 3 [Naming of Documents Guide](#)

Please ensure documents are scanned at a minimum resolution of 300 dpi.

Each document should be no greater than 10mb



The information that you have provided on this form is public information and is gathered for a lawful purpose to ensure the efficient functioning of Council's duties, powers and functions under the Resource Management Act 1991 and the Building Act 2004. The information will enable Council to adequately assess your application for Resource Consent in accordance with the statutory processes under the Resource Management Act 1991. The information may also be collected for and disclosed to, the Ministry for the Environment and Queenstown Lakes District Council, for the purpose of statistical analysis, so that the Agencies can efficiently undertake their statutory duties. The information will be stored on a public register (Council's eDocs website) and is available to the public in accordance with the terms and conditions set out on the eDocs website.

While available to the public through the eDocs portal, any disclosure of the information on the website must be in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and must not be used for a purpose other than for the reason it was collected. Members of the public should not share or distribute this information for any purpose that is not a lawful purpose set out under relevant legislation.

Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or distribution of this information by third parties may constitute a breach of the Privacy Principles set out under the Privacy Act 2020 and may be reported to the Privacy Commissioner which could result in legal sanctions.



FEES INFORMATION

Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991 deals with administrative charges and allows a local authority to levy charges that relate to, but are not limited to, carrying out its functions in relation to receiving, processing of applications under this Act.

An invoice for an initial fee will be sent out typically within 1-2 business days of receipt of correctly completed application. Your application will not be processed until this invoice is paid. [When making payment please use the application reference.](#)

[Incorrectly referenced payments will be refunded directly to your bank account and you will be required to resubmit payment using the correct application reference.](#)

If the initial fee charged is insufficient to cover the actual and reasonable costs of work undertaken on the application you will be required to pay any additional amounts. These will be invoiced monthly and are payable by the 20th of the month.

If your application is notified or requires a hearing you will be required to pay a notification deposit and/or a hearing deposit. An applicant may not offset any previous invoices issued against such deposits. If unpaid, the processing of an application, provision of a service, or performance of a function will be suspended until the sum is paid in full.

Section 357B of the Resource Management Act provides a right of objection in respect of additional charges. An objection must be submitted using the correct application form and required documents. This must be lodged within 15 working days of the receipt of the final invoice.

LIABILITY FOR PAYMENT – Please note that by signing and lodging this application form you are acknowledging that the details in the invoicing section are responsible for payment of invoices and in addition will be liable to pay all costs and expenses of debt recovery and/or legal costs incurred by QLDC related to the enforcement of any debt.

ADMINISTRATION FEE - The initial fee includes an administration lodgement fee for staff time spent setting up your application and generating your invoice.

MONITORING FEES – Please also note that the initial fee paid at lodgement includes an initial monitoring fee as per our Charges and Fees for Land Use Consent applications as once Resource Consent is approved you will be required to meet the costs of monitoring any conditions applying to the consent, pursuant to Section 35 of the Resource Management Act 1991. This initial monitoring fee also applies to designation related applications. For all application types the monitoring team may still charge an hourly rate if monitoring is deemed required.

DEVELOPMENT CONTRIBUTIONS – Your development may also incur development contributions under the Local Government Act 2002. You will be liable for payment of any such contributions.

A list of Charges and Fees is available on our website.



PAYMENT // An initial fee is payable upon receiving the initial fee invoice following the lodgment of this application.

37

Please wait for the initial fee invoice to be issued and and [use the application reference on the invoice for your payment.](#)

This fee **MUST** be paid with the correct application reference in order for the processing to begin.

Incorrectly referenced payments will be refunded directly to your bank account and you will be required to resubmit payment using the correct application reference.

Amount to Pay

\$1544 - Land Use Other applications

APPLICATION & DECLARATION

The Council relies on the information contained in this application being complete and accurate. The Applicant must take all reasonable steps to ensure that it is complete and accurate and accepts responsibility for information in this application being so.



If lodging this application as **the Applicant:**

I/we hereby represent and warrant that I am/we are aware of all of my/our obligations arising under this application including, in particular but without limitation, my/our obligation to pay all fees and administrative charges (including debt recovery and legal expenses) payable under this application as referred to within the Fees Information section.

OR:



If lodging this application as **agent of the Applicant:**

I/we hereby represent and warrant that I am/we are authorised to act as agent of the Applicant in respect of the completion and lodging of this application and that the Applicant/ Agent whose details are in the invoicing section is aware of all of his/her/its obligations arising under this application including, in particular but without limitation, his/her/its obligation to pay all fees and administrative charges (including debt recovery and legal expenses) payable under this application as referred to within the Fees Information section.



PLEASE TICK

I hereby apply for the resource consent(s) for the Proposal described above and I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information given in this application is complete and accurate.

Signed (by or as authorised agent of the Applicant) ** **Kristy Jennings**

Digitally signed by Kristy Jennings
Date: 2026.04.21 07:28:21 +12'00'

Full name of person lodging this form **Kristy Jennings**

Firm/Company **Central Resource Management Limited**

Dated **4/21/26**

**If this form is being completed on-line you will not be able, or required, to sign this form and the on-line lodgement will be treated as confirmation of your acknowledgement and acceptance of the above responsibilities and liabilities and that you have made the above representations, warranties and certification.

Section 2 of the District Plan provides additional information on the information that should be submitted with a land use or subdivision consent.

The RMA (Fourth Schedule to the Act) requires the following:

1 INFORMATION MUST BE SPECIFIED IN SUFFICIENT DETAIL

- Any information required by this schedule, including an assessment under clause 2(1)(f) or (g), must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required.

2 INFORMATION REQUIRED IN ALL APPLICATIONS

- (1) An application for a resource consent for an activity (the activity) must include the following:

- (a) a description of the activity;
- (b) a description of the site at which the activity is to occur;
- (c) the full name and address of each owner or occupier of the site;
- (d) a description of any other activities that are part of the proposal to which the application relates;
- (e) a description of any other resource consents required for the proposal to which the application relates;

Information provided within the Form above

- (f) an assessment of the activity against the matters set out in Part 2;
- (g) an assessment of the activity against any relevant provisions of a document referred to in section 104(1)(b).

- (2) The assessment under subclause (1)(g) must include an assessment of the activity against—

- (a) any relevant objectives, policies, or rules in a document; and
- (b) any relevant requirements, conditions, or permissions in any rules in a document; and
- (c) any other relevant requirements in a document (for example, in a national environmental standard or other regulations).

Include in an attached Assessment of Effects (see Clauses 6 & 7 below)

- (3) An application must also include an assessment of the activity's effects on the environment that—

- (a) includes the information required by clause 6; and
- (b) addresses the matters specified in clause 7; and
- (c) includes such detail as corresponds with the scale and significance of the effects that the activity may have on the environment.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED IN SOME APPLICATIONS

- An application must also include any of the following that apply:
 - (a) if any permitted activity is part of the proposal to which the application relates, a description of the permitted activity that demonstrates that it complies with the requirements, conditions, and permissions for the permitted activity (so that a resource consent is not required for that activity under section 87A(1));
 - (b) if the application is affected by section 124 or 165ZH(1)(c) (which relate to existing resource consents), an assessment of the value of the investment of the existing consent holder (for the purposes of section 104(2A));

Clause 6: Information required in assessment of environmental effects

- (1) An assessment of the activity's effects on the environment must include the following information:
 - (a) if it is likely that the activity will result in any significant adverse effect on the environment, a description of any possible alternative locations or methods for undertaking the activity;
 - (b) an assessment of the actual or potential effect on the environment of the activity;
 - (c) if the activity includes the use of hazardous substances and installations, an assessment of any risks to the environment that are likely to arise from such use;
 - (d) if the activity includes the discharge of any contaminant, a description of—
 - (i) the nature of the discharge and the sensitivity of the receiving environment to adverse effects; and
 - (ii) any possible alternative methods of discharge, including discharge into any other receiving environment;
 - (e) a description of the mitigation measures (including safeguards and contingency plans where relevant) to be undertaken to help prevent or reduce the actual or potential effect;
 - (f) identification of the persons affected by the activity, any consultation undertaken, and any response to the views of any person consulted;
 - (g) if the scale and significance of the activity's effects are such that monitoring is required, a description of how and by whom the effects will be monitored if the activity is approved;
 - (h) if the activity will, or is likely to, have adverse effects that are more than minor on the exercise of a protected customary right, a description of possible alternative locations or methods for the exercise of the activity (unless written approval for the activity is given by the protected customary rights group).
- (2) A requirement to include information in the assessment of environmental effects is subject to the provisions of any policy statement or plan.
- (3) To avoid doubt, subclause (1)(f) obliges an applicant to report as to the persons identified as being affected by the proposal, but does not—
 - (a) oblige the applicant to consult any person; or
 - (b) create any ground for expecting that the applicant will consult any person.

CLAUSE 7: MATTERS THAT MUST BE ADDRESSED BY ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

- (1) An assessment of the activity's effects on the environment must address the following matters:
 - (a) any effect on those in the neighbourhood and, where relevant, the wider community, including any social, economic, or cultural effects;
 - (b) any physical effect on the locality, including any landscape and visual effects;
 - (c) any effect on ecosystems, including effects on plants or animals and any physical disturbance of habitats in the vicinity;
 - (d) any effect on natural and physical resources having aesthetic, recreational, scientific, historical, spiritual, or cultural value, or other special value, for present or future generations;
 - (e) any discharge of contaminants into the environment, including any unreasonable emission of noise, and options for the treatment and disposal of contaminants;
 - (f) any risk to the neighbourhood, the wider community, or the environment through natural hazards or the use of hazardous substances or hazardous installations.
- (2) The requirement to address a matter in the assessment of environmental effects is subject to the provisions of any policy statement or plan.

Will your resource consent result in a Development Contribution and what is it?

- A Development Contribution can be triggered by the granting of a resource consent and is a financial charge levied on new developments. It is assessed and collected under the Local Government Act 2002. It is intended to ensure that any party, who creates additional demand on Council infrastructure, contributes to the extra cost that they impose on the community. These contributions are related to the provision of the following council services:
 - Water supply
 - Wastewater supply
 - Stormwater supply
 - Reserves, Reserve Improvements and Community Facilities
 - Transportation (also known as Rooding)

[Click here for more information on development contributions and their charges.](#)

OR Submit an Estimate request *please note administration charges will apply



While it is not essential that your documents are named the following, it would be helpful if you could title your documents for us. You may have documents that do not fit these names; therefore below is a guide of some of the documents we receive for resource consents. Please use a generic name indicating the type of document.

Application Form

Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE)

Affected Party Approval/s

Safety Management Plan

Traffic Report



**Littlebourne
Investments Limited**

Resource Consent Application

Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu

April 2026

Table of Contents

1.0 Application Details	3
2.0 Relevant District Plan Provisions	3
3.0 Description of Proposal	3
3.1 Proposal	3
3.2 Site Description	4
4.0 Assessment of Environmental Effects	5
4.1 Visibility and Amenity	5
4.2 Water and Navigational Safety	5
4.3 Recreational Effects	5
4.4 Cumulative Effects	5
4.5 Affected Parties and Consultation	5
5.0 Section 95 Notification	5
6.0 Objectives and Policies	6
7.0 Part 2 of the Resource Management Act	7

1.0 APPLICATION DETAILS

Applicant:	Littlebourne Investments Limited
Site Location:	Frankton Arm, Queenstown
Legal Description:	Bed and Surface of Lake Wakatipu
Computer Freehold Register:	N/A
Area:	N/A
Zone:	Rural (Wahi Tapuna overlay)

Resource Consent is sought to legalise an existing swing mooring in Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu.

The following assessment of environmental effects has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

2.0 RELEVANT DISTRICT PLAN REQUIREMENTS

Under the Queenstown Lakes District Council Proposed District Plan the subject site is zoned Rural and requires resource consent for the following reasons:

- A **restricted discretionary** resource consent pursuant to rule 21.15.7 for jetties and moorings in the Frankton Arm, identified as the area located to the east of the Outstanding Natural Landscape line as shown on the District Plan web mapping application.
- Discretion is restricted to:
 - whether they are dominant or obtrusive elements in the shore scape or lake view, particularly when viewed from any public place, including whether they are situated in natural bays and not headlands;
 - whether the structure causes an impediment to craft manoeuvring and using shore waters.
 - the degree to which the structure will diminish the recreational experience of people using public areas around the shoreline;
 - the effects associated with congestion and clutter around the shoreline. Including whether the structure contributes to an adverse cumulative effect;
 - whether the structure will be used by a number and range of people and craft, including the general public;
 - the degree to which the structure would be compatible with landscape and amenity values, including colour, materials, design; and
 - whether the structure enables the use of public water ferry services and/or water based transport.

Under the Otago Regional Council Regional Plan: Water, resource consent is required for the following reasons:

- A **discretionary** resource consent pursuant to rule 13.2.3.1 which relates to the placement of a structure on the lake bed.

The Otago Regional Council has delegated its responsibilities under Section 13(1)(a) of the RMA to the Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC).

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

3.1 Proposal

Resource Consent is sought to legalise an existing swing mooring in Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu.

The mooring (M54) is located at the coordinates (Decimal Degrees) as follows (see Figure 1 below):

LAT:45.044759 S

LON: 168.685578 E

From Council records it appears that M54 is also known as WAK582.

A mooring inspection report has been requested from Mr Garry Wright and will be forwarded as soon as it is available.

The mooring will not be used for commercial purposes.

3.2 Site Description

The site is located within a cluster of moorings near the intersection of Peninsula Road and Poplar Drive within the Frankton Arm, Lake Wakatipu as can be seen below in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Aerial image of the subject site (yellow pin).



4.0 ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

4.1 Visibility and Amenity

It is considered that the existing mooring is in keeping with other moorings within the general vicinity. The mooring is located within a cluster of other moorings and is therefore appropriately located from a visual perspective as it reads as part of the surrounding environment.

The mooring has existed for many years and therefore has been a part of the landscape and a generally accepted view within the lake.

4.2 Water and Navigational Safety

M54 is in an area that does not conflict with other current moorings or present navigational hazards. It is located near to, but far enough away from other moorings. The mooring will not interfere with water-based traffic as it is located in a low traffic area.

4.3 Recreational Effects

The mooring has existed in this location for many years. The mooring is located within a cluster of other mooring and is in a reasonably high recreational use area. The mooring is located far enough offshore to not interfere with swimmers but still close enough to shore to not disrupt watercraft.

4.4 Cumulative Effects

There are a number of moorings located around M54. Most of these have existed for many years and therefore there is no increase in terms of cumulative effects.

4.5 Affected Parties and Consultation

Maritime NZ have indicated that they would like to be approached for comment by Council. It is therefore requested that the Council Planner seek the approval on the applicant's behalf.

The lake is identified as being Wahi Tupuna. Aukaha and Te Ao Marama have not been approached for approval/comment due to a total lack of response from other similar applications. It is accepted that limited notification to the Iwi may be required.

With regards to Fish and Game, comment has not been sought. The mooring is existing and therefore there is no disturbance to the lakebed or further effects on the passage of fish. It is therefore considered that Fish and Game are not affected by the application.

LINZ have been approached for their approval.

QLDC have advised that the planning team will liaise with Cougar Security – QLDC Harbour Master/QLDC property team as necessary therefore approval has not been sought.

No other parties are considered to be adversely affected by this application.

5.0 SECTION 95 NOTIFICATION

A consent authority must publicly notify an application if it concludes that under s95D of the Resource Management Act 1991 that the proposed activity will have or is likely to have adverse

effects on the environment that are more than minor. Additionally, Section 95B(1) requires a decision to be made as to whether any persons are considered to be adversely affected (s95E) in relation to the activity. The proposed activity as outlined above, is not likely to have adverse effects on the environment that are more than minor and no persons are considered to be adversely affected.

The applicant has not requested public notification (s95A(2)(b)), no rule or national environmental standard requires public notification of the application (s95A(2)(c)) and there are no special circumstances that exist in relation to the application that would require public notification (s95A(4)).

The application should therefore proceed on a non-notified basis.

6.0 OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Proposed District Plan

Rural

21.2.11 Objective - The natural character of lakes and rivers and their margins is protected, maintained or enhanced, while providing for appropriate activities on the surface of lakes and rivers, including recreation, commercial recreation and public transport.

Policies

21.2.11.1 Have regard to statutory obligations, wāhi Tūpuna and the spiritual beliefs, and cultural traditions of tangata whenua where activities are undertaken on the surface of lakes and rivers and their margins.

21.2.11.2 Enable people to have access to a wide range of recreational experiences on the lakes and rivers, based on the identified characteristics and environmental limits of the various parts of each lake and river.

21.2.11.3 Avoid or mitigate the adverse effects of frequent, large-scale or intrusive commercial activities such as those with high levels of noise, vibration, speed and wash, in particular motorised craft, in areas of high passive recreational use, significant nature conservation values and wildlife habitat.

21.2.11.5 Protect, maintain or enhance the natural character and nature conservation values of lakes, rivers and their margins from inappropriate activities with particular regard to nesting and spawning areas, the intrinsic value of ecosystem services and areas of indigenous fauna habitat and recreational values.

21.2.11.6 Recognise and provide for the maintenance and enhancement of public access to and enjoyment of the margins of the lakes and rivers.

21.2.11.7 Ensure that the location, design and use of structures and facilities are such that any adverse effects on visual qualities, safety and conflicts with recreational and other activities on the lakes and rivers are avoided, remedied or mitigated.

21.2.11.8 Encourage development and use of water based public ferry systems including necessary infrastructure and marinas, in a way that avoids adverse effects on the environment as far as possible, or where avoidance is not practicable, remedies and mitigates such adverse effects.

21.2.11.9 Take into account the potential adverse effects on nature conservation values from the boat wake of commercial boating activities, having specific regard to the intensity and nature of commercial jet boat activities and the potential for turbidity and erosion.

21.2.12.10 Ensure that the nature, scale and number of commercial boating operators and/or commercial boats on waterbodies do not exceed levels such that the safety of passengers and other users of the water body cannot be assured.

Aukaha and Te Ao Marama have not been approached for their approval on behalf of the Iwi as in similar applications they have not provided any response even after a substantial fee has been paid for the service.

The mooring is going to continue to be used for recreational purposes which meets the above policies. The mooring has adequate manoeuvring space around it for the safe passage of boats.

As the mooring has been existing for a number of years, it is already part of the visual landscape. There are other moorings of a similar nature in the surrounding area and so is suitably integrated into the environment.

7.0 PART 2 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

The proposal is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, being the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, whilst also protecting the life supporting capacity of ecosystems, and avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the environment.



MOORING PERMIT

Issued under the Navigation Safety Bylaw 2025

Mooring Number: 54

Description of mooring: Swing Mooring

Name to whom permit is granted: BP & RM SkertenFamilyTrust

Waterway: Lake Wakatipu

Position of mooring: Longitude 168.685578

Status: Unconsented

Latitude -45.044759

Date of issue: 01 July 2025

Expiry of permit: 30 June 2026

Signed for and on behalf of Queenstown Lakes District Council:

Duty of Permittee:

Please be advised that if at any time during the term of this permit, the mooring to which this permit refers to is sold or transferred, please contact Council: property@qldc.govt.nz or Queenstown: 03 441 0499 or Wanaka: 03 443 0024

Conditions of permit

The terms and conditions on which this mooring permit is granted include, but are not limited to the following:

- (1) The mooring must remain in the "position of mooring" described within the permit;
 - a. the design, specifications and maintenance of the mooring must comply with any guidelines issued by the Council;
 - b. the permit holder must mark the location of the mooring with a buoy or float that displays the permit number;
- (2) The permit holder must pay to the Council all permit renewal costs as specified in the Navigation Safety Bylaw 2025.

Maintenance and construction requirements

- (1) The owner of a swing mooring or a pile mooring must maintain his or her mooring in a proper state of condition and repair and must comply with any guidelines adopted by Council.
- (2) A mooring owner may carry out maintenance after removing the mooring from the water, provided the inspection fee has been paid and arrangements are made by the mooring owner for inspection of the mooring by suitably qualified person and the payment of any permit fee prior to the mooring being reinstated.
- (3) The Council or the Harbourmaster may require the mooring owner to remove the mooring in a specified time frame if:
 - a. the permit has been cancelled, or
 - b. where the mooring permit fee is unpaid for a period greater than 2 months from the due date,
 - c. the mooring does not comply with the Resource Management Act 1991.
- (4) All costs associated with the inspection, maintenance and replacement of moorings or mooring components must be borne by the mooring permit holder.

Liability of the Council

- (1) Permit holders shall take all care to ensure that the mooring is used in a lawful manner and use moorings at their own risk.
 - a. The Harbourmaster and Council are not liable for: Any damage to a craft whether the damage is caused by a third party, a natural disaster event, natural processes or by any other cause;
 - b. Any damage to a craft which has not been securely moored;
 - c. Any damage to a craft which results from any actions taken by the Harbourmaster to secure a craft, in the event of a storm or other adverse event;
 - d. Any actions or omissions of the Harbour master or any other officer of the Council in the performance of any duties, functions or powers in respect of this bylaw.

Transfer of permit

- (1) The permit may be transferred where application is made and is accompanied by the payment of the application and processing fees along with a completed transfer request form by post to Queenstown Lakes District Council, Private Bag 50072, Queenstown 9348 or by email to property@qldc.govt.nz

Surrender of permit

- (1) If no longer required, the permit may be surrendered by returning it to the Council together with confirmation that the mooring has been removed.

Swing mooring inspection & Information form

Please complete all details below, if an incomplete form is submitted this may be returned to you if any information shown below is missing or has been incorrectly entered, please take time to make sure all details are accurate and that all areas have been fully completed.

Please Print All Details Clearly

Owner / Contact Person Details – (Mooring Owner To Complete)

Mooring Location (Lake): Wakatipu – Kelvin Grove

Mooring Permit #: **54**

Mooring Owners Name: Littleourne Investments Ltd – Brian Skerten

Mooring Owners Address: 659 Peninsula Road, Kelvin Grove, Queenstown

Mooring Owners Postal Address (if different): a/a

Mooring Owners Phone No: 021 2505622

Mooring Owners Email Address:

Emergency contact Number: 027 3845594

Details of Primary Vessel Using Mooring – (owner To Complete)

Name of vessel using mooring: ?

Vessel MNZ Registration Number: n/a Vessel Identification: n/a

Vessel Type: Commercial Powered Craft ☐ Recreational Powered Craft ☒ Yacht ☐ Other ☐

If "Other" please outline type of vessel _____

Length of Vessel: 5.6m Weight of Vessel:

Vessel Colour(s): White

Does the vessel have a mooring number clearly visible from outside of the vessel?

Details of Mooring – (Mooring Inspector To Complete)

GPS Position of mooring: (WGS84 Decimal Degrees):

LAT: 45*02' 41.29S

LON: 168*41' 07.63E

IS THIS A CONFIRMED TRUE BLOCK LOCATION?: Yes

Inspection Date: 28/4/2026 Water Depth at location at time of inspection: 5m

Calculated total swing radius of mooring at lowest lake level: 7m

Lake level at time of inspection: 309.759m

What is the estimated life expectancy of the mooring prior to upgrades/replacements being needed? Concrete Block is permanent (approx. 50 years ?) Block attachment could last 25 years? Chains and components will have to be inspected every 2 years. See condition of parts at time of this inspection further on in report

Has been upgraded with this inspection: No

Was vessel on mooring at time of inspection: No

Checklist – (Mooring Inspector To Complete)

Note: If non-traditional mooring system is in use and this table is not fit for purpose,

	Checked Y/N	COMPONENT	DETAILS		Condition (% & notes)	Exist ing	Replaced
TOP SECTION	✓	Floats	Numbered: Yes	Type: Pink A4	OK	✓	
			Colour:	Type:			
	✓	Shackle(s)	Number: 1st Diameter: 20mm std	Moused: Y	Condition: Good	✓	
	✓	Chain	Length: 3m	Diameter: 13mm Min D: 13mm	Condition: Good	✓	
MIDDLE /RISER/ RIDE	✓	Shackle(s)	Number: 2nd Diameter: 13mm tested	Moused: Y	Condition: Good	✓	
	✓	Swivel	Diameter: 16mm	Steel	Condition: Good	✓	
	✓	Shackle(s)	Number: 3rd Diameter: 13mm tested	Moused: Y	Condition: Good	✓	
	✓	Riser/Ride/Middle chain	Length: 1m	D: 13mm Min D: 13mm	Condition: Good	✓	
	✓	Shackle(s)	Number: 4th Diameter: 13mm tested	Moused: Y	Condition: Good	✓	
BOTTOM	✓	Ground chain	Length: 5m	D: 16mm shortlink stuck in mud Min D: 12mm?	Condition: OK. Only inspected by feel	✓	
	✓	Block Shackle	Diameter: U/K	Moused: U/K	Condition: Buried in mud	✓	
	✓	Block Ring	Diameter: Bar 30mm x 10mm approx. cast into concrete	Appears to be this but only inspected by feel	Condition: U/K	✓	
	✓	Block(s)	Is block visible?: Yes	Weight (dry) est : 300kgs approx	OK -only for a small vessel up to 6m All 3 tubes are connected together	✓	
			Dimensions: 300mm dia x 400mm long x 3	Type: Concrete tubes			

details and observations can be provided on a separate page.

The second photo is components that are needed for a larger vessel



A white spherical buoy with the number 54 painted on it in black paint. The buoy is floating in dark green, rippling water. The number 54 is clearly visible and centered on the buoy's surface.

Declaration – (Mooring Inspector To Complete)

This is to certify that I have completed a visual inspection ONLY to the above mooring and that I deem it to be fit for purpose, and that the information supplied above is true and correct at the time of inspection.

Anything above the waterline is the owners responsibility to inspect, maintain and repair/replace.

Company Undertaking inspection -

Company undertaking Inspection: ___Wright Building and Diving Services Ltd_____

Company physical address: ___10 Elizabeth Place, Kelvin Heights, Queenstown_____

Company Postal Address: ___a/a_____

Name of person completing inspection: ___Garry Wright_____

Signature of person completing inspection:  _____

Date: ___28/4/2026_____.

Harbourmasters Document Review – (To Be Completed By Harbourmaster)

Document Reviewed on: (Inset Date): _____

Document Reviewed by: _____

Harbourmaster Comments:

Harbourmaster Signoff

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Part 2: To be used if this document is supporting a resource consent application.

Resource Consent Application considerations

Will the system be upgraded?

Will upgraded system differ from current system? (if yes, please detail, please include implications to swing radius)

Will block be replaced?

Will existing Block be removed?

Vessel Length:

Vessel length Note: In assessing the location of a swing mooring the Harbourmaster's Office undertakes an assessment that ensures moorings are spaced at a suitable distance apart to minimise any possible conflicts between moored vessels. Vessel length, mooring system design, and depth range information is used to ensure sufficient swing room is available and thus mitigate possible damage to vessels. Resource Consents will specify the vessel length allowable for the individual mooring. Due to the congested nature of some areas within our lakes, gaining or altering resource consent to accommodate larger vessels may not be viable. We all want vessels to be securely moored and remain un-damaged.



AFFECTED PERSON'S APPROVAL

FORM 8A



Resource Management Act 1991 Section 95



RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICANT'S NAME AND/OR RM

Littlebourne Investments Limited M54



AFFECTED PERSON'S DETAILS

I/We

Are the owners/occupiers of
Lake Wakatipu



DETAILS OF PROPOSAL

I/We hereby give written approval for the proposal to:

To legalise an existing swing mooring on Lake Wakatipu

at the following subject site(s):

Lake Wakatipu



PLEASE TICK

I/We understand that by signing this form Council, when considering this application, will not consider any effects of the proposal upon me/us.



PLEASE TICK

I/We understand that if the consent authority determines the activity is a deemed permitted boundary activity under section 87BA of the Act, written approval cannot be withdrawn if this process is followed instead.



WHAT INFORMATION/PLANS HAVE YOU SIGHTED



PLEASE TICK

I/We have sighted and initialled ALL plans dated and approve them.



The written consent of all owners / occupiers who are affected. If the site that is affected is jointly owned, the written consent of all co-owners (names detailed on the title for the site) are required.

A

Name (PRINT)

Linda Chandler

Contact Phone / Email address

crownproperty@linz.govt.nz

Signature

Date

30/04/2026

B

Name (PRINT)

Contact Phone / Email address

Signature

Date

C

Name (PRINT)

Contact Phone / Email address

Signature

Date

D

Name (PRINT)

Contact Phone / Email address

Signature

Date

Note to person signing written approval

Conditional written approvals cannot be accepted.

There is no obligation to sign this form, and no reasons need to be given.

If this form is not signed, the application may be notified with an opportunity for submissions.

If signing on behalf of a trust or company, please provide additional written evidence that you have signing authority.

