Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Support

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Neutral

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Oppose

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Agree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Neutral

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Oppose

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Neutral

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Support

Q. 8A: Comment here.

3a. While I am in favour of master-planning I believe it unnecessary to spend $530K on a new plan when there are in existence a number of plans that have been carried out on the CBD and Lake Wanaka waterfront. Surely it would make sense to update these plans. The fact that these plans have not been implemented to any great extent does not give me confidence that this expenditure will do no more than provide more plans to gather dust.

6b. I disagree with this funding as I strongly disagree with the planned early harvest of the Coronet Forest. I have experience in this field and believe the Council have not applied sufficient weight to evidence provide by those with wide knowledge in forestry. I do understand the issues around the spread of wilding pines but believe the returns from full term forestry would outweigh the costs of their control.
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Disagree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Support

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Agree

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Disagree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Support

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Support

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Agree

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Support

Q. 8A: Comment here.
No chlorine in the water supply please. Try another method: UV etc
Thanks Jim and team!
Q. 8A: Comment here.

The Ministry would like to acknowledge the collaborative working relationship it has with Queenstown Lakes District Council and its staff and looks forward to this collaboration continuing as the Council plans for continued growth in the District.

The Ministry would like to highlight the opportunity for a collaborative response from the Ministry and Council in areas where schooling provision could be provided in the future. There are facilities that are typically provided with newly established schools. These facilities could be complemented by Council’s provision and/or investment in community infrastructure such as sports, library and community facilities.

The Minister’s recent decision to establish new primary school provision in Wanaka presents an immediate opportunity for such a collaborative response. Over the next 5-10 years there may be further opportunity.

We look forward to exploring how this could be realised through our regular meetings.
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Neutral

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Neutral

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Neutral

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Neutral

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Neutral

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Neutral

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Neutral
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Support

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Neutral

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Neutral

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Neutral

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Neutral

Q. 8A: Comment here.
Please see the uploaded submission.
Fish and Game Submission on the 10 Year Plan 2018 - 2028

[1] The Otago Fish and Game Council (‘Fish and Game’) is the statutory manager of sports fish and game bird resources within Otago. It has a duty to manage these species as well as advocate for the protection of habitat and the interests of hunters and anglers in planning processes.

[2] Fish and Game takes a neutral stance to questions 1-4 and 6-7 in the consultation document as they are not relevant to the statutory functions of the organisation.

[3] Fish and Game agrees with questions 5A and 5B as small communities’ water needs can impose an adverse impact on water quality and ecosystems – especially stormwater and wastewater management. Finding funding methods to enable these communities to reduce adverse effects is a positive for residents across the region, who will benefit from improved regional water quality and more productive ecosystems.

[4] Broadly speaking, the increased funding for green investments, such as wastewater and stormwater infrastructure upgrades, are supported by Fish and Game.

[5] It is positive to see significant infrastructure and planning investment being undertaken now to cope with the region’s booming population and visitation rates. If managed well, the region’s popularity could be a massive opportunity.

[6] Fish and Game has previously raised with the Queenstown Lakes District Council (‘QLDC’), a need for a long-term strategy to manage the impact of increased surface water recreation as the region grows. As a statutory body guided by mainly recreational users, Fish and Game continually receives feedback from the community which indicates there is an increase in demand for conflicting surface water uses across the region. The combined decisions of surface water activity consenting and speed up-liftings do seem to be having a cumulative impact on existing recreation and this impact is expected to be more pronounced in the future.

[7] While the District Plan has provisions to consider the cumulative effect of QLDC surface water management decisions, Fish and Game understands that this is considered on a case by case basis. A more effective way to assess cumulative effects would be to identify limits for cumulative impacts before they are reached.

[8] A non-statutory, long-term strategy to manage surface water recreation, developed with input from the community, would be an effective way to holistically assess cumulative effects. It could identify the type and intensity of QLDC managed recreation suitable for a geographic area and set objectives or limits to guide decision makers when considering future surface water management decisions.

[9] Fish and Game has been told by QLDC staff in the past that such an undertaking would be beneficial but funding was not available to undertake this new work. Fish and Game asks that resourcing be made available in the 10 year plan to develop a long-term surface water recreation strategy. Similar to the ideology behind other bold infrastructure and planning processes in the draft 10 year plan, investment in this process now will allow the region to make best use of the opportunities of growing popularity, rather than it causing issue for its residents.
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Support

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Agree

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Neutral
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?

Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?

Neutral

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?

Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?

Support

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?

Neutral

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?

Support
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Neutral

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Oppose

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Disagree

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Neutral

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Neutral
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?

Neutral

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?

Neutral

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?

Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?

Neutral

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?

Agree

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?

Neutral

Q. 8A: Comment here.

Commenting on behalf of my client, Darby Partners, who wish to ultimately vest the Coneburn Water Supply to Council and hand over ownership of this network given the expected growth and development within the area over the 10 year period. A number of discussions have already been had with Council on this topic to date.
Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Oppose
Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Oppose
Arrowtown Heritage Lighting
Business Plan
2018

Completed lighting on Miners’ Cottages, April 2018
Executive Summary

The Arrowtown Charitable Trust’s purpose is:

“To protect and preserve the historical and natural environment of Arrowtown for the interest and enjoyment of current and future generations.”

The Trust’s major project for 2018-19 is to undertake the final stages of the lighting project:

- Improve night time safety;
- Improve street lighting by replacing the current lighting with cost-effective modern lighting which meets council luminaire requirements;
- Enhance the night time visitor experience with the aim of increasing visitor numbers and assisting with Arrowtown’s economic goals.

The QLDC Southern Lights Report, 2006, states:

“Arrowtown Town Centre – due to the heritage nature of the street a master lighting plan is required... to deliver an effective and subtle lighting scheme that enhances the night time experience of visitors.”

In 2017-18 QLDC adopted the Arrowtown Masterplan in its 10 year plan as the Arrowtown Standard for lighting. The Master Plan reflects the QLDC’s recently adopted Southern Light Strategy and Southern Light Technical Specification including a progressive upgrade from HID to new LED technology.
Key Objectives

The Lighting Masterplan for Arrowtown is a complete lighting solution. It is a cost effective way to create a unique and emotive nightscape that encourages visitors to explore the town in the evening and visit the restaurants, cinema, shops and bars in safety.

The aim is to create:

- Landscape lighting: highlight selected trees and natural features adding another layer of creative and ambient lighting to the nightscape - features subtly illuminated;
- Highlighting certain features and heritage buildings throughout the street, rather than lighting every building. A blanket approach to lighting every building, could feel like a film set and be too “gimmicky”;
- Accenting selected historic buildings and architectural features creates a subtle backdrop viewed from both the street and inside cafes and restaurants;
- An overall ambient level of light provides a feeling of safety and a sense of a special environment;
- A memorable backdrop for visitors both on the street and from various viewpoints around the town will add value to the night time experience of Arrowtown and encourage return visits and positive feedback;
- The street lighting will meet the local QLDC lighting standards yet retain an “olde worlde” character with warm white light sources and low glare luminaires. Subtle warm white light sources will capture the beauty of the natural elements without causing glare and unwanted light pollution.
The bronze sculpture in Owen Marshall Park is a feature that would respond well to illumination.

**QLDC 2017-18 Achieved:**

- Council adopted the Masterplan
- Council committed $57,000 towards the lighting project for “upgrading the lighting on council properties, sculpture, four trees, Buckingham Green and the three additional Buckingham Street lights”.
- Of this the $57,000 committed the following have been achieved by council: lighting up the council-owned miners cottages
- APL reports that these are underway: bronze sculpture, Mary Cotter Tree and Arrowtown Hall pathway
- To be achieved by APL: Four lights on back wall of Buckingham Green, Arrowtown Hall parapet and window lights.
- To be achieved by Council – the street light outside the library.
- For the private portion of the lighting work the Arrowtown Charitable Trust has received a quote from Tansleys for The Coachman’s Hall, The Gold Nugget, Miners Cottage #55, Miners Cottage #57, Ray White, The Jade & Opal Factory, Pharmacy Lane front façade, Pharmacy Lane to Dorothy Browns, High Country, Te Huia (no cost – reuse existing fittings), Arrow Lodge, Terra Mia, Post Office. The quote totals $35,553. This work is being funded by private owners, the APBA and by a lighting grant, all of which is underway.

We understand that when old street lights come up for replacement they will be replaced under the newly adopted plan.
Infrastructure and proposed design

The proposed Lighting Plan with technical specifications is attached.

- **New traditional style street lantern**: A new lantern in a traditional style is a simple solution and allows for easy lighting calculations with no need for a prototype. Supplier warranties would ensure any faults or problems with the fittings are easily rectified however, compatibility with existing light poles would need to be established. We have chosen a robust fitting that has glare control and a downward light output. Finishes and components.

![Image of a traditional style street lantern]

- **Landscape & features**: The landscape lighting includes the highlighting of selected trees, Buckingham Green, the bronze sculptures and the water wheel. We feel this will add another layer of creative lighting to the nightscape and pick up some interesting features as visitors explore the town.

![Image of landscape lighting]

- **Event lighting infrastructure**: With a new lighting design we believe there will be increased evening visitors which may open the door to more night time events taking place like music events, outdoor dinners, a night market or even a lantern festival. To ensure there are plenty of opportunities for event lighting infrastructure, we have made notes of suggested locations for power feeds on the plans. This will give plenty of options for temporary event lighting to be set up at various locations around Buckingham Street where night-time events may take place.

![Image of event lighting]

*An example of event lighting from the New Plymouth Festival of Light*
**Recommendations for the existing lighting**

- Initially replace the three existing lamps on poles in the Miners’ Cottages block and add a new pole and lamp outside the library for safety lighting meeting new light level and QLDC requirements.

- Develop a strategy for future lighting additions by building owners to ensure the character of Arrowtown is not lost with modern light fittings and a mishmash of colour temperatures.

- Event lighting - Suggestions for additions to electrical infrastructure for temporary event lighting to give greater flexibility for locations and types of events to be held at night.

Consultation with QLDC will determine the exact light level we will need to adhere to at street level for safe transition for cars and pedestrians.
SWOT Analysis

Marketing Strategy: 700,000+ visitors a year.

Strengths

- One of NZ’s oldest European towns, formed in 1862, Arrowtown is 152 years old.
- Arrowtown is a shining example of an historic/heritage town in a natural environment which can be promoted for the benefit of current and future generations, educational groups, residents and visitors.
- The town boasts 50 – 70 listed buildings from gold rush to late gold rush period in the Arrowtown Historic Zone and Arrowtown Residential Historic Zone.
- Arrowtown promotes high standards in architecture, landscape, management, building and town planning.

Weaknesses

- Safety issues with poor light levels in existing lighting will be alleviated with addition of new lights and better light levels.
- Existing lights are more expensive to run than proposed modern replacements
- Presently night lighting is becoming a “mish-mash” of styles and design and this project would provide an historic consistency

Opportunities

- Commercial bulkheads look out of place. Appropriate redevelopment to ensure heritage buildings in the historic town are maintained while allowing for modern use.
- Future Proofing. Reduce degradation of heritage buildings due to inappropriate lighting. A set of criteria should be established to ensure the integrity of the Lighting Masterplan is maintained.
- Replacing inefficient lights with LED will reduce energy usage.
- A set of criteria for specific light fitting styles in historic Arrowtown would be established and specified so that future lighting installed by building and business owners along Buckingham Street are in keeping with the overall vision for Arrowtown.
- Existing light fittings are broken and in disrepair. A scheduled and recorded maintenance programme replacing broken and patched existing lights with new lights will reduce...
ongoing maintenance, saving longer term costs. Bulbs will be cheaper and it will be cheaper to replace refurbished poles. Better long term impact.

- Controlling glare and light pollution to the night sky can be defined in terms of light fitting style and placement. The lighting plan will expand out into the residential part of Arrowtown to meet the community needs for appropriate lighting directing light down to avoid night sky pollution. *We need to communicate to AVA with our plans.*

- Have met the requirements of the QLDC document ‘Southern Light Strategy” and the Southern Light Technical Specification as discussed with the QLDC Lighting Technical Advisor in April 2017.

- Criteria include:
  - Direct light downwards where possible and control upward light with glare shields and baffles
  - Over lighting must be avoided - use the correct amount of light for the task and accepted standards. Warm light sources.
  - Unnecessary night-time lighting such as decorative floodlighting, merchandising lighting & signage should be switched off at 11pm
  - Keep glare to a minimum energy efficient LED and fluorescent light with progressive replacement of old HID fittings
  - Evenly spaced arrangement of lighting for consistent lighting and luminaire levels
  - Maintain existing poles if they are in good condition.
  - All new LEDs should have dimmable drivers and be CMS ready as per the QLDC Light Strategy part Two.

- Sources within the 2700K - 3050K range should be retro-fitted into existing fittings to create a warm light effect and also reduce energy and maintenance costs, controlling glare and light pollution, not over-lighting, consistent colour temperature and avoiding a ‘Disneyland lighting effect’ in Arrowtown.

- The new heritage sympathetic lighting will generate and increase in evening visitors – income opportunities for businesses.

- Opportunity to extend heritage lighting throughout Arrowtown Historic CBD including pedestrian linkages which are presently poorly lit.

**Threats**

- Safety - several main street black points are dangerous with inadequate lighting causing a danger to pedestrians on uneven surfaces when crossing the road.
- Danger of the town looking like a “film set” or “Disneyland” with garish and unsuitable lighting.
Artist’s impression of lighting for the Miners’ Cottages

Completed lighting on Miners’ Cottages, April 2018
Action Plan

A  QLDC Annual Plan April 2018
   i. Finalise the work undertaken from $27,000 2016-17 funding from council to establish a new light and pole outside the Library
   ii. Finalise Council committed $57,000 towards the lighting project for “upgrading the lighting on council properties, sculpture, four trees, Buckingham Green and the three additional Buckingham Street lights”. Details - bronze sculpture, Mary Cotter Tree and Arrowtown Hall pathway, Four lights on back wall of Buckingham Green, Arrowtown Hall parapet and window lights.
   iii. Source council funds to provide all other heritage lights for council-owned properties, replace the lamps (fit existing poles) on the three existing Miners’ Cottages Street lights, three historic trees

B  Business Owner Lighting Upgrades and Sourcing Funding
   i. Have identified and communicated with business owners and are working with APL toward finishing the 2016-17 council funded work on council buildings and new library street light.
   ii. Received 4.4.18 Tansley’s quote for private property owners’ work.
   iii. Property owners to provide cost of improvements with financial support from the Arrowtown Charitable Trust and funds sourced from the Central Lakes Trust. Have written support from property owners of key properties. Business owners to pay for ongoing power (minimal cost).

Unveiling: Lighting celebration promoted through council contacts, members of public and school children. By October 2018

Completed lighting on Miners’ Cottages, April 2018
April 2018 Submission to QLDC:

The Arrowtown Charitable Trust seeks that the QLDC:

- Finalise the work undertaken from $27,000 2016-17 funding from council to establish a new light and pole outside the Library (still not completed)

- Finalise Council committed $57,000 towards the lighting project for “upgrading the lighting on council properties, sculpture, four trees, Buckingham Green and the three additional Buckingham Street lights”. Details - bronze sculpture, Mary Cotter Tree and Arrowtown Hall pathway, Four lights on back wall of Buckingham Green, Arrowtown Hall parapet and window lights.

The lighting proposed will mean substantially lower running costs than at present and the lights proposed are durable and of good quality.

Local electricians and electrical engineers have volunteered their time to investigate the viability of the proposed lighting plan and have confirmed that the ducting upgrade carried out by QLDC will fully support the lighting infrastructure. This means that limited additional infrastructure or engineering is required to proceed with the proposed lighting.

All other lighting is to be funded by the owners of the buildings being lit, with the cost of installation and infrastructure being met by the Arrowtown community in the form of local business sponsorship and charitable trust grants.
Dear Jim and Councillors,

Growth in visitors to Arrowtown has forced a change in focus towards more destination management to cope with the stresses on infrastructure (the town receives around 40% of Queenstown’s visitors).

We acknowledge the work on the lighting, transport, toilets, rubbish and parking and continue to support the work to manage the peak periods and provide adequate resources.

The APBA presents the Urban Arrowtown Urban CBD Indicative Concept Plan to ensure future development of infrastructure meets the needs of the village. This has been funded by the APBA and prepared with input from the Arrowtown Village Association. The plan draws on the council’s own Arrowtown Design Guidelines, the Shaping our Future Arrowtown report, and the Mahu Whenua Feasibility Study report.

The key focus points of our annual plan submission are:

1. Seek $150,000 in the Infrastructure / Works budget (10 year plan) for council to commit to a larger more detailed plan to implement points 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the Urban Arrowtown Urban CBD Indicative Concept Plan.

2. Seek $75,00 from the Parks and Reserves budget to implement recommendation 1, 3 and 8 in the Urban Arrowtown Urban CBD Indicative Concept Plan

3. Request the retention of the Arrowtown Ward and expansion to include Mcdonnell Road and Millbrook in the representational review coming up in August 2018. We have a strong case for QLDC to put to the electoral commission who will make the final decision. The nationally prestigious Landmarks Tohunga Whenua status recently bestowed on Arrowtown endorses its importance as a town of special character which requires special advocacy provided by its own representation in council. The existing boundaries of the ward are the Arrowtown town boundaries and these exclude McDonnell Road and Millbrook. Residents of both refer to themselves as “residents” of Arrowtown.

Further supporting documents Shaping our Future and Manu Whenua are available from the APBA if required
1. Mission Statement

The Arrowtown Promotion and Business Association’s role is to promote and advocate the historical and natural character of Arrowtown while achieving responsible and sustainable growth.

2. Guiding Principles

- Protecting what is intrinsic about the town - natural environment, historic heritage and walking environment
- Managed growth/sustainability
- Independent/separate voice
- Charm and friendliness. Welcoming town
3. Introduction
The Arrowtown Promotion and Business Association (APBA) is a non-profit community organisation made up of commercial ratepayers and other business operators. The Board is comprised of representatives from these groups and the Arrowtown Ward representative from the Queenstown Lakes District Council. The association employs a Project Co-ordinator. Under the APBA rules three additional members presently stand on the board. The Arrowtown Promotion and Business Association’s activities cover the commercial area of Arrowtown and the Arrowtown School catchment area.

The APBA engages with Destination Queenstown (DQ), the Arrowtown Village Association (AVA), village residents, event planners and the Lakes District Museum to promote Arrowtown. Our role is similar to DQ, with a much smaller budget and additional requirements of protecting the historic Arrowtown precinct.

Funding is sourced from the Arrowtown Commercial ratepayers as a rating levy. The APBA acknowledges the Queenstown Lakes District Council for its continued support.

The 2017-18APBA Board consists of: Scott Julian (President); Bruce Gibbs (Treasurer); David Clarke; Sam Laycock; Todd Weeks; Marco Dingemans; Karen Wake; Brian Spicer*; Jeannie Crawford; Carole Sinclair; Amanda Colmore-Williams; Ben Smith; Barry Litten*; Sue Patterson (Project Co-ordinator); and Scott Stevens (Council Representative). These members represent a cross-section of Arrowtown businesses and the wider business community including accommodation, food and beverage, outdoor tours and activities, and retail.

* denotes additional members
4 Chairman’s Report

Thank you for the opportunity to present this report to council and for your careful consideration of our key submission points.

The boom in tourism continues and like the region as a whole Arrowtown is enjoying increasing numbers of visitors on a daily basis with around 40% of Queenstown visitors coming to Arrowtown. Not surprisingly this creates stress on infrastructure and increased work in managing the town so it retains the historical and natural character.

We appreciate the support from council in the construction of the new Ramshaw Lane carpark before the summer season. Once the landscaping has been completed later this year it will blend in more aesthetically with the river environment. The soon to be completed toilets are also most welcome and we are pleased with the implementation of the lighting plan in the historic cottage zone to date and look forward to further support from council as the overall Arrowtown Heritage Lighting Plan is rolled out in further stages.

Over the recent summer months we are pleased to report that there has been a marked improvement in the quality and quantity of public toilet cleans. There has also been favourable feedback from businesses about the new OrBus Service and we realise the importance of supporting this service into the future and limit the need for more carparking!

Looking ahead we are supporting our local ward councillor (Scott Stevens) towards a new system with rubbish collection and new bin placement as a whole.

We are pleased to adopt the Arrowtown Shaping our Future report which will enable wise planning for any developments in the future. This document is extremely important for such a special & unique town that has so much to protect. Added to that is the recent acknowledgement which backs that up – that is the Landmarks Tohunga Whenua status.

A CBD concept plan is being submitted to council to clearly visualise a larger more detailed plan. We are asking council to commit to a larger plan. This has been funded by the APBA with scoping input from the Arrowtown Village Association.

As part of our core destination marketing role the APBA has continued to focus on the following old and new initiatives in no particular order:
Digital Marketing – social media, seasonal blogs and new seasonal video marketing links with our state of the art website.

Over the last 6 years we have undertaken extensive market research on the street which has been an invaluable source of information. Further market research is to be conducted in November 2018.

Supporting events – Motatapu, Jazz Festival, Arrowtown Creative Arts Society Spring Festival, Arrowtown Autumn Festival, NZ Golf Open street party (did not take place this year due to funding issues), Arrowtown Creative Arts Society and the inaugural Arrowtown Farmers Market which is seeking a 5 year resource consent. APBA has just taken ownership of the Arrowtown Long Lunch with the potential of continuing to run this event. (QT Events has generously donated the event to the APBA).

Arrowtown River Park concept as proposed by Arrowtown Mahu Whenua Gateway Feasibility Study. The Police Hut (due to be opened in April) has been set up as an unmanned interpretation centre as the first stage of the larger project. We are lucky to have had assistance from council with providing power and helping get the power connected. This has been a community project involving Otago Goldfields Heritage, Community Trust of Southland, Arrowtown Village Association and Queenstown Lions.

The APBA's association with other community groups is growing stronger with several areas of synergy between common goals with the AVA such as wilding pine management, predator control, lighting, Arrowtown Farmers Market, CBD concept plan to better connect with the residential and river areas.

I would like to acknowledge the involvement & support of our Board from Scott Stevens and also to our Mayor & Council CEO for their willingness to engage and take the time to come and talk to us.

Scott Julian, Chairman
Arrowtown Promotion and Business Association
5 Project Co-ordinator Report 2017-18 to 12 April

Key Partnerships and Communication Channels

- APBA members, Arrowtown Charitable Trust (Lighting Project); Arrowtown Village Association (AVA); Lakes District Museum; Shaping our Future; Destination Queenstown; Tourism NZ; Chamber of Commerce; QLDC; HQWiFi; Millbrook; Arrowtown Creative Arts Society; Arrowtown Autumn Festival Committee; Queenstown Trails Trust and Iron Man; Arrowtown Farmers Market; Arrowtown Wilding Pine Group; Arrowtown Predator Control Group; QEII Trust; Mt Soho (Mutt Lange Pastoral Lease properties): NZ Open (The Hills and Millbrook); Queenstown Frontline Information Centres and tourism operators.
- Media

Leverage Opportunities Realised or Assisted APBA Initiatives

- Arrowtown Environment Gateway Feasibility Study
- Arrowtown Guide (English and Mandarin)
- Active Facebook promotional page and Instagram
- Annual fundraising calendar
- Arrowtown Farmers’ Market
- Arrowtown Creative Arts Society
- Support Arrowtown Charitable Trust’s Heritage Lighting Project
- Annual photography prize
- Restoration of Police Hut - supplying power and restoration

APBA Supported Events

- Arrowtown Autumn Festival - $5,000 sponsorship to Festival, and sponsoring/organising Photo Competition with prizes
- Arrowtown Long Lunch - from April 2018 have ownership of this event
- Macpac Motatapu Adventure Race - $7,500 membership sponsorship in prize vouchers, Official Supplier to Motatapu
- Jazzfest - $1,500 sponsorship to Jazzfest for Arrowtown Day
- Cycleways – communicating and sharing info with key cycleways initiatives

Advertising - AA; QT Magazine; Whytewaters advertising; DQ Product Directory; QBook (Chinese & Japanese); LWB Chinese publication.
5 Project Co-ordinator Report 2017-18 to 12 April (cont.)

Ongoing Projects:

- Supporting the safety and aesthetic aspects of the Arrowtown Charitable Trust’s Heritage Lighting project
- Arrowtown Market Research
- Established a Conference and Incentives Market Product showcasing Arrowtown history and “characters”.

Website

- Event Calendar for community and members
- Member and partner listings eg Millbrook
- Increased social media programme and Facebook
- Providing website news blogs and updating media photos

Sourcing funds

- Branded merchandise and fundraising calendar
- Sourcing $3,500 member sponsorship for Motatapu
- Sourcing prizes for events from member businesses eg Autumn Festival…
- Eco Centre Feasibility Funds

Sue Patterson
Project Co-ordinator
6 Strategic Plan 2018-19 and Beyond

Key QLDC Focus Areas

a) Support Existing Programmes:

i) Arrowtown Heritage Lighting Plan - endorse continued work on council properties and advocate for safety lighting on both main blocks of Buckingham Street to ensure local and visitor safety at night.

ii) Rubbish Bins – Endorse new rubbish collection policy and replacement of old bins

iii) Public Transport - Endorse OrBus $2 system and continue to monitor public transport and report to ORC and QLDC.

iv) New toilets, Ramshaw Lane

b) New Initiatives:

i) Arrowtown CBD Plan - Present to council the APBA funded preliminary concept plan for council funding to commit to a larger more detailed plan: seek $75,000 from Parks and Reserves for points 1, 3 and 8; and $150,000 in Infrastructure/Works Budget towards proper plan (10 year plan) for points 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7

ii) Review of representational process by 31 August 2018 outlining benefits of extension of Arrowtown Ward into the hinterland for the economic benefit of the town.

iii) Heritage Trees - Request that council adopt a programme for heritage trees to ensure they remain healthy. Continue underplanting.

iv) Retain Commercial Activities Boundary - Commitment to follow our resource consent protocols to retain appropriate commercial activities in the Arrowtown CBD respecting the historical nature of the area.
v) Aim to improve environmental aspects of the Arrowtown business area with a focus on tidy and timely rubbish collecting, cleaning/sweeping of the CBD, cleaner air, and a focus as an area of environmental excellence.

vi) Historical - Strong focus on promotion in our built and social history. Support the MBIE funded feasibility funding for an Environment Centre on Bush Creek Pastoral Lease Land. Continue to establish the Police Hut as 9am - 5.30pm unmanned Visitor Centre to tell the story of the rejuvenation of the QEII covenanted wilderness land beyond. Leverage on Arrowtown’s Landmark Status.

vii) Festivals/Events – Explore developing winter and spring marketing programme with QLDC Events support. Support Autumn Festival, Arrowtown Creative Arts Society, Arrowtown Farmers Market, Welcome Party (Handa ISPS NZ Open), Long Lunch, Welcome Party (NZ Open). The APBA acknowledges council help with events.

Additional information supporting the APBA Annual Plan:

- APBA Projected 2018 and 2019 budget
- APBA 2018-19 Strategic Plan
- Shaping Our Future Arrowtown
- Arrowtown Mahu When Gateway Feasibility Study
- Arrowtown CBD Indicative Concept Plan
2018 Arrowtown Strategic Plan

Mission Statement

• "To promote and advocate the historical and natural character of Arrowtown while achieving responsible and sustainable growth."
Core Values

· Authenticity
· Protection of the natural and built (historic) environment
· Friendly and welcoming
· Village atmosphere
· Other - Quality - Superior - Sophisticated - Integrity – Accessibility

Vision Statement

To receive international recognition as a visitor attraction and a shining example of an historic/heritage town in a natural environment
Guiding Principles

• Protecting what is intrinsic about the town - Natural environment, historic heritage, walking environment

• Managed growth/sustainability

• Independent / separate voice

• A charming and friendly, welcoming town that encourages managed and sustainable growth

• A natural environment that encourages accessible walking

• Maintenance of the historical heritage

Arrowtown Brand Personality

"Arrowtown is charming and full of colourful character. Authentic, independent and innovative, whilst always friendly and welcoming to all."
Touch Points

- Buckingham Street
- Avenue and cottages
- CBD
- Key historic buildings – churches, masonic hall
- Chinese Village
- Mary McKillop’s Cottage
- Athenaeum Hall
- Post Office
- Masonic Lodge
- Red post box and telephone booths
- Gateway with library on one side and the Fork and Tap on the other
- Tobin’s Escarpment
- Arrow River Reserve, trails systems and high country access
- Owen Marshall Reserve below bakery
- View from Soldier’s Memorial
- Lakes District Museum
Key Stakeholders

- APBA members
- Arrowtown residents
- Event planners and participants
- Arrowtown Village Association
- Destination Queenstown and Queenstown Lakes District Council
- Arrowtown Creative Arts Society
- Arrowtown Farmers Market
- Wilding Pine Action Group
- Mahu Whenua and QEII Trust
Target Audience

Existing

• 50/50 International Domestic
• Queenstown day visitors
• NZ families
• Australian
• Experiential
• Outdoor adventure seekers
• Tour bus
• Asian – Chinese

Developmental Emphasis

• Winter – Family Skiers
• Shoulder visitors
• High daily spend – Millbrook, Hills guests
• Special Segments – golfers, bikers, wine enthusiasts, skiers, arts visitors, farmers market visitors
• Traditional European and North American
• South American
• Families
• First time visitors
• Returning Visitors
• Special Event Visitors
Key APBA Goals

- Sustainably managing the peak spring/summer/autumn periods
- Increasing shoulder and winter visitors
- Four season destination
- Seek additional funding for key projects and destination management
- Include Arrowtown hinterland in Arrowtown Ward

Objectives and Rules

- To promote, and develop Arrowtown's visitor business in a manner which achieves responsible, seasonally diversified, and sustainable growth for the town’s businesses and its community.
- To manage the impact of visitors on the town and the community.
- To protect the township’s urban historic character and its natural surrounds
- To strongly advocate towards good urban design solutions in Arrowtown’s commercial and residential zones
- To represent its members as an advocate to government, and to other organisations, whose influence and decisions may affect the well-being of Arrowtown.
- To support the improvement of the gateways into Arrowtown and between the town and natural attractions.
- To develop the ability of the society, and its members, to achieve the society’s objectives.
Priorities

• Landmark Status – develop a programme to promote and leverage and continue to support Arrowtown’s Special Heritage status
• Next Step Mahu Whenua Feasibility Study
• Review of Representational Process by 31 August 2018 outlining benefits of extension of Arrowtown Ward into the hinterland for the economic benefit of the town
• Lighting Project – support the next stage
• Review Electronic / Digital Media Campaign
• Refresh www.arrowtown.com, / build / create new products
• Council feasibility study - urban planning improvements (shared use of Buckingham Street better pedestrian movement, Ramshaw Lane “second precinct”, Arrow River connection, directional signage). Lobby Council to Develop Long Term Infrastructure Plan including parking, broadband, etc.
• Rewrite Event Policy – event subcommittee to meet. Possibly develop additional events for shoulder season periods. Explore the viability of reinstating the Welcome Party (NZ Open).
**Action Plan**

**Change of Rules**

- Adopt rule changes by APBA Committee at 18 April meeting
- Prepare rule change adoption at August AGM

**Landmark Tohunga Whenua Status**

- Continue to develop a programme to leverage on this with DoC, Heritage NZ and Department of Culture and Heritage.

**Mahu Whenua – River Park /Eco Centre**

- Support the establishment of a special group to develop the River Park special business case and potential Environmental Centre.
- Allocate $20,000 towards the Mahu Whenua (Eco Centre) project to contract a Project Manager to source the $100,000 for the project. Liaise with key personnel, potential sponsors, DoC, LINZ, QLDC and Mt Soho Properties.
- Continue to develop the Policeman’s Hut as an interim interpretation centre, organising reforestation at Bush Creek.

**Digital Roadmap**

- Revisit Phase I of Arrowtown.com website, build on existing and develop new website product.
- Revisit Darren Craig’s Fully Charged Media digital media strategy
- Source quote for a media person to manage social media to present at 10 May 2018 Strategy Meeting.

**Lighting Project**

- Allocate further funding to the Arrowtown Charitable Trust to progress the next stage of the lighting project enabling further funding to be sourced.

**Council Annual Plan** (submit by 13 April)

- Seek from QLDC funds to develop Arrowtown CBD plan based on the APBA funded Blakey Wallace Arrowtown CBD Indicative Concept Plan requesting $75,000 in Parks and Reserves Budget for points 1, 3 and 8; and $150,000 in Infrastructure/Works Budget towards proper plan (10 year plan) for points 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7.
- Seek QLDC support to retain Arrowtown ward to include Mcdonnell Rd and Millbrook with supporting MP letters in the August representational review.
Action Plan (continued)

Review Budget

- Set 10 May Strategy Committee meeting to set budget and analyse promotional activities (advertising, web, facebook...)

Annual Survey

- Identify & Manage visitation / visitor spend to understand guest needs.
- First step look at mobile phone tracking to determine visitor numbers and local/visitor ration. Source quote for Market View for spend from Qrious.
- Conduct a visitor survey every 3 years allocating a budget of $10,000, next in Spring 2018 using John’s research as a basis.
- Source a company or person to undertake 2018 research.
- Invite Doug Parker to present his Arrowtown Retail survey

Shoulder Season Events and Promotions

- Develop a new Events Policy using a sub-committee looking at Long Lunch, Welcome Party and possible new events.
- Provide strategic support for the Arrowtown Creative Arts Society to support the local arts community for the town’s economic gain.
- Continue to identify DQ and TIA promotion in Auckland and Australia and lock in where possible
- Explore using the Autumn Festival to strengthen our PR and brand campaign. Release internal stories before and after and host media with DQ and TIA during the festival.
- Provide photos / short video clips for DQ – waiver rights

Chinese Visitor Market

- Continue to produce the Chinese language brochure
- Vital to acknowledge Chinese visitors. Grow Chinese New Year signs for photo opportunities.
- Miner’s Monument in town commemorating all nationalities (Arrowtown Gateway Mahu Whenua feasibility report point)
- Continue to feed members Tourism Industry Association information on the Chinese market - greetings, credit union cards, Chinese speaking staff, acknowledge Golden week (October) and Chinese New Year (February)

Ongoing advocacy

- Successfully manage peak periods – advocate for adequate resources and services
- Support wilding pine eradication
a) Key QLDC Focus Areas - Support Existing Programmes:

i) **Lighting** - Endorse council completion of the Arrowtown Heritage Lighting Plan work. Need for safety lighting on both main blocks of Buckingham Street to ensure local and visitor safety at night.

ii) **Rubbish Bins** – Endorse new rubbish collection policy and replacement of old bins

iii) **Public Transport** - Endorse OrBus system and continue to monitor public transport and report findings to ORC and QLDC. Support $2 bus and improve routes.

b) Key QLDC Focus Areas - New Initiatives:

i) **Urban CBD Concept Plan** – present to council the APBA funded preliminary concept plan for council funding to commit to a larger more detailed plan: seek $75,000 from Parks and Reserves for points 1, 3 and 8; and $150,000 in Infrastructure/Works Budget towards proper plan (10 year plan) for points 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7.

ii) **Representational Review** - review of representational process by 31 August 2018 outlining benefits of extension of Arrowtown Ward into the hinterland for the economic benefit of the town.

iii) **Renewal Tree Programme** - Request that council adopt a programme for heritage trees to ensure they remain healthy. Continue underplanting.

iv) **Retain Commercial Activities Boundary** - Commitment to follow our resource consent protocols to retain appropriate commercial activities in the Arrowtown CBD respecting the historical nature of the area.

v) **Environmental** - Aim to improve environmental aspects of the Arrowtown business area with a focus on tidy and timely rubbish collecting, cleaning/sweeping of the CBD, cleaner air, and a focus as an area of environmental excellence.

vi) **Historical** - Strong focus on promotion of our point of difference in our built and social history. Support the MBIE funded feasibility funding for an Environment Centre on Bush Creek Pastoral Lease Land. Continue to establish the Police Hut as 9am - 5.30pm unmanned Visitor Centre to tell the story of the rejuvenation of the QEII covenanted wilderness land beyond. Leverage on Arrowtown’s Landmark Status.

vii) **Festivals/Events** – Explore developing winter and spring marketing programme with QLDC Events support. Support Autumn Festival, Arrowtown Creative Arts Society, Arrowtown Farmers Market, Welcome Party (Handa ISPS NZ Open), Long Lunch, Welcome Party (NZ Open). The APBA acknowledges council help with events.

*Review these QLDC key focus areas each quarter. Last reviewed 12.03.18*
Notes:
1. Improve legibility and access to Arrow River and track i.e. more direct and visible access across Bush Creek to Mahu Whenua
2. Improve pedestrian access to Butler Green, Arrow River and tracks
3. Clear/ control weeds eg. broom - Re-establish skating facility
4. Develop a clear signage plan and identify opportunities for additional interpretation/information.
1. Upgrade access to Butler Green
   - Form Path to connect to Chinese Settlement and river
   - Maintenance / restoration of Butler historic wall

2. Ramshaw Lane
   - Investigate opportunities for shared space

3. Improve connectivity with river
   - Upgrade and widen steps to river
   - Access across Bush Creek to Arrow River
   - Clear vegetation to open up view shaft
   - Upgrade skateboard area

4. Buckingham St
   - Greater pedestrian priority over vehicle
   - Disabled 5 minute parking

5. Wiltshire St / Hertford St
   - Dangerous intersection

6. Conflicting use
   - Coach parking / bus route stop / pedestrian use
   - Improve pedestrian safety

7. Cottage St / Buckingham St Avenue
   - Possible removal of car parks on library green side
   - Protect grass verge in front of cottages

8. Hansen Place Carpark extension
   - New pedestrian link to River track

**General**
- Maintenance of heritage features and trees
- Implement the Arrowtown Lighting Plan
- Develop a clear signage plan and identify opportunities for additional interpretation/information.
12 April 2018

Mayor Jim Boult  
Queenstown Lakes District Council  
Private Bag 50072  
QUEENSTOWN 9348

Dear Mayor Jim

Letter of Support to retain the Arrowtown Ward

I am writing in support of the recommendation to expand the current Arrowtown Ward boundaries and retain the Arrowtown Ward.

Arrowtown is a town of special character and significance in the Clutha-Southland electorate, and I believe it currently benefits from having its own representation. The recent special status inclusion of Arrowtown in the Landmarks Whenua Tohunga programme is significant and recognises the importance of the history of the town and the need to advocate for its heritage values.

The town’s population has grown 325% in 30 years (1989 - 800 population, 2017 - 2600 population) and has experienced unprecedented visitor growth in recent years, receiving around 42% of Queenstown’s Visitors (Q4 2017 Visitor Insights Programme). Special advocacy by a Ward Councillor currently assists with these growth pressures on the town.

I support the retention of the Arrowtown Ward and its expansion to include residents of McDonnell Road and Millbrook Resort for the following reasons:

- The ward system has worked very well for Arrowtown since amalgamation in 1989. The existing ward representation provides a local resident on council with the knowledge and voice of Arrowtown’s special concerns, while also representing the entire district

- Councillors not residing in Arrowtown may not have an understanding and knowledge of the special needs of this heritage town to protect and preserve its character and qualities. These features add integrity to the Queenstown-Lakes District as a tourism destination and beautiful place to live

- The expansion of the ward to include McDonnell Road and Millbrook Resort is a natural inclusion as it takes in two areas already functioning as part of the town

- Arrowtown has the only pensioner housing in Queenstown-Lakes District and eight new Housing Trust homes
- Arrowtown uniquely sits on the doorstep of the Arrow River and the four high country stations owned by Mt Soho Properties, 53000ha of which have been covenanted to the QEII Trust and are being returned to their "natural" state, akin to a conservation park. Several existing groups are working towards wilding pine control, predator management, education, access and the potential for a River Park and possibly an Environmental Centre on Arrowtown’s river boundary.

I understand that both the Arrowtown Promotion and Business Association and the Arrowtown Village Association support council wishes to expand and retain the Arrowtown Ward also.

Yours sincerely

Hamish Walker
MP for Clutha-Southland
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Neutral

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Disagree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Support

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Agree

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Disagree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Support

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Support

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Neutral

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Neutral

Q. 8A: Comment here.
I hope additional funding can be found to fund the improvements proposed. I think the area desperately needs additional facilities for cultural activities (theatre, woodworking, metal working, better yoga studios). Perhaps the Council's facilities could be amplified to include these - and user will pay. Not sure why you can get into the library for nothing and yet everyone pays an entry fee for a swim. Consideration should be given to ratepayers paying a reduced rate for the use of such facilities - i.e., visitors pay to use them. Water usage should also be metered and user pays. How much is being done to explore financing the improvements through public/private partnerships. Local businesses should be leaned on to assist funding. Keep up the good work!
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Neutral

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Neutral

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Support

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Disagree

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Disagree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Neutral

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Neutral

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Neutral

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Neutral
Q. 8A: Comment here.

Please find an alternative to chlorination of Hawea water supply. There are other options. Listen to the locals rather than be bullied from government officials and agendas. We have little in common with Havelock North and knee-jerk reactions and fear-mongering is very poor policy-making practice.

Also please find alternatives to poisons (Roundup, 1080 etc). There are other solutions. Poisons will have devastating long-term impacts on the environment, wildlife and people. It’s a no-brainer and amazing after all the disastrous human meddling with the environment that the use of poisons is even an option let alone the “preferred” option. Roundup is linked to cancer. Weeds are good, they are useful plants. Bees and other insects need them. Why is there such a hatred of weeds in this county? Go to the UK and roadsides aren’t sprayed with chemicals. The roadsides’ vegetation is lush - not bleached dead like here. Pointless waste of time and money to spray poison like this - plus it ends up in the water table.

Infrastructure is not keeping up with new real estate developments or the explosion of tourist numbers. Rent has almost doubled in the last few years. It’s out of control. Hardly any decent long-term rentals anymore and living in constant fear our rental will get sold from underneath us like last 2 properties we rented. I think the council needs to limit the number of short-term rentals or find incentives for landlords to rent to long-term rentals. Not everyone can afford or wants to buy their own place. In other countries renting is the norm and buying houses is not. We feel like (as renters) we are considered failures or second-class citizens here. But in fact we used to like the flexibility and freedom that rented offered us. Now we are paying just as much as a mortgage for a cold, damp house but dare not complain because we know if we lose this place it’s so hard to find another. And every time we manage to get a deposit together the house prices jump up by another whopping 100k or so. We’ve given up going to auctions as every time we got outbid by greedy property investors or people buying their 2nd or third property. Why the heck is there no capital gains tax?! I believe families and first-time buyers should get priority over people buying investment properties.

Thanks for reading.
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?  
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?  
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?  
Neutral

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?  
Neutral

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?  
Neutral

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?  
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?  
Agree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Neutral

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Neutral

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Neutral

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Neutral

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Neutral

Q.
WANAKA SKATE PARK – STAGE 3 SUBMISSION

Wanaka Skate Collective would like to submit the following proposal to improve the Wanaka skate park located in Pembroke Park

Improvements include but are not limited to:

- The completion of Stage 3
  The design of which is yet to be confirmed but will include a beginner area, intermediate area and aesthetic landscaping.
  (Tenders from skate park builders can submit designs based on collective feedback regarding what features the 3rd stage will have in it)
  The current facilities at the park, while excellent, tend to more advanced riders. We propose an area where riders of all skill levels can feel comfortable and safe
  There is also the issue of congestion at the moment, which the new proposed 600 square metre area would alleviate

- The repair of dilapidated surface areas of the original area of the skate park including the bowls and areas around the pre fab joins in the street course

- The installation of a security camera that would help deter and can observe would be vandals during dark hours
- Numerous incidents of vandalism involving alcohol have occurred recently during busy periods in Wanaka

- A working drinking water supply/fountain to serve the public. The existing one is continually broken

- Seating and shade for parents and visitors.

The skate park and surrounding area is a well used community area which see’s lots of foot traffic from tourists, onlookers, families and other visitors

The skate park itself is a very social environment where all ages and nationalities come together to share in a common leisure pastime and we want it to be a comfortable place for onlookers to sit and enjoy the action or watch their kids from a comfortable shaded spot

Very few activities bring together multiple generations and put age gaps aside in the way skateboarding does and we believe a good local facility and scene manifests positivity, motivation, self confidence and life skills in the youth.

Sincerely

Tom Peden
President
Wanaka Skate Collective
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Neutral

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Neutral

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Agree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Support

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Disagree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Support

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Neutral

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Support

Q. 8A: Comment here.

Please note:
Infrastructure is vital:
1) Roads and all access
2) Water
3) Waste water
4) Parking and public transport
all ahead of new offices.
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Oppose

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Disagree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Oppose

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Neutral

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Neutral

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Disagree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Neutral

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Disagree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Oppose

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Disagree

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Oppose

Q. 8A: Comment here.
No water improvements for Gibbston in any part of the plan, in fact only comment regarding Gibbston is "more meeting places". Gibbston remains as it has always been just a convenient location for council to dump the districts rubbish, thanks for all the thought and consideration.
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Support

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Agree

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Agree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Support

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Support

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Agree

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Support
Q. 1A: Do you support the preferred option to complete the programme outlined in the draft plan?
Support

Q. 1B: Do you agree with the preferred funding model including targeted rates recovery focused on CBD ratepayers?
Agree

Q. 1C: If the funding assumptions are not supported (NZTA) do you agree that Council re-prioritise some projects?
Agree

Q. 2A: Do you support the funding for a Council Office?
Oppose

Q. 2B: Do you agree that this should include an interim dedicated Queenstown library space?
Neutral

Q. 3A: Do you support the development of a Wanaka Masterplan in 2018 to enable a strategic and well connected approach to Wanaka planning?
Support

Q. 4A: Do you agree with the water supply project programme and timing to meet the Drinking Water Standard (2008) by 2027/28?
Agree
Q. 5A: Do you agree that Council should introduce a general subsidy in order to protect the environment by supplementing the cost of smaller community schemes?
Agree

Q. 5B: Do you support the application of a two-tier charge to the Arthurs Point Scheme to enable a fairer apportionment of cost to the user?
Support

Q. 6A: Do you agree with the proposed investment in community projects?
Agree

Q. 6B: Do you support inclusion of funding to support the early harvest of Coronet Forest?
Support

Q. 6C: Do you agree that Council should enter into a lease for an interim Frankton Library?
Agree

Q. 7A: Do you support the proposal to revise the rating differentials based on the new rating valuations?
Support
Q. 8A: Comment here.

I support additional funding being allocated to Wanaka for Active Transport, particularly for the urban cycle network including Schools to Pool, Aubrey Road, Anderson Road, Albert Town Bridge to town and the Town Centre Loop. I support an underpass on SH84 and that this be a funding priority to help ensure the safety of both children and adults wishing to access community recreation facilities.

I oppose ratepayer funding for the significant infrastructure development needed in our area. There are 34 visitors to every local resident and those visitors need to be contributing to the cost of road maintenance, rubbish removal, toilets, local transport etc. I support QLDC’s ongoing efforts to obtain infrastructure funding from Central Government.

I support QLDC doing whatever is needed to retain NZTA funding for the Glenorchy-Queenstown Road and the Crown Range Road. These roads are costly to maintain and it is unconscionable that ratepayers should be paying even 51% of the cost to maintain tourist roads.
PERRY Julie
Upper Clutha Lakes Trust
Wanaka/Upper Clutha area
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Who we are:
The Upper Clutha Water Group and Lake Wanaka Trust will merge with effect from 16th April 2018 to form the Upper Clutha Lakes Trust (UCLT). The merger brings together various agencies and groups working collaboratively with the local community to achieve specific goals relating to the water quality and health of the lakes and rivers in the Upper Clutha.

Vision:

Water in a quality ecosystem has functional diversity.
All Upper Clutha lakes and rivers are improved or maintained at pristine levels for the long term.

Strategic Plan objectives:
1. Deliver leadership and direction of water quality management
2. Confirm and support whole community values
3. A process for the development of water quality management in the Upper Clutha
4. Assist with developing a comprehensive understanding of the ecosystem in the lakes and waterways
5. Define and engage with key stakeholders
6. An inclusive and collaborative communications strategy

What we have achieved:

MfE Freshwater Improvement Fund
In September 2017 ORC on behalf of the Upper Clutha Water Group (now UCLT) was successful in securing funding from the government’s Freshwater Improvement Fund (FIF).

UCLT’s commitments under the FIF relate to three key streams of work:
1. Develop and implement a collaborative water management plan that will include community values and input, catchment and lakes research questions and an annual review and decision process.
2. Riparian plantings around the Upper Clutha including wetland restoration.
3. Assess the effects of urban development on lake health, and use results to define management measures.
UCLT is focused on gaining a high level of community involvement across all three work streams, which will be an essential component to the process of working out how to fix water issues and prevent any decline in water quality and ecosystem function over the next 30+ years.

In summary, the key intended outcomes of the FIF projects are:

- Community collaboration and input into each of the project work streams.
- Developing a water plan and funding strategy with full multidisciplinary and community support.
- 24,000 native plants planted around Upper Clutha waterways in effective locations.
- Increased understanding of the impacts of urban run-off into Lake Wanaka to target Council storm-water spend more effectively.
- Bringing together a range of stakeholders supported by science-based knowledge to influence and inform a water planning process, ensuring mitigation of human impacts.
- Better informing the community, including visitors, about their own impacts on the lakes and waterways and the ways everyone can create positive change.
- Implementation of consistent monitoring that looks at more indicators than traditional environmental monitoring or ORC’s Water Plan.

Budgeted revenue for the FIF projects totals $824,000 over five years from the following funding sources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Million Metres $50,000 p.a (Love Lake Wanaka crowd-funding campaign)</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargood Bequest $25,000 p.a. for 5 years</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLDC $30,000 p.a. for years 1 and 2</td>
<td>$ 60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry for the Environment (subject to securing all the above funding)</td>
<td>$389,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$824,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIF planned expenditure over the 5 years April 2018 – April 2023 is summarised below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary Expenditure by project</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Planting</th>
<th>Water Plan</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditure</strong></td>
<td>173,746</td>
<td>410,236</td>
<td>240,018</td>
<td>824,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% of Total</strong></td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Shaping our Future Water Forums – Queenstown and Wanaka**

In April 2018 the UCLT supported Shaping our Future Inc. to hold water forums in both Queenstown and Wanaka. Community water concerns over the 2017/18 summer included E.coli outbreaks, lake snow, algae blooms, flooding, pollution, water restrictions and drinking water quality. The next step in the Shaping our Future process is for task forces to be formed in Wanaka and in Queenstown. UCLT will support and collaborate with both the Queenstown and Wanaka task forces and will ensure that task force outputs inform the FIF water management plan.
QLDC activity:

From the 2018-2019 QLDC ten-year plan:

1. The three waters form a significant part of both the capital costs and the operating costs outlined in QLDC’s ten-year plan for the District.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Capital Cost 2018-2028</th>
<th>Operating Cost 2018-2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total $M</strong></td>
<td><strong>321</strong></td>
<td><strong>277</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Big Issue 5 – Water supply and quality for the Upper Clutha
   a. Lake Hawea Aquifer $0.8m 2021
   b. Lake Wanaka $36.5m 2024

3. Big Issue 6 – New wastewater and water supply schemes for the Upper Clutha
   a. Luggate $3.9m
   b. Cardrona $4.49m

4. Stormwater - District spend $55.2m over the ten-year period including $16.47m to be spent in Wanaka.

What we are asking:

UCLT thanks Council for the commitment made by QLDC in the 2017/18 Annual Plan to provide $22,500 to help facilitate implementation of the Strategic Plan. These funds were matched by ORC from the Environmental Enhancement Fund. We are also grateful for the additional funding committed by QLDC for the FIF projects ($30,000 per annum for the 2018 and 2019 financial years total $60,000). This funding commitment from QLDC increased the total quantum of FIF funding for the Upper Clutha.

UCLT asks that the $30,000 funding approved for the 2019 financial year (FIF Year 2) be reconfirmed within the ten-year plan and that QLDC additionally commit to provide the following funding support:

1. $50,000 for the 2019 financial year to support UCLT operating costs and projects outside of the FIF projects. UCLT will be seeking to match these funds again from ORC. Planned undertakings for the 2019 financial year include:
   a. Reviewing and updating the Strategic Initiatives for the merged entity.
   b. Developing and actioning specific projects to build on the work already being undertaken across the community.
   c. Supporting robust science projects in association with Catchments Otago.
   d. Fostering community-led initiatives and providing opportunities for the community to be involved with UCLT activities and projects.
e. Development of a publicly available Knowledgebase summarising Regional and National initiatives related to freshwater.

f. Collaboration with the Saber Cultural research project (Dr. Simone Langhans) on how community values can be used together with scientific and economic values in freshwater management.

g. Finalising the Business Case for the Alpine Lakes Research and Education Centre (ALREC).

h. Working with the Centre for Space, Science and Technology on the potential for satellite use to track Lake Snow development.

i. Supporting community initiatives in the Wakatipu, including Lake Hayes and Glenorchy.

2. $100,000 for each of years 3-5 of the FIF projects ie total funding commitment $300,000 for the period 2019/2020 to 2022/2023. As can be seen from the FIF expenditure summary above, the Science budget for urban run-off impact research is extremely limited. The requested funds will be applied to extend and support stormwater research initiatives which will contribute to better informed decisions to support QLDC’s capital and operational expenditure, particularly for Big Issue 5 (Lake Wanaka water supply and quality) and the Wanaka stormwater projects. UCLT will seek to leverage the additional $300,000 funds eg by applying for the next FIF funding round.

We wish to speak at the hearing.