## In the Environment Court at Christchurch

## ENV-2018-CHC-073

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act

1991

And

In the Matter of an appeal under Clause 14(1),

Schedule 1 of the Act

Between SOUTHERN DISTRICT HEALTH

**BOARD** 

Appellant

And QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT

COUNCIL

Respondent

## Notice of **Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited's** wish to be Party to Proceedings

Dated: 10 July 2018

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown
Solicitor Acting: Rebecca Wolt

Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

Phone: 03 450 1365

lane neave.

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

 Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (QAC) wishes to be party to the following appeal on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stage 1 (Proposed Plan):

Southern District Health Board v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-073) (Appeal)

- QAC made a further submission about the subject matter of the proceedings. QAC also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has as the activities sought to be enabled by the Appeal have the potential to impact Queenstown Airport's operations.
- 3. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
- 4. QAC is interested in part of the Appeal.
- 5. The parts of the Appeal that QAC are interested in is:
  - (a) Rule 7.4.9.
- 6. QAC is interested in the following particular issues:
  - (a) The extent to which the relief sought in the Appeal would result in all "community activities" being permitted throughout the Lower Density Suburban Residential Zone (**LDSRZ**) which may give rise to adverse amenity and reverse sensitivity issues when such activities are located in proximity to Queenstown Airport.
- QAC opposes the relief sought in the Appeal to the extent that it would permit all community activities within the LDSRZ, as opposed to permitted only community activities located with the Operative Community Facility Zone, and for the reasons stated in its original submission, its further submission and its notice of appeal. QAC generally considers that the relief sought by the Appellant:

 does not provide adequate protection for Queenstown Airport from reverse sensitivity effects;

....,

(b) does not recognise or provide for the Queenstown Airport as

regionally significant infrastructure;

(c) does not make adequate provision for the ongoing operation,

maintenance, upgrading and development of the Airport;

(d) fails to achieve the functions of the Respondent under section 31 of

the Act in respect of the integrated management of the effects of the

use and development of land and physical resources;

(e) does not represent an efficient use of land under section 7(a);

(f) does not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical

resources; and

(g) is otherwise not the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of

the Act.

8. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other dispute resolution of the

Appeal.

Dated this 10th day of July 2018

Rebecca Wolt/Sophie Reese

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

**Address for Service for the Appellant:** 

Lane Neave Level 1, 2 Memorial Street

PO Box 701 Queenstown 9300

Phone: 03 450 1365

Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

Contact person: Rebecca Wolt