

IN THE MATTER of the Resource
Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of the Queenstown Lakes
Proposed District Plan

AND

IN THE MATTER of Hearing Stream 12-
Upper Clutha Mapping

MINUTE CONCERNING HEARING ARRANGEMENTS

1. We have now completed the first week of hearings. Three issues have arisen relevant to the next phase of hearings, when the hearing of submitters commences tomorrow 23 May.
2. First, Mr Daryll Rodgers (Further Submitter 1138) has contacted the hearing administration staff to advise that he wishes to have the opportunity to reply to the evidence for Council. Mr Rodgers has supplied his proposed reply in writing.
3. It is inappropriate that further evidence be filed at this point, but Mr Rodgers reply is much more in the nature of submissions and will be received as such. Accordingly, he will be able to present his reply when he appears on 25 May in the knowledge that the Hearing Panel will have read it beforehand.
4. Secondly, Council staff have alerted us to the fact that the submission of Mr John Wellington (Submission 640) was inadvertently omitted from consideration in the Council 42A report and accompanying evidence. Mr Wellington indicated on the face of his submission that he did not wish to be heard in it but has now, we understand, sought the opportunity to make a brief presentation on his submission. In the circumstances, it is desirable that we hear from Mr Wellington, and that the Council provides us with comments for the Council on his submission in its written reply in order that we have a proper basis for making a recommendation on Mr Wellington's submission. Accordingly, Mr Wellington has been scheduled for a 10-minute hearing slot on the afternoon of 12 June.

5. Thirdly and finally, the hearing administration staff have been approached by Mr David Sherwin (Submitter 388) who advises that he has not received any communications regarding the hearing and now seeks the opportunity to be heard. Mr Sherwin has provided a copy of his presentation in writing.
6. Further enquiry identified that Mr Sherwin had been sent all relevant communications but had not received them because his internet provider had diverted them into spam folders (and subsequently deleted all but the most recent emails).
7. We regard it as a submitter's responsibility, having provided an email address for the purpose of receiving communications regarding the hearing, to ensure that emails directed to that email address are received. Accordingly, had Mr Sherwin sought to present evidence, we would have required considerable persuasion, given the obvious prejudice to the Council Staff team, that it was appropriate to waive the hearing directions after the Council has finished presenting its case. As it is however, Mr Sherwin's presentation is in the nature of a submission rather than evidence and accordingly, we will receive it on that basis and allocate time for Mr Sherwin to be heard (15 minutes) on the afternoon of 1 June 2017.

For the Upper Clutha Mapping Hearing Panel

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'T. Robinson', with a large, stylized flourish extending upwards and to the left.

Trevor Robinson (Chair)

22 May 2017