

In the Environment Court of New Zealand  
Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa  
Ōtautahi Rohe

**ENV-2018-CHC-000078**

---

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 ( <b>RMA</b> )
In the matter of	an appeal under Clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA in relation to the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan
Between	<b>Department of Conservation</b> Appellant
And	<b>Queenstown Lakes District Council</b> Respondent

---

**Notice of Treble Cone wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 RMA**

10 July 2018

---

**Section 274 party's solicitors:**

Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill  
Anderson Lloyd  
Level 2, 13 Camp Street, Queenstown 9300  
PO Box 201, Queenstown 9348  
DX Box ZP95010 Queenstown  
p + 64 3 450 0700 | f + 64 3 450 0799  
maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

**anderson  
lloyd.**

**To:** The Registrar  
Environment Court  
Christchurch

- 1 Treble Cone wish to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the RMA to the following proceedings:

*Department of Conservation v QLDC (ENV-2018-CHC-000078)* being an appeal against decisions of Queenstown Lakes District Council on the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan (**PDP**).

- 2 Treble Cone is a person who made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- 3 Treble Cone is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
- 4 Treble Cone is interested in all of the proceedings.
- 5 Without derogating from the generality of the above, Treble Cone is interested in the following particular issue:

**Chapter 33 Indigenous vegetation and biodiversity**

- (a) Policy 33.2.1.8 b iii

- (i) Treble Cone opposes the relief sought because the criteria for determining the significant of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna in the Council's decision are considered appropriate

- (b) Rules 33.3.3.2 and 33.3.3.3.

- (i) Treble Cone opposes the relief sought because the Council's decision to increase the vegetation coverage thresholds are considered appropriate.

- (c) The standards within Rule 33.5 Table 3

- (i) Treble Cone opposes the relief sought because amending these standards so that the clearance of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna in significant natural areas may only occur in exceptional circumstances, as a non-complying activity is considered too stringent and does not balance other Part 2 considerations.

(d) Table 2 of Rule 33.5. Deletion of the notified version of rules 33.5.3 and 33.5.6 (permitted activity standards for clearance of indigenous vegetation other than in Significant Natural Areas or Alpine Environments).

(i) Treble Cone opposes the relief sought because the decision version of the Rules within Rule 33.5 Table 2 is preferred for land outside of the SNAs as it appropriately distinguishes values.

6 Treble Cone agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 10<sup>th</sup> day of July 2018

*Maree Baker-Galloway*

---

Maree Baker-Galloway/Rosie Hill  
Counsel for the section 274 party

**Address for service of person wishing to be a party**

Anderson Lloyd

Level 2, 13 Camp Street

PO Box 201

Queenstown 9300

Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: maree.baker-galloway@al.nz | rosie.hill@al.nz

Contact persons: Maree Baker-Galloway | Rosie Hill

**Advice**

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Christchurch.