

FORM 5
SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN
Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Queenstown-Lakes District Council

Submitter Details:

Name of submitter:

**T McQuilkin and A P McQuilkin Family
Trust**

Address for Service:

C\ - Vivian + Espie Limited
P O Box 2514
Wakatipu Mail Centre
QUEENSTOWN

Contact: Carey Vivian

Phone: 441 4189

Email: carey@vivianespie.co.nz

1. This is a submission on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan, Variation 1 - Wakatipu Basin.

2. Trade Competition

The submitter could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

3. Omitted

4. The submission addresses the following points and provisions within the Proposed District Plan (PDP):

- (1) Proposed District Plan Maps 13d and 26; in particular the landscape categorisation line between the identified Outstanding Natural Landscape (ONL) and the Wakatipu Basin Rural Amenity Zone in the vicinity of the western edge of the Crown Terrace.
- (2) Rule 24.4.5 as it relates to requiring a restricted discretionary activity resource consent for buildings within approved building platforms.

5. My submission is:

- (1) I made a submission on Stage 1 of the PDP (#459) that the landscape categorisation line between the ONL and the RLC in the vicinity of Lot 1 DP 482448 should be drawn as shown by the yellow line on the plan attached to my Stage 1 submission. That submission is yet to be heard.

This submission seeks consistency between my submission on Stage 1 and the boundaries of the Rural Amenity Zone. I submit the land to the east of the yellow line on the plan attached to my Stage 1 submission (#459) should be zoned Rural Amenity Zone.

- (2) I also submit that requiring a restricted discretionary activity resource consent to construct a building within an approved /registered building platform area is an ineffective and inefficient method as it duplicates the resource consent to identify the building platform in the first place. I also submit it creates uncertainty for purchasers of a property with an approved/registered building platform as to whether they can build on their property. I submit that the construction a building within an approved /registered building platform should be at most a controlled activity (noting Stage 1 of the PDP suggested permitted activity).

6. I seek the following decision from the local authority:

- (1) That the boundary of the Rural Amenity Zone is redrawn consistent with my submission (#459) on Stage 1 of the PDP.

- (2) That Rule 24.4.5 be amended to require a controlled activity resource consent for the construction of buildings within approved/registered building platforms.

7. We wish to be heard in support of our submission.

8. If others make a similar submission, we will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature of submitter
(or person authorised to sign
on behalf of submitter)

